SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL OF THE OLDHAM UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN

APPRAISAL OF PRE-INQUIRY CHANGES

NOVEMBER 2004



The Council would like to thank those people who have assisted at all stages in the sustainability appraisal of the draft replacement Unitary Development Plan.

OLDHAM UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL OF PROPOSED PRE-INQUIRY CHANGES, NOVEMBER 2004.

BACKGROUND

- 1. The review of Oldham's Unitary Development Plan began in 2000 with a public consultation on issues relating to land in the Borough. From an early stage, the process of reviewing the plan has taken place alongside the sustainability appraisal of the evolving strategy and policies. Building sustainability appraisal into the plan preparation process, which until the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 was not a mandatory requirement, reflects the Council's commitment to sustainable development as expressed in the Community Strategy.
- 2. The Council's Environmental Policy Section has led and facilitated the sustainability appraisal process, with cross-party Member involvement through a sounding board group. However, the work has been carried out with significant "outside" help. Indeed, a key feature of the Oldham approach has been to involve external stakeholders, such as the Environment Agency, Oldham's Environment Forum (now part of the Environment and Transport Partnership), and the Government Office for the North West. This broad support and input enabled the Council to develop a sustainability appraisal toolkit to assist the process, based on the North West sustainability strategy, Action for Sustainability.
- 3. Action for Sustainability identifies four sustainability objectives:
 - Live social progress and a better quality of life;
 - Protect effective environmental protection;
 - Save to conserve the Region's natural resources; and
 - Grow economic growth and sustainable regional development.

THE NEED FOR SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

- 4. A fundamental rule of sustainability appraisal is that it should be an iterative process, with the results constantly feeding back into policy development, which is then further checked in terms of its impacts on sustainability objectives. Thus appraisal has been carried out at the first deposit draft stage of plan preparation, and at the revised deposit stage when changes were made in response to first deposit objections.
- 5. The next stage in the plan review process is a public local inquiry into outstanding objections to the draft plan. This will start early in 2005. There is a final opportunity before the inquiry starts to respond to new or outstanding objections before the inquiry, by making pre-inquiry changes to the plan. Several pre-inquiry changes to the draft replacement UDP have been proposed, for three reasons:

- a. to reduce the number of objections needing to be considered at the inquiry;
- b. to respond to a new Government planning policy statement published since the revised deposit stage of the plan review; and
- c. to respond to a change in circumstances, particularly to the publication of the Housing Market Renewal prospectus that again post dated the revised deposit stage of the plan.

THE PROPOSED PRE-INQUIRY CHANGES FOR APPRAISAL

6. The significant proposed pre-inquiry changes may be described briefly as follows.

Section 3 The Design of New Development

 Policy D1.2 was rewritten in response to objections from the Government Office for the North West and private developers.

Section 5 Business, Industry and the Local Economy

- Policies B2.1 and B2.2 were extended to allow some housing development on employment land in exceptional circumstances linked to meeting Housing Market Renewal objectives.
- Policy B1.5 for large office developments attracting a significant number of trips was amended to meet an objection from the Government Office for the North West.

Section 6 Housing

 The approach to housing policy was altered to change the assumptions about clearance levels and clearance replacement, some allocations were brought forward from phase 2 to phase 1, two new phase 1 allocations were added, the treatment of vacancy was changed, and assumptions about windfall rates have been altered. This is to bring the plan as up to date as possible in the light of the Housing Market Renewal initiative.

Section 7 Retail and Leisure Development

- The definition of "edge of town centre" in terms of retail planning policy was clarified in response to an objection.
- The cumulative impact of small "local needs" shops on town and district centres was made a consideration, in response to a perceived problem of growing numbers of such shops in Primary Employment Zones.

Section 9 Community and Education Facilities

 The threshold for the consideration of the need for additional school places linked to new housing developments set out in policy CF1.5 has been lowered from 50 to 30 dwellings, in response to objections. Also the definition of community facilities has been widened to cover social clubs.

Section 10 Open Space, Sport and Recreation Facilities

- The recreational open space typology in policy R1 has seen the addition of a further type of urban fringe open space, in response to an objection, and the policy has been slightly amended to align it more closely with revised PPG17.
- References to other local strategies have been introduced into the Recreation chapter in response to an objection from Sport England.

Section 11 Open Environment

 A reference has been added to policy OE2 about the Peak District National Park to overcome an objection from the Park Authority.

Section 12 Conservation of the Historic Environment

 Wording about views in or out of a conservation area have been reinstated in policy C1.1 in response to objections.

Section 13 Natural Resources and Environmental Quality

- The renewable energy policies NR3.1 and NR3.2 have been amended in response to objections.
- 7. These changes needed to be appraised to check both their potential impacts on sustainability objectives, and to check that they were not harming the internal consistency of the draft plan. However, given the relatively low number of changes and the fact that most, apart from the rewriting of policy D1.2, were adjustments to existing policies, it was not considered appropriate or necessary to assemble the entire working group to carry out the appraisal.
- 8. Therefore the Council's Environment Coordinator Simon Robinson, and the Chairman of Oldham's Environment and Transport Partnership of the Local Strategic Partnership, Bill Edwards, met to go through the proposed changes before they were reported to the Cabinet and the Council for approval. The Principal Planning Officer from the Strategic Planning Team, Sarah Barker, attended to present the changes to them.

RESULTS OF THE SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL

Design of New Developme	ent Section Policy D1.	.2 Designing for energ	yy efficiency
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Why has the list translated	Could help reduce	Concern that the	No further change
from para. 3.27 of the	poverty through less	requirements of the	necessary.
reasoned justification to	money having to be	policy could push up	
the policy "lost" reference	spent on fuel.	the price of new	
to avoiding exposed		housing, affecting	
positions and encouraging	Could improve	people's ability to	
roof pitches that can take	health if the policy	access good quality	
solar panels.	reduces fuel	housing that they	
	poverty.	can afford.	

Response: the "exposed positions" point is covered in clause c of the revised policy, and a certain roof pitch is not necessarily a prerequisite for solar technology to be applied effectively, therefore it was considered to be too prescriptive.	Should reduce energy consumption and consequent greenhouse gas emissions.		
Business, Industry and th		etion Policy B1.5 Busi	ness, office and
industrial development or	unallocated land	,	,
General comments	Positive Impacts	Negative Impacts	Outcomes
The basic principle of putting activities that attract large numbers of trips in accessible locations is supported. However, there is concern that the revised policy could work against the reuse of mills in less accessible locations for new, office-based activities. Would therefore wish to see an exceptions clause around the use of mills in less accessible locations, provided that good public transport services and/or a travel plan could be made a requirement.	In ensuring that offices attracting significant trips locate in centres or at transport interchanges, the impact should be positive on access to jobs, choice and use of sustainable transport modes, reducing emissions of greenhouse gases and reducing energy consumption.	There could be negative effects on ensuring the preservation and reuse of the built heritage where such buildings fail to meet the locational criterion. Previously the policy may have allowed this as an exception. The importance of protecting the mill heritage needs emphasising somewhere in the plan.	No further change to B1.5, but check through the plan to assess whether the protection of the mill heritage should be emphasised more elsewhere.
Response: protecting the Borough's historical assets is already a plan objective. The exceptions clause in the revised deposit draft policy is what drew the objection from the Government Office. Reverting to this wording would not remove the objection. The scope of the plan to protect mills is			

limited, as planning permission is not needed to demolish those mills which are not listed or in a conservation area. Policy B1.5 is only about office			
uses of a scale that may attract trips from a very wide catchment. An			
alternative way to address the concern if there are			
specific mills in mind may be to raise it in connection with those allocations.			
Policies B2.1 Primary Emp	oloyment Zones and I	32.2 Protection of exi	sting employment
General Comments	Positive Impacts	Negative Impacts	Outcomes
First impressions were a concern about any potential net loss of employment land. However, explanation of the criteria through which land release would be controlled, and the compensatory measures required, helped to allay it to some extent.	Could improve access to jobs through mixed use development. Facilitates the improvement of the housing stock. Could have positive impacts on reducing poverty if the sites are not in full productive use and the compensatory measures improve the usability of other land, or people's access to jobs.	Will the resulting houses be too expensive because of the need to cover the costs of the compensatory measures? There could be a net loss of employment land but the compensation arrangements should ensure that the impact on the economy and therefore poverty and access to jobs would not be adverse.	As a result of concern about the potential depletion of the Borough's stock of employment land, clauses 2 (a) and (b) in B2.1 were swapped, and in the reasoned justification it was indicated that the first choice would be for the replacement of the land. The discussion also highlighted the need for an employment land study to support the monitoring of this
Housing Section – policies Housing land release phase		 quirement and supply	policy. , H1.1 and H1.2
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Discussion of changes to	Provided the	The new and	A non-UDP
the Housing Section	changes go hand in	extended housing	outcome: the need
sparked a wider debate	hand with defending	allocations, all of	for more direct
first about how and where	employment land	which affect	involvement by Environment and
social housing that gets cleared through HMR may	and retaining a stock of it (see	employment sites, could impact	Transport
Geared tillough filvir hay	ו איסטע טו וו לאבב	Loould iiiipact	Γιαπορυπ

be replaced, and the need to ensure that this is picked up in the master planning processes. A need was identified for the Environment and Transport Partnership to also take a design leadership role to raise aspirations for the type of housing people want to live in.	above) then there should be positive impacts on access to jobs and services, and increasing the proportion of the population in good quality, affordable and resource efficient housing.	negatively on reducing poverty.	Partnership in the HMR master planning process was identified – Env Coordinator to action.
Retail and Leisure Develo	pment Section Policy	S1.2 Development Be	eyond the Central
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
None	Through ensuring that sites closer to the shopping core would be more sequentially favourable than those more distant from it, there should be positive impacts on access to jobs, goods and services, health (by encouraging people to park or arrive by bus and then get out and walk around the centre), and improving social connections (through countering the tendency for activities to disperse).	None	No further change necessary.
Policy S2.3 New shops se			
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Find the wording of new clause f. of the policy difficult to follow.	Through concentrating retail activity into centres where it is more accessible to more people, there should be positive impacts	Overall the positive impacts were considered to outweigh the possible negative impact of stifling small scale	Wording of the changes to S2.3 amended to clarify it

	on access to jobs, goods and services, health (by encouraging people to park or arrive by bus and then get out and walk around the centre), and improving social connections (through countering dispersal tendencies).	enterprise.	
Community and Education		definition, and policy	CF1.5 Developer
Concret comments	_ • •	Negative impacts	Outcomes
General comments	Positive Impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes No further change
Welcome the inclusion of social clubs within the definition, in recognition of the role they may play in providing meeting spaces.	The reduced threshold in CF1.5 should facilitate children being able to attend a school close to where they live thus improving access to local services and reducing the need to travel. Protecting social clubs may help to increase social connections.	Will another requirement placed on developers push up the price of new homes and thereby reduce access to affordable housing? The clause about the viability of schemes should help to ensure against this.	No further change necessary.
Open Space, Sport and Reand recreation facilities, R	21.3 Protection of play	ing fields, R2.2 Gene	ral criteria relating
to new or improved open Appendix E.	space, outdoor and ir	lador sport and recre	ation facilities, and
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Two questions were	Addition of	None.	No further changes
posed.	accessible urban		necessary.
What is the difference	fringe type to R1		
between a playing pitch	should help to		
and playing field?	improve access to		
Statutory Instrument 1996/1817 defines a	amenities.		
playing field as the whole			
of a site which			
encompasses at least one			
playing pitch. Land owned			
by a local authority which			

falls within this definition			
includes for example			
parkland, open space			
used for informal			
recreation, or land leased			
to sports clubs, as well as			
playing fields used by			
schools, colleges and			
other educational			
institutions.			
How is the urban fringe			
defined in relation to the			
addition of K to R1?			
Anywhere within the			
Oldham Green Belt where			
there is countryside			
managed for public			
access that is not already			
recognised in the typology			
as a country park under			
type A.			
Some concern at the			
references added to parts			
of the reasoned			
justification about non-			
specific approved			
strategies. This could			
cover a wide range of			
strategies – will it be			
practical to implement?			
Decree the officers			
Response: the reference			
was added in at the			
request of Sport England.			
The strategies referred to			
would be those with a			
direct bearing on matters			
of sport, recreation and			
open space.	n Dollov OE2		
Open Environment Sectio General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Concern at lack of a	None identified.	None identified.	
formal national	TAOHE IGEHUNGG.	rione identified.	No further change needed to plan.
designation for Oldham's			SB to report back to
part of the South Pennines			Environment and
outside the Peak Park.			Transport
Can we extend the Peak			Partnership
Park or designate the			regarding the
South Pennines as an			questions.
Scatt i Stillings as all		l .	4400000101

Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty?			
This is not a UDP matter.			
Conservation of the Histo	ric Environment Sect	ion Policy C1.1 Deve	elopment within or
affecting the setting of co	nservation areas	-	-
General comments	Positive impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
None	Making the change	None	No further change
	in response to		needed to plan.
	objectors may help		
	to encourage		
	communities to		
	engage in local		
	decision making.		
Natural Resources and En	nvironmental Quality S	Section – Policies N	R3.1 Renewable
energy developments and	NR3.2 Wind develop	ments.	
General Comments	Positive Impacts	Negative impacts	Outcomes
Should reflect the fact that	Making changes in	None.	Insert "renewable"
these policies are about	response to		into the title of this
renewable energy in the	objectors may again		subsection.
title to the section (which	help to encourage		In the last para. of
currently reads Energy	communities to		NR3.1 and NR3.2,
Policies).	engage in local		revert to word
	decision making.		"damage".
The wording of the final			
paragraph of both policies	In setting out a		
had been changed to refer	clearer framework		
to "unavoidable	for renewables		
impacts" rather than	applications, the		
damage (to reflect	changes could:		
PPS22). The group	Reduce greenhouse		
requested that this be	gas emissions,		
changed back, since	improve health		
impacts may be positive	through reduced		
as well as negative and	emissions whist		
therefore the word	safeguarding		
"damage" is clearer.	amenity; and		
	increase the		
	proportion of energy		
	generated from		
	sustainable and		
	renewable		
	resources.		

CONCLUSIONS

Undertaking a sustainability appraisal is a difficult task, which involves identifying all the different ways in which a policy may impact on sustainability objectives, and then weighing different impacts to determine the overall effect

of the policy and whether it needs to be revised. But this is only a small part of the process. Further very significant benefits to policy formulation arise from the sustainability appraisal process:

- policies get checked from the angle of each of the four sustainability objectives of live, protect, grow and save, ensuring that each policy has been through a thorough and methodical assessment;
- in this context, sustainability appraisal brings non-planning viewpoints to the consideration of policies, which can help to fundamentally challenge the scope and objectives of the policies being assessed;
- it helps to identify how or where policies may be improved or clarified;
- it helps to identify links to other areas of work where action may be needed to ensure positive outcomes from the implementation of the policy; and
- it can help to flag up other areas where work is needed in order to work towards our sustainability objectives.

In this case, there are some key findings. Those that pointed to the need for modification of the proposed pre-inquiry changes have been implemented. Other findings and observations are as follows.

- 1. A recurring theme in several policies related to potentially raising the cost of new housing, for example through ambitious design requirements or attaching too many requirements to them for financial contributions from developers. In the cases of the three policy areas in which this arose, two of the policies do have some built in flexibility that would allow other objectives (such as affordable housing) to take priority. However, it is an area which needs careful consideration. Developers need to be made aware of all the potential costs of a development so that they may be reflected in the price paid for the land. To help to address this, the local planning authority intends to prepare a supplementary planning document to sit alongside this replacement UDP, detailing all the policy areas to which a requirement for planning obligations may be attached. More information will be available about this when the Council's first Local Development Scheme is published in Spring 2005.
- The appraisal confirmed the need for a study of employment land in the Borough to deepen understanding of the relationship between jobs and land and of the land and locational needs of modern businesses and industries. The possibility of such a study will be pursued with the Economic Partnership of the Local Strategic Partnership through the Community Strategy.
- 3. The need for more direct involvement by the Environment and Transport Partnership in Housing Market Renewal master planning was flagged up. The Environment Coordinator is to action this.
- 4. The lack of a national designation for part of the South Pennines was raised. In response to this, the process for achieving such designation will be reported to the Environment and Transport Partnership.