The Audit Findings for Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council

Year ended 31 March 2017

17 July 2017
Dear Members of the Audit Committee

Audit Findings for Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council for the year ending 31 March 2017

This Audit Findings report highlights the key findings arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance (in the case of Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council, the Audit Committee), to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 260, the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice. Its contents have been discussed with officers.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland) (‘ISA (UK&I)’), which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements. The contents of this report relate only to those matters which came to our attention during the conduct of our normal audit procedures which are designed primarily for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and giving a value for money conclusion. Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we will report these to you. In consequence, our work cannot be relied upon to disclose defalcations or other irregularities, or to include all possible improvements in internal control that a more extensive special examination might identify. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the kind assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

Yours sincerely
Karen Murray
Engagement Lead
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Section 1: Executive summary
Executive summary

Purpose of this report
This report highlights the key issues affecting the results of Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council ('the Council') and the preparation of the group and Council's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2017. It is also used to report our audit findings to management and those charged with governance in accordance with the requirements of ISA (UK&I) 260, and the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act').

Under the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'), we are required to report whether, in our opinion, the Council's financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Council and its income and expenditure for the year and whether they have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting.

We are also required to consider other information published together with the audited financial statements (including the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) and Narrative Report, whether it is consistent with the financial statements, apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, our knowledge of the Group acquired in the course of performing our audit; or otherwise misleading.

We are required to carry out sufficient work to satisfy ourselves on whether the Council has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources ('the value for money (VFM) conclusion'). Auditor Guidance Note 7 (AGN07) clarifies our reporting requirements in the Code and the Act. We are required to provide a conclusion whether in all significant respects, the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money through economic, efficient and effective use of its resources for the year.

The Act also details the following additional powers and duties for local government auditors, which we are required to report to you if applied:

- a public interest report if we identify any matter that comes to our attention in the course of the audit that in our opinion should be considered by the Council or brought to the public's attention (section 24 of the Act);
- written recommendations which should be considered by the Council and responded to publicly (section 24 of the Act);
- application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law (section 28 of the Act);
- issue of an advisory notice (section 29 of the Act); and
- application for judicial review (section 31 of the Act).

We are also required to give electors the opportunity to raise questions about the accounts and consider and decide upon objections received in relation to the accounts under sections 26 and 27 of the Act.

Introduction
In the conduct of our audit we have not had to alter or change our audit approach, which we communicated to you in our Audit Plan dated 2 March 2017.

Our audit is substantially complete although we are finalising our procedures in the following areas:

- final review procedures
- review of the final version of the financial statements
- obtaining and reviewing the management letter of representation
- review of final version of the Annual Governance Statement, and
- updating our post balance sheet events review, to the date of signing the opinion.

We received a full set of draft financial statements on 28th April 2017, although much of the supporting information including working papers was available for review in advance of receiving the financial statements.
Executive summary

Key audit and financial reporting issues

Financial statements opinion
We have identified no adjustments affecting the Group and Council's reported financial position (details are recorded in section two of this report). Both the draft financial statements and the audited financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2017 recorded net expenditure of £6,179k. We identified one non-material misstatement of opening balances for Property Plant and Equipment, which officers have chosen not to amend. We also identified one non-material classification adjustment and recommended a number of adjustments to improve the presentation of the financial statements.

The key messages arising from our audit of the Council's financial statements are:
• the draft accounts only required a small number of amendments, for one non-material misclassification and a small number of changes to disclosure notes
• there is one non material unadjusted misstatement relating to opening balances for PPE
• working papers provided to us were again of high quality and available in advance of receiving the financial statements
• finance staff responded promptly to all audit queries in line with agreed protocols, contributing to a prompt completion of the audit, in line with previous years.

Further details are set out in section two of this report.

We anticipate providing a unqualified audit opinion in respect of the financial statements (see Appendix A).

Other financial statement responsibilities
As well as an opinion on the financial statements, we are required to give an opinion on whether other information published together with the audited financial statements is consistent with the financial statements. This includes if the AGS and Narrative Report is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit.

Based on our review of the Council's Narrative Report and AGS we are satisfied that they are consistent with the audited financial statements. We are also satisfied that the AGS meets the requirements set out in the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance and that the disclosures included in the Narrative Report are in line with the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice.

Whole of Government Accounts
We cannot formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate until we have completed the work necessary to issue our assurance statement in respect of the Council's Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack. We are satisfied that this work will not have a material effect on our opinion on the financial statements or on our value for money conclusion.

Controls

Roles and responsibilities
The Council's management is responsible for the identification, assessment, management and monitoring of risk, and for developing, operating and monitoring the system of internal control.

Our audit is not designed to test all internal controls or identify all areas of control weakness. However, where, as part of our testing, we identify any control weaknesses, we report these to the Council.

Findings
Our work has not identified any control weaknesses which we wish to highlight for your attention. As reported in our Audit Plan, we are aware of the internal control issues within the Payroll system. Action continues to be taken by Management to address these issues and these have been reported in the Council's Annual Governance Statement and are continued to be reviewed regularly up by Internal Audit.
Executive summary

Value for Money
Based on our review, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Council had proper arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Further detail of our work on Value for Money are set out in section three of this report.

Other statutory powers and duties
We have not identified any issues that have required us to apply our statutory powers and duties under the Act.

Further details of our work on other statutory powers and duties is set out in section four of this report.

Grant certification
In addition to our responsibilities under the Code, we are required to certify the Council's Housing Benefit subsidy claim on behalf of the Department for Work and Pensions. At present our work on this claim is in progress and is not due to be finalised until 30 November 2017. We will report the outcome of this certification work through a separate report to Audit Committee.

The way forward
Matters arising from the financial statements audit and our review of the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources have been discussed with the Director of Finance.

Acknowledgement
We would like to take this opportunity to record our appreciation for the assistance provided by the finance team and other staff during our audit.

Grant Thornton UK LLP
July 2017
Section 2: Audit findings
Materiality

In performing our audit, we apply the concept of materiality, following the requirements of ISA (UK&I) 320: Materiality in planning and performing an audit. The standard states that 'misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements'.

As we reported in our audit plan, we determined overall materiality to be £9,381k (being 1.75% of gross revenue expenditure). We have considered whether this level remained appropriate during the course of the audit and have made no changes to our overall materiality.

We also set an amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial and would not need to be accumulated or reported to those charged with governance because we would not expect that the accumulated effect of such amounts would have a material impact on the financial statements. We have defined the amount below which misstatements would be clearly trivial to be £470k. This remains the same as reported in our audit plan.

As we reported in our audit plan, we identified the following items where we decided that separate materiality levels were appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance/transaction/disclosure</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
<th>Materiality level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disclosures of officers’ remuneration, salary bandings and exit packages in notes to the statements</td>
<td>Due to public interest in these disclosures and the statutory requirement for them to be made.</td>
<td>£1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Party Transactions</td>
<td>Due to public interest in these disclosures and the requirement for them to be made (misstatements will also be evaluated by reference to how material they are to the other party)</td>
<td>£20,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements; Judgments about materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances, and are affected by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both; and Judgments about matters that are material to users of the financial statements are based on a consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group. The possible effect of misstatements on specific individual users, whose needs may vary widely, is not considered. (ISA (UK&I) 320)**
# Audit findings against significant risks

In this section we detail our response to the significant risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan. As we noted in our plan, there are two presumed significant risks which are applicable to all audits under auditing standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks identified in our audit plan</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained and issues arising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions**                                            | Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at Oldham MBC, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:  
• there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition;  
• opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited; and  
• the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Oldham MBC, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable. | Our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of revenue recognition.                                                                                                                                          |
| **Management over-ride of controls**                                                              | We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk  
• review of entity controls  
• review of journal entry processes  
• testing of journal entries  
• review of accounting estimates, judgements and decisions made by management  
• review of unusual significant transactions.                                                                                                                                                                           | Our audit work has not identified any evidence of management over-ride of controls. In particular the findings of our review of journal controls and testing of journal entries has not identified any significant issues. |

"Significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgmental matters. Non-routine transactions are transactions that are unusual, due to either size or nature, and that therefore occur infrequently. Judgmental matters may include the development of accounting estimates for which there is significant measurement uncertainty." (ISA (UK&I) 315). In making the review of unusual significant transactions “the auditor shall treat identified significant related party transactions outside the entity’s normal course of business as giving rise to significant risks.” (ISA (UK&I) 550)
Audit findings against significant risks continued

We have also identified the following significant risks of material misstatement from our understanding of the entity. We set out below the work we have completed to address these risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks identified in our audit plan</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained and issues arising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The expenditure cycle includes fraudulent transactions | This has been considered further below:  
  • the Council has well established budget processes and forecast a balanced outturn position through achieving necessary savings targets.  
  • the Council has achieved its planned outturn and savings (after allowing for in year cost pressures).  
  • there is limited need or incentive for expenditure recognition to be manipulated.  
  • there have ben no changes to accounting system processes and controls during the accounting period.  
  • there have been no changes in the Council’s approach and methodology relating to the calculation of estimates used in the accounts.  
  • senior finance officers (i.e. Assistant DoFs and above) do not have access to journals and cannot effect year end adjustments.  
  • our testing or operating expenses, creditors and cut off testing has not identified any issues. | We are satisfied there is not a significant risk of material misstatement due to fraud arising from the manipulation of expenditure recognition. |
### Audit findings against significant risks continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks identified in our audit plan</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained and issues arising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valuation of property, plant and equipment</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Council revalues its assets on a rolling basis over a five year period. The Code requires that the Council ensures that the carrying value at the balance sheet date is not materially different from the current value. This represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements.</td>
<td>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk&lt;br&gt;• reviewed management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate.&lt;br&gt;• reviewed of the competence, expertise and objectivity of management expert used.&lt;br&gt;• reviewed the instructions issued to valuation experts and the scope of their work&lt;br&gt;• held discussions with valuer about the basis on which the valuation is carried out and challenge of the key assumptions.&lt;br&gt;• reviewed and challenged of the information used by the valuer to ensure it is robust and consistent with our understanding.&lt;br&gt;• tested a sample of revaluations made during the year to ensure they are input correctly into the Council's asset register&lt;br&gt;• reviewed the assumptions made by management for those assets not revalued during the year and how management has satisfied themselves that these are not materially different to current value.</td>
<td>Our audit work has not identified any issues relating to the valuation of PPE at 31 March 2017.&lt;br&gt;We have identified an issue relating to the accounting transactions in respect of the biomass boiler which impact on the opening balance,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valuation of pension fund net liability</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Council's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</td>
<td>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk&lt;br&gt;• identified the controls put in place by management to ensure that the pension fund liability is not materially misstated. We will also assess whether these controls were implemented as expected and whether they are sufficient to mitigate the risk of material misstatement.&lt;br&gt;• obtained assurance from the auditor of the Pension Fund on the controls in place over accuracy of information provided to the actuary.&lt;br&gt;• confirmed the competence, expertise and objectivity of the actuary who carried out your pension fund valuation&lt;br&gt;• gained an understanding of the basis on which the valuation is carried out.&lt;br&gt;• carried out procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made including the use of an audit expert and considered whether known outturns are within acceptable tolerances to confirm the reasonableness of the actuary's approach&lt;br&gt;• reviewed the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in notes to the financial statements with the actuarial report from your actuary.</td>
<td>Our audit work has not identified any issues relating to the valuation of the Council's pension fund net liability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit findings against other risks

In this section we detail our response to the other risks of material misstatement which we identified in the Audit Plan. Recommendations, together with management responses are attached at appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction cycle</th>
<th>Description of risk</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained &amp; issues arising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee remuneration</td>
<td>Payroll expenditure represents a significant percentage of the Council’s gross expenditure. We identified the completeness of payroll expenditure in the financial statements as a risk requiring particular audit attention: • Employee remuneration accruals understated (Remuneration expenses not correct)</td>
<td>We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk: • documented our understanding of processes and key controls over the transaction cycle • undertaken walkthrough of the key controls to assess the whether those controls were in line with our documented understanding • tested a sample of employee expenses to staff records, pay rates and classification in the general ledger • reconciled total payroll costs from the payroll subsystem to the general ledger • performed a monthly trend analysis to identify any months with unusually high or low pay levels</td>
<td>Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Operating expenses | Non-pay expenditure represents a significant percentage of the Council’s gross expenditure. Management uses judgement to estimate accruals of un-invoiced non-pay costs. We identified the completeness of non-pay expenditure in the financial statements as a risk requiring particular audit attention: • Creditors understated or not recorded in the correct period (Operating expenses understated) | We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk: • documented our understanding of processes and key controls over the transaction cycle • undertaken walkthrough of the key controls to assess the whether those controls were in line with our documented understanding • tested a sample of expenditure ensuring valid spend and appropriate categorisation within net cost of services headings in the comprehensive income and expenditure statement • tested a sample of payables and accrued expenditure including reviewing post year end invoices and payments | Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified. |

"In respect of some risks, the auditor may judge that it is not possible or practicable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence only from substantive procedures. Such risks may relate to the inaccurate or incomplete recording of routine and significant classes of transactions or account balances, the characteristics of which often permit highly automated processing with little or no manual intervention. In such cases, the entity’s controls over such risks are relevant to the audit and the auditor shall obtain an understanding of them."

(ISA (UK&I) 315)
## Changes to the presentation of local authority financial statements

**CIPFA** has been working on the ‘Telling the Story’ project, for which the aim was to streamline the financial statements and improve accessibility to the user and this has resulted in changes to the 2016/17 CIPFA Code of Practice.

The changes affect the presentation of income and expenditure in the financial statements and associated disclosure notes. A prior period adjustment (PPA) to restate the 2015/16 comparative figures is also required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction cycle</th>
<th>Description of risk</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained &amp; issues arising</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Changes to the presentation of local authority financial statements | CIPFA has been working on the ‘Telling the Story’ project, for which the aim was to streamline the financial statements and improve accessibility to the user and this has resulted in changes to the 2016/17 CIPFA Code of Practice. The changes affect the presentation of income and expenditure in the financial statements and associated disclosure notes. A prior period adjustment (PPA) to restate the 2015/16 comparative figures is also required. | We have undertaken the following work in relation to this risk:  
  - documented and evaluated the process for the recording the required financial reporting changes to the 2016/17 financial statements  
  - reviewed the re-classification of the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement (CIES) comparatives to ensure that they are in line with the Council’s internal reporting structure  
  - reviewed the appropriateness of the revised grouping of entries within the Movement In Reserves Statement (MIRS)  
  - tested the classification of income and expenditure for 2016/17 recorded within the Cost of Services section of the CIES  
  - tested the completeness of income and expenditure by reviewing the reconciliation of the CIES to the general ledger  
  - tested the classification of income and expenditure reported within the new Expenditure and Funding Analysis (EFA) note to the financial statements  
  - reviewed the new segmental reporting disclosures within the 2016/17 financial statements to ensure compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice. | Our audit work has not identified any significant issues in relation to the risk identified. |
Audit findings against other risks continued

Going concern
As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK&I) 570).

We reviewed the management's assessment of the going concern assumption and the disclosures in the financial statements and concluded that we are satisfied with management's assessment that the going concern basis is appropriate for the 2016/17 financial statements.
Group audit scope and risk assessment

ISA (UK&I) 600 requires that as Group auditors we obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the components and the consolidation process to express an opinion on whether the group financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Significant?</th>
<th>Level of response required under ISA 600</th>
<th>Risks identified</th>
<th>Work completed</th>
<th>Assurance gained &amp; issues raised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miocare group CIC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Desktop review performed by GT UK</td>
<td>Our audit work has not identified any issues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit scope:
- **Comprehensive** – the component is of such significance to the group as a whole that an audit of the components financial statements is required
- **Targeted** – the component is significant to the Group, audit evidence will be obtained by performing targeted audit procedures rather than a full audit
- **Analytical** – the component is not significant to the Group and audit risks can be addressed sufficiently by applying analytical procedures at the Group level
# Accounting policies, estimates and judgements

In this section we report on our consideration of accounting policies, in particular revenue recognition policies, and key estimates and judgements made and included with the Council's financial statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting area</th>
<th>Summary of policy</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Revenue recognition   | NDR and Council Tax Income is recognised when it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the Council and the amount of revenue can be measured reliably. Whether paid on account, by instalments or in arrears, government grants and third party contributions and donations are recognised as due when there is reasonable assurance that, • the Council will comply with conditions attached to the payment • the grants or contributions will be received Revenue from the provision of services is recognised when the Council can measure reliably the percentage of completion of the transaction and it is probable that economic benefits or service potential associated with the transaction will flow to the Council. | • The Council's accounting policy is appropriate under IAS 18 Revenue and CIPFA's Code of Practice on Local Government Accounting 2015/16  
• We have undertaken substantive testing of tax income, grants and other revenues and are satisfied that the Council has recognised income in accordance with its accounting policies  
• Revenue recognition policies are appropriately disclosed.                                                                                                      | Green      |

| Judgements and estimates | Critical judgements include:  
• recognition of school assets  
• Group boundaries  
• classification of investment properties  
Major sources of estimation uncertainty include  
• business rates appeals provision  
• impairment of debt  
• Valuation of the shareholding in Manchester Airport Holdings Ltd (MAHL)  
• pensions liability  
• PFI implied interest rate  
• PPE useful economic lives and depreciation  
• Insurance provision | • The Council has appropriately disclosed its critical judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty in notes 36 and 37 respectively  
• The Council has appropriately relied on the work of experts for asset revaluations, pension fund valuations, insurance provisions, and the valuation of its investment in MAHL.                                                                 | Green      |
## Accounting policies, estimates and judgements continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounting area</th>
<th>Summary of policy</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Judgements - changes to the presentation of local authority financial statements | The Council has introduced its Directorate structure to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. Explanatory Notes to the Core Financial Statements have been introduced | • The required changes to the presentation of the CIES have been appropriately addressed  
• Note 35 sets out the movement in prior year figures between the previous SERCOP and new Directorate formats | Green |
| Going concern | The Director of Finance, s151 officer has a reasonable expectation that the services provided by the Council will continue for the foreseeable future. Members concur with this view. For this reason, the Council continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the financial statements. | We have reviewed the Council's assessment and are satisfied with management's assessment that the going concern basis is appropriate for the 2016/17 financial statements. | Green |
| Other accounting policies | We have reviewed the Council's accounting policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice. | We have reviewed the Council's policies against the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice. The Council's accounting policies are appropriate and consistent with previous years. The Council made a small number of changes to improve the clarity of accounting policies. | Green |
Other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Matters in relation to fraud</td>
<td>• We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Audit Committee. We have not been made aware of any incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Matters in relation to related parties</td>
<td>• From the work we carried out, we have not identified any related party transactions which have not been disclosed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Matters in relation to laws and regulations</td>
<td>• You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4. Written representations | A letter of representation has been requested from the Council, including specific representations in respect of:  
• the Group  
• The omission of disclosure within Financial Instruments (Note 21) of the Fair Value of PFI Liabilities;  
• the unadjusted error in accounting for the biomass boiler; and  
• fire safety concerns in respect of Council owned property (following Grenfell).  
The letter of representation is being presented at the Audit Committee on 17 July 2017. |
| 5. Confirmation requests from third parties | • We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests to the Council’s bank and for three investment. All of these requests were returned with positive confirmation. We had previously confirmed the return of 9 investments to the Council, removing the need for confirmation requests in these cases |
| 6. Disclosures | Our review found the following omissions in disclosures in the financial statements required by the CIPFA Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting:  
• Note 21 on financial Instruments does not disclose the Fair Value of PFI Liabilities  
• There is no disclosure of the reason for the change in presentation in the MIRS from prior years, regarding the consolidation of the Surplus/Deficit on the Provision of Service line with the Other Comprehensive Income and Expenditure line and the inclusion of a Total General Fund Balance column. |
### Other communication requirements continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7. **Matters on which we report by exception** | We have not identified any issues we would be required to report by exception in the following areas:  
- If the Annual Governance Statement does not meet the disclosure requirements set out in the CIPFA/SOLACE guidance or is misleading or inconsistent with the information of which we are aware from our audit  
- The information in the Narrative Report is materially inconsistent with the information in the audited financial statements or our knowledge of the Council acquired in the course of performing our audit, or otherwise misleading. |
| 8. **Specified procedures for Whole of Government Accounts** | We are required to carry out specified procedures (on behalf of the NAO) on the Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) consolidation pack under WGA group audit instructions.  
As the Council exceeds the specified group reporting threshold of £350m we examine and report on the consistency of the WGA consolidation pack with the Council's audited financial statements.  
This work is not yet completed and the planned timescale for the work is August 2017 |
## Unadjusted misstatements

The table below provides details of adjustments identified during the audit which have not been made within the final set of financial statements. The Audit Committee is required to approve management’s proposed treatment of all items recorded within the table below: This is included as a specific representation in the Management Letter of Representation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement £'000</th>
<th>Balance Sheet £'000</th>
<th>Reason for not adjusting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The opening balance for PPE is understated because of the Council did not revalue it’s boiler house following the work completed by a third party to upgrade this to a biomass boiler. The increase in the value should also have been reflected in the revaluation reserve. During 2016/17, the boiler house was transferred to the local RSL at nil consideration. This asset disposal is not reflected in the accounts as a disposal with the corresponding adjustment to the revaluation reserve.</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>This is a non-material misstatement arising from receipt of an asset at nil consideration from a gas supplier in 2015/16 and its subsequent transfer, for nil consideration under a contractual arrangement, with a local social housing provider in 2016/17.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Overall impact | £NIL | £7,000 |
# Misclassifications and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjustment type</th>
<th>Value £'000</th>
<th>Account balance</th>
<th>Impact on the financial statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Misclassification</td>
<td>£3,900</td>
<td>ST Debtors, ST Creditors</td>
<td>Understatement of short term debtors and short term creditors arising from misclassification of business rates due to DCLG as a credit balance within debtors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2 Disclosure | N/A | Financial Instruments | • Additional analysis to disclose borrowing over categories of PWLB/LOBOs and other Market Debt.  
• Removal of certificates of deposit (£3.5m) from financial assets fair value note as already included in table of recurring fair value measurements  
• Correction of fair value disclosures to include accrued interest in the carrying values  
• Amendment of Note 1 (b) to clarify the disclosure of the reconciliation. |
| 3 Disclosure | N/A | CIES | Highlight statement as 'restated' |
| 4 Disclosure | N/A | Accounting policies | Minor changes to improve clarity relating to fair value measurement. |
| 5 Disclosure | N/A | PPE Revaluations | Enhancement of note to quantify the increase in asset values arising from the desktop review of assets valued at depreciated replacement cost. |
| 6 Disclosure | N/A | Financial instruments adjustment account | Inclusion of note 16e to explain the Financial Instrument Adjustment account movements. |
| 7 Disclosure | N/A | Various | Small number of narrative changes to improve the clarity of disclosures |
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**Background**

We are required by section 21 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the Act') and the NAO Code of Audit Practice ('the Code') to satisfy ourselves that the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the Value for Money (VFM) conclusion.

We are required to carry out sufficient work to satisfy ourselves that proper arrangements are in place at the Council. The Act and NAO guidance state that for local government bodies, auditors are required to give a conclusion on whether the Council has put proper arrangements in place.

In carrying out this work, we are required to follow the NAO's Auditor Guidance Note 3 (AGN 03) issued in November 2016. AGN 03 identifies one single criterion for auditors to evaluate:

*In all significant respects, the audited body takes properly informed decisions and deploys resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.*

AGN03 provides examples of proper arrangements against three sub-criteria but specifically states that these are not separate criteria for assessment purposes and that auditors are not required to reach a distinct judgement against each of these.

**Risk assessment**

We carried out an initial risk assessment in February 2017 and identified one significant risk in respect of specific areas of proper arrangements using the guidance contained in AGN03. We communicated these risks to you in our Audit Plan dated 2 March 2017.

We have continued our review of relevant documents up to the date of giving our report, and have not identified any further significant risks where we need to perform further work.

We carried out further work only in respect of the significant risk we identified from our initial and ongoing risk assessment. Where our consideration of the significant risks determined that arrangements were not operating effectively, we have used the examples of proper arrangements from AGN 03 to explain the gaps in proper arrangements that we have reported in our VFM conclusion.
Significant qualitative aspects

AGN 03 requires us to disclose our views on significant qualitative aspects of the Council's arrangements for delivering economy, efficiency and effectiveness. We have focused our work on the significant risk that we identified in the Council's arrangements as set out on page 26, however we also highlight here our findings from other key considerations used to inform our VFM conclusion.

Financial Outturn 2016/17

The Council manages budgets well and has a good track record of achieving its financial plans. In 2016/17, the Council achieved a surplus of £130k against the revised budget.

At 31 March 2017 the Council holds a General Fund Balance of £14.7m, a reduction of £3.8m on the previous year and Earmarked Reserves are £94.8m, a £12.6m reduction from the previous year following the use of the Regeneration Reserve and Integrated Working Reserve in line with plans.

We do not have any significant concerns arising from our review of the 2016/17 budget outturn over the Council's arrangements for delivering economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

Financial Planning for 2017/18 and beyond

The Council has set a balanced revenue budget for 2017/18 together with budget plans for 2018/19, within a four year Medium Term Financial Strategy to 2020/21. The Strategy identified a cumulative budget gap of £31.9m from 2018/19 to 2020/21. The Strategy is continuously kept up-to-date and has recently recognised additional financial risks arising from increased activity in Children’s Social Care of £4m for 2017/18 onwards, which is initially being addressed by the use of appropriate reserves but will increase the budget gap by £4m for subsequent years.

The Council has demonstrated a robust planning process and challenge of expenditure assumptions. This together with the quality of reporting and high level of scrutiny means the Council is well positioned to tackle the financial risks facing it in the medium term.

We do not have any significant concerns arising from our review of the Council’s financial planning processes which form part of its arrangements for delivering economy efficiency and effectiveness.

We have set out more detail on the significant risk we identified, the results of the work we performed and the conclusions we drew from this work on page 26.

Overall conclusion

Based on the work we performed to address the significant risks, we concluded that:

- the Council had proper arrangements in all significant respects to ensure it delivered value for money in its use of resources.

The text of our report, which confirms this can be found at Appendix A.
### Key findings

We set out below our key findings against the significant risks we identified through our initial risk assessment and further risks identified through our ongoing review of documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant risk</th>
<th>Work to address</th>
<th>Findings and conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Health & Social Care Integration**           | We reviewed the project management and risk assurance frameworks established by the Council to establish how it is identifying, managing and monitoring these risks. We reviewed how the Council works with its partners, both locally and across Greater Manchester to ensure plans and workstreams are congruent with the wider developments across Greater Manchester and are progressing as planned. | The Council recognises the risks associated with the development of the Oldham Integrated Care Organisation (ICO) against the background of wider developments across Greater Manchester through the Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership.  

The ICO has been in development for over 12 months and a request for £21.9m of funding for service transformation and management costs was submitted to the Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership Board (GMHSCP) in October 2016.

A decision on this request, which had been expected in January 2017 was deferred by the GMHSCP Board until the wider challenges across Greater Manchester, particularly the NE sector involving Oldham’s acute healthcare provider, could be clarified and the impact on the transformation bids from the relevant localities could be recognised.

Progress on the development of Oldham’s ICO has therefore been delayed until the outcome of the review by GMHSCP is known, as the Council did not wish to embark on a course of action that might then not align to the wider vision for the NE sector.

Following extensive discussions by both Oldham partners with the GMHSCP a revised bid for GM transformation funding has recently been submitted which recognises the re-profiling of the developments in the Oldham locality.

In the meantime, and to ensure key transformations such as developments to tackle increasing A&E pressures can continue, the Council and its CCG partner has made use of non-recurrent ‘seed funding’ from the GMHSCP together with the deployment of Council resources (at risk) in advance of receiving a decision on any allocation from the GMI&SC Transformation Fund.

**The Council is continuing to work with local partners on the development of the Oldham ICO whilst collaborating with GMHSCP and partners across the North East Sector on wider transformation developments.**
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**Significant difficulties in undertaking our work**

We did not identify any significant difficulties in undertaking our work on your arrangements which we wish to draw to your attention.

**Significant matters discussed with management**

There were no matters where no other evidence was available or matters of such significance to our conclusion or that we required written representation from management or those charged with governance.

**Any other matters**

There were no other matters from our work which were significant to our consideration of your arrangements to secure value for money in your use of resources.
Section 4: Other statutory powers and duties
We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by the Act and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Public interest report</td>
<td>We have not identified any matters that would require a public interest report to be issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Written recommendations</td>
<td>We have not made any written recommendations that the Group is required to respond to publicly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law</td>
<td>We have not used this duty or Insert details of issues identified at your Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Issue of an advisory notice</td>
<td>We have not used this duty or Insert details of issues identified at your Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Application for judicial review</td>
<td>We have not used this duty or Insert details of issues identified at your Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Receipt of objections</td>
<td>We have received objections from local electors under section 27 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014. We are satisfied that these matters do not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2017, however we will not be able to formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate until we have completed our consideration of the objections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 5: Fees, non-audit services and independence
Fees, non audit services and independence

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and provision of non-audit services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proposed fee £</th>
<th>Final fee £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council audit</td>
<td>135,621</td>
<td>135,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant certification</td>
<td>16,305</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total audit fees (excluding VAT)</strong></td>
<td><strong>151,926</strong></td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed fees for the year were in line with the scale fee set by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA).

**Independence and ethics**

- We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards and confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.
- We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Auditing Practices Board’s Ethical Standards.
- For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Group. The table below summarises all non-audit services which were identified.

**Grant certification**

Our fees for grant certification cover only housing benefit subsidy certification, which falls under the remit of Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Fees in respect of other grant work, such as reasonable assurance reports, are shown under ‘Fees for other services’.

**Subsidiary companies**

Grant Thornton UK LLP also provides audit services to the wholly owned subsidiary companies in Miocare Group Community IC for fees totalling £19,500. This is a separate engagement outside the remit of Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Other non-audit services provided to Miocare are also identified in this section.

**Fees for other services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Fees £</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit related services:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers Pension Return - certification</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-audit services to Miocare (see next page)</td>
<td>8,095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Independence and non-audit services

We have considered whether non-audit services might be perceived as a threat to our independence as the Group’s auditor and have ensured that appropriate safeguards are put in place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Service provided to</th>
<th>Fees</th>
<th>Threat Y/N?</th>
<th>Safeguard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax compliance services</td>
<td>Miocare Group CIC</td>
<td>£3,350</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Separate team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts prep and IXBRL tagging</td>
<td>Miocare Group CIC</td>
<td>£4,745</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Separate Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>£8,095</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above non-audit services are consistent with the Group’s policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditor.
Section 6: Communication of audit matters
Communication to those charged with governance

ISA (UK&I) 260, as well as other ISAs, prescribe matters which we are required to communicate with those charged with governance, and which we set out in the table opposite.

This document, The Audit Findings, outlines those key issues and other matters arising from the audit, which we consider should be communicated in writing rather than orally, together with an explanation as to how these have been resolved.

Respective responsibilities

The Audit Findings Report has been prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (http://www.psaa.co.uk/appointing-auditors/terms-of-appointment).

We have been appointed as the Council’s independent external auditors by the Audit Commission, the body responsible for appointing external auditors to local public bodies in England at the time of our appointment. As external auditors, we have a broad remit covering finance and governance matters.

Our annual work programme is set in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice (“the Code”) issued by the NAO (https://www nao org uk/code- audit practice/about-code). Our work considers the Council’s key risks when reaching our conclusions under the Code.

It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

---

Our communication plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Our communication plan</th>
<th>Audit Plan</th>
<th>Audit Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respective responsibilities of auditor and management/those charged with governance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. Form, timing and expected general content of communications</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views about the qualitative aspects of the entity’s accounting and financial reporting practices, significant matters and issues arising during the audit and written representations that have been sought</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation of independence and objectivity</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, relationships and other matters which might be thought to bear on independence</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of non-audit work performed by Grant Thornton UK LLP and network firms, together with fees charged</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Details of safeguards applied to threats to independence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material weaknesses in internal control identified during the audit</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification or suspicion of fraud involving management and/or others which results in material misstatement of the financial statements</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non compliance with laws and regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected modifications to auditor’s report, or emphasis of matter</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted misstatements and material disclosure omissions</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant matters arising in connection with related parties</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant matters in relation to going concern</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices

A. Audit Opinion
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF OLDHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

We have audited the financial statements of Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council (the "Authority") for the year ended 31 March 2017 under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the "Act"). The financial statements comprise the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Group Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Group Movement in Reserves Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Group Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement, the Group Cash Flow Statement, the Housing Revenue Account Income and Expenditure Statement, the Statement of Movement in the Housing Revenue Account, the Collection Fund Statement and the related notes.

The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17.

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Act and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Respective responsibilities of the Director of Finance and auditor

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities, the Director of Finance is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17, which give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law, the Code of Audit Practice published by the National Audit Office on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the "Code of Audit Practice") and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF OLDHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

We anticipate we will provide the Group with an unmodified audit report

Scope of the audit of the financial statements

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Authority and Group's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Director of Finance; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Preface, the Narrative Report, the Group Accounts Introduction and the Annual Governance Statement to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit.

If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

Opinion on financial statements

In our opinion:

- the financial statements present a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and Group as at 31 March 2017 and of the Authority's and Group's expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- the financial statements have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2016/17 and applicable law.

Opinion on other matters

In our opinion, the other information published together with the audited financial statements in the Preface, the Narrative Report, the Group Accounts Introduction and the Annual Governance Statement for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the audited financial statements.
Matters on which we are required to report by exception

We are required to report to you if:

- in our opinion the Annual Governance Statement does not comply with the guidance included in 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016)' published by CIPFA and SOLACE; or
- we have reported a matter in the public interest under section 24 of the Act in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we have made a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Act in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we have exercised any other special powers of the auditor under the Act.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters.

Conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Respective responsibilities of the Authority and auditor

The Authority is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

We are required under Section 20(1) (c) of the Act to be satisfied that the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Scope of the review of the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in November 2016, as to whether the Authority had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people. The Comptroller and Auditor General determined this criteria as that necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the Authority put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2017.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether in all significant respects the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Conclusion

On the basis of our work, having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria issued by the Comptroller and Auditor General in November 2016, we are satisfied that in all significant respects the Authority put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2017.

Delay in certification of completion of the audit

We cannot formally conclude the audit and issue an audit certificate in accordance with the requirements of the Act and the Code of Audit Practice until:

- We have completed the work necessary to issue our Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) Component Assurance statement for the Authority for the year ended 31 March 2017. We are satisfied that this work does not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2017.
- We have completed our consideration of objections brought to our attention by local authority electors under Section 27 of the Act. We are satisfied that these matters do not have a material effect on the financial statements or on our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2017.

Karen Murray
for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Appointed Auditor

4 Hardman Square
Spinningfields
Manchester
M3 3EB

July 2017