

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>Introduction</b> 0021/2/077/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/033/O) Government Office for the North West
<b>Introduction 1.13</b>	
1839/2/006/S	Support English Partnerships Welcome the Council's recognition that the Housing Market Renewal Fund will assist in significantly improving the Borough's housing stock.
<b>Introduction 1.14</b>	
1839/2/005/S	Support English Partnerships Welcome reference to improving the energy performance of dwellings through Housing Market Renewal. It reflects national planning guidance, sustainable construction ideas, & English Partnerships' commitment to best practice and improving standards.
<b>Introduction 1.15</b>	
1839/2/004/S	Support English Partnerships English Partnerships welcomes the correlation between the promotion of housing renewal and the promotion of sustainable communities, as outlined in paragraph 1.15.
<b>Introduction 1.2</b>	
0021/2/083/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/020/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdrawn.
<b>Introduction 1.21, 1.25</b>	
0008/2/040/S	Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/015/O) Countryside Agency Conditionally withdraw objections to first deposit draft paragraphs 1.12 and 1.13.
<b>Introduction 1.25</b>	
0036/2/009/S	Support (FD Ref: 0036/1/002/O) Peak District National Park Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 1.13 (revised paragraph reference 1.25).

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Introduction 1.33</b>	
1777/2/003/O      Objection	Delph Community Association
Objects to the sentiments and wording of this paragraph. No-one is likely to read the plan as a whole but will use it for guidance on specific issues. The paragraph should be deleted and extensive cross-referencing provided throughout the plan.	
0828/2/026/O      Objection	Saddleworth Civic Trust
This paragraph should be deleted and extensive cross-referencing provided throughout the plan, as no one will read the entire document.	
<b>Introduction Original 1.10 now deleted</b>	
0008/2/038/S      Support    (FD Ref: 0008/1/016/O)	Countryside Agency
Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 1.10.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Planning Strategy</b>	
<b>GS0 2.10</b> 1839/2/002/S	Support Paragraph 2.10 highlights the importance of monitoring to ensure that delivery is controlled.
	English Partnerships
<b>GS0 2.11, Table 2.1</b> 1839/2/001/S	Support Table 2.1 is supported because it sets out the Council's monitoring regime. Monitoring will ensure that the delivery of new housing is controlled, thereby supporting housing market renewal.
	English Partnerships
<b>GS0 2.3</b> 0008/2/039/S	Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/017/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 2.1 (former General Strategy Section).
	Countryside Agency
<b>GS0 2.3 c.</b> 1944/2/010/O	Objection Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Greater emphasis should be placed on local housing needs in settlements isolated from the main urban area, particularly in Diggle where no allocations have been made.
	W H Shaw
1839/2/003/S	Support Paragraph 2.3 c. explains how the Housing Market Renewal Fund will sit alongside the delivery of housing through the planning system. Monitoring throughout the plan period will facilitate delivery.
	English Partnerships
<b>GS0 2.3 d.</b> 1944/2/011/O	Objection Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Emphasis on strategic employment sites is supported. However, this is not so for those employment sites that have not come forward over life of extant UDP or that are not of strategic value. Employment strategy needs to take account of revised PPG3.
	W H Shaw
<b>GS0 2.3 f.</b> 1777/2/002/O	Objection
	Delph Community Association

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Delete the second and third sentences and replace with "Developments in the Green Belt will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances and the need to maintain the openness and visual amenity will be a priority."
1616/2/005/O	The National Trust
Objection	
The statement does not reflect Government policy on Green Belt. It should be amended to remove the reference to renewable energy generation or clarify that very special circumstances will need to be demonstrated to justify inappropriate development.	
0828/2/025/O	Saddleworth Civic Trust
Objection	
The wording implies that the strong protection of the Green Belt may be relaxed in the case of certain developments. It should emphasise that development will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. New wording suggested.	
<b>GS0 2.4</b>	
0108/2/016/S	The House Builders Federation
Support (FD Ref: 0108/1/010/O)	
Conditionally withdrawn. (Objection originally related to GS1)	
0113/2/033/O	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd
Objection (FD Ref: 0113/1/013/O)	
Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership	
To have to wait for monitoring and partial alteration to the plan could result in potential development sites remaining vacant contrary to the advice in PPG1, PPG3, PPG4 and emerging reviews of the same.	
<b>GS0 2.5</b>	
0113/2/032/S	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd
Support	
Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd	
Supports proposed changes.	
<b>GS0 Original 2.2 Now Deleted</b>	
0006/2/024/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/013/O)	
Conditionally withdrawn objection to first deposit paragraph 2.2.	
<b>Original GS1 Now Deleted</b>	
0740/2/038/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Original GS2 Now Deleted</b>	
0149/2/022/S	English Nature
Support	
Concur that the protection of certain types of land from inappropriate development is now better covered by other policies in the revised deposit draft UDP, and therefore support the deletion of this policy.	
<hr/>	
0740/2/039/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	
<hr/>	
<b>Original GS3 Now Deleted</b>	
0008/2/036/S	Countryside Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/019/O)	
Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS3.	
<hr/>	
0740/2/040/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	
<hr/>	
0113/2/031/W	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd
Support (FD Ref: 0113/1/011/O)	Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
Unconditional withdrawal.	
<hr/>	
<b>Original GS4 Now Deleted</b>	
0740/2/041/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	
<hr/>	
<b>Original GS5 Now Deleted</b>	
0740/2/042/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	
<hr/>	
<b>Original GS6 Now Deleted</b>	
0740/2/043/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support	
Support deletion.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Original GS7 Now Deleted</b>	
0008/2/034/S Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/021/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS7.	Countryside Agency
0006/2/028/S Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/017/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft UDP policy GS7.	Highways Agency
0021/2/078/S Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/031/O) Conditionally withdrawn	Government Office for the North West
0740/2/044/S Support Support deletion.	North West Regional Assembly
0149/2/030/W Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/009/O) Unconditionally withdraws. (Moved to new Policy NR1.1)	English Nature

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>The Design of New Development</b>	
<b>D1</b>	
0008/2/043/S Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/013/O) Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.	Countryside Agency
0740/2/045/S Support Support proposed changes.	North West Regional Assembly
<b>D1.1</b>	
0021/2/113/S Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/016/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to policy D1.1.	Government Office for the North West
0038/2/061/S Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/013/O) Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1, given the changes to policy D1.1 criterion g.	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
0008/2/044/S Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/014/O) Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1.	Countryside Agency
0149/2/038/S Support Supports this policy, which seeks to ensure a range of benefits and safeguards to the nature conservation interests of the Borough through appropriate design considerations in all development.	English Nature
0021/2/114/O Objection The "etc." at the end of criterion b. in policy D1.1 should either be fully explained or deleted.	Government Office for the North West
<b>D1.1 3.14 to 3.16</b>	
1827/2/011/O Objection The requirement for design statements to be submitted in support of all planning applications (including outline) is opposed, because design statements will not always be necessary, and design requirements would better be set out in SPG.	Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship
<b>D1.1 k) 3.16, 3.21</b>	
0006/2/039/S Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/009/O)	Highways Agency

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1 criterion k. and paragraphs 3.15 and 3.20.	
<b>D1.11</b> 0006/2/038/S	Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/008/O) Highways Agency
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.11.	
<b>D1.12</b> 0038/2/058/S	Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/002/O) Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.12.	
1945/2/003/O	Objection Mobile Operators Association Agent : Mono Consultants Limited
Object to the addition to policy D1.12 criterion c. i) of the words "and, where it is established that there are no such locations;" because it replicates what criterion c. already says, is therefore unnecessary and makes the policy more cumbersome.	
1945/2/002/S	Support Mobile Operators Association Agent : Mono Consultants Limited
Support the deletion of the imposition of a planning condition to remove redundant equipment, as this is covered under separate regulations.	
<b>D1.12 3.87 3.90</b> 1945/2/001/S	Support Mobile Operators Association Agent : Mono Consultants Limited
Support the changes to these paragraphs, as they are minor in nature.	
<b>D1.12 3.90</b> 0021/2/120/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/019/O) Government Office for the North West
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.12 and paragraph 3.75.(now 3.90)	
<b>D1.2</b> 1616/2/008/S	Support The National Trust



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Policies such as D1.2 have an important role to play in encouraging and promoting greater energy efficiency through the planning system. Hope that further guidance in the form of an SPG will be prepared.
0021/2/102/O	Government Office for the North West Objection (FD Ref: 0002/1/011/O) Policy ought to include criteria which must be met if planning permission is to be granted. (Supersedes objection ref. 0021/1/011/O to original policy D1.2 which has been deleted)
0045/2/036/O	Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Objection Policy/explanation does not make clear that there are other considerations that may override energy efficiency in considering development layouts. Amend policy/explanation to make this clear.
1827/2/012/O	Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship Objection Support the opportunistic approach that this policy adopts in promoting innovative and energy efficient design, but the Council should consider translating these requirements into Supplementary Planning Guidance.
<b>D1.3</b>	
0021/2/118/S	Government Office for the North West Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/017/O) Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.3.
<b>D1.4</b>	
0038/2/063/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/014/O) Conditionally withdraws objection and welcomes the change to D1.4 to "features of substantive nature conservation value", and the changes to paragraphs 3.45, 3.47 and 3.48.
0038/2/062/O	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit Objection Object to the inclusion of the words "insofar as is reasonably practicable", since it is unnecessary and weakens the policy.
0149/2/037/S	English Nature Support Strongly support this policy. Welcome the onus placed on developers to demonstrate that adverse impacts have been avoided where possible, and adequately mitigated for where harm is unavoidable.
<b>D1.5</b>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0113/2/022/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0113/1/002/O)	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
Note changes proposed which are supported but comment that the mechanism for providing trees elsewhere needs a better explanation.	
0149/2/036/S      Support	English Nature
Welcomes this policy which gives a good level of protection to existing trees from development, seeks adequate replanting of indigenous species for trees lost to development, and employs Section 106 Agreements to secure compensatory planting.	
<b>D1.5 3.50</b> 0038/2/060/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0038/1/015/O)	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.5 and paragraph 3.50, which was paragraph 3.40 at first deposit stage.	
<b>D1.6</b> 0108/2/014/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0108/1/003/O)	The House Builders Federation
Conditionally withdrawn.	
0021/2/119/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/018/O)	Government Office for the North West
Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.6.	
0038/2/059/O      Objection	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Object to the inclusion of the wording "where appropriate" in policy D1.6, since landscape design should be an integral part of all new development. This objection supersedes support reference 0038/1/016/S.	
0149/2/035/S      Support	English Nature
Welcomes and supports this policy requiring habitat creation to be incorporated into landscaping schemes, which should be integral to new development.	
<b>D1.7 3.68</b> 0270/2/002/O      Objection	Greater Manchester Police, ALU
Support the change but require additional wording: "In the development of buildings to minimise the impact of crime, buildings should be designed to meet the standards of the Police Secured By Design award."	

**D1.9**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0006/2/040/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0006/1/010/O) Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.9.	Highways Agency
<hr/>	
<b>Original D1.2 Now Deleted</b> 0108/2/015/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0108/1/002/O) Conditionally withdrawn.	The House Builders Federation
<hr/>	
<b>Original D1.2 Now Deleted Original 3.24 Now Deleted</b> 0113/2/021/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0113/1/003/O) Changes satisfy original objection.	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Transport</b>	
<b>Original T1.2 Now deleted</b> 0040/2/025/S	Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/001/O) Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2 (Local park and ride).
0008/2/041/S	Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/033/O) Countryside Agency Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2 (Local park and ride).
0021/2/088/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/012/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2.
<b>Original T1.2 Now Deleted</b>	
0026/2/023/O	Objection GMPTTE Object to deletion of policy T1.2 relating to Local park and ride.
<b>T0</b>	
1879/2/002/O	Objection Richard Darlington Ensure the old railway tracks are preserved for level footways and for the future possibility of the reintroduction of rail transport in future generations.
<b>Park &amp; Ride in Green Belt</b>	
0026/2/026/W	Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/002/O) GMPTTE Unconditionally withdraws
<b>T0 4.1, 4.3 g.</b>	
0026/2/024/S	Support GMPTTE The amendments are supported as they add more clarity to the chapter with regard to the Council's position on the relationship between the location of new development and the transport network, better reflecting PPG13 and supporting the LTP.
<b>T0 4.3</b>	
0006/2/031/S	Support Highways Agency

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	The increased emphasis now placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means is welcomed.
<b>T0 4.4</b> 0021/2/070/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/021/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw.
<b>T0 4.4 i</b> 0036/2/010/S	Support (FD Ref: 0036/1/006/O) Peak District National Park Unconditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 4.5 i., now revised draft paragraph 4.4 i.
<b>T1</b> 0006/2/033/S	Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/002/O) Highways Agency Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1 (the transport network).
<b>T1.1</b> 0006/2/034/S	Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/003/O) Highways Agency Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 (transport infrastructure).
0021/2/092/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/009/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1.
1947/2/001/S	Support Yorkshire Mills Ltd. Agent : Chorlton Planning Support the repositioning of the proposed Diggle Railway Station closer to the potential tourism development area centred on Tunnel End and Warth Mill/Saddleworth Business Centre. It will provide visitors and employees with public transport access.
<b>T1.1 4.10</b> <b>Derker Metrolink stop park and ride</b>	
0184/2/002/O	Objection Groundwork Oldham & Rochdale

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Objects to the identification on the Proposals Map of a possible strategic park and ride site, associated with Derker Metrolink stop, between the railway line and Shaw Road.
<b>T1.1 4.11</b> 0026/2/025/S	Support The amended paragraph is supported, as it better reflects the current position with regard to any potential railway station at Diggle.
<b>T1.1 4.12</b> 0021/2/093/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/010/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 and paragraph 4.10.
<b>T1.1 4.13</b> 0042/2/007/S	Support (FD Ref: 0042/1/001/O) Shaw & Crompton Parish Council Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 (Transport Infrastructure) and paragraph 4.11, now revised deposit draft policy T1.1 and paragraph 4.13.
<b>T1.1 b.</b> 0026/2/022/W	Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/003/O) GMPTE Unconditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 clause b.
<b>T1.2</b> 0036/2/012/S	Support (FD Ref: 0036/1/001/O) Peak District National Park Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.3 and paragraph 4.16, now revised deposit draft policy T1.2 (The Road Network) and paragraph 4.15.
<b>T1.2 4.16</b> 0006/2/035/S	Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/004/O) Highways Agency Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.3 (the road network) and paragraph 4.17, now revised deposit draft policy T1.2 and paragraph 4.16.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>T1.4</b>	
0149/2/027/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0149/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5 and paragraph 4.27, now revised deposit draft policy T1.4 (Canal Corridors) and paragraph 4.26.	English Nature
0149/2/026/W      Support      (FD Ref: 0149/1/002/O) Unconditional withdrawal of objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5, now revised deposit draft policy T1.4.	English Nature
<b>T1.4 4.26 Rochdale Canal</b>	
0691/2/005/S      Support Support the changes, especially insofar as they relate to the Rochdale Canal at Mills Hill and Chadderton Hall Park.	W A Tomlinson
<b>T1.5</b>	
0038/2/047/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0038/1/001/O) Conditional withdrawal of objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5, now revised deposit draft reference T1.4.	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
0040/2/026/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0040/1/002/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.6 (Disused rail infrastructure), now revised deposit draft policy T1.5.	Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
<b>T1.5 4.30</b>	
0026/2/021/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0026/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.6 (Disused rail infrastructure) and paragraph 4.31, now revised deposit draft policy T1.5 and paragraph 4.30.	GMPTE
0026/2/034/S      Support Supports the proposed change.	GMPTE
<b>Oldham Loop Line</b>	
1372/2/005/O      Objection The Oldham loop line between Werneth and Mumps should be protected for future heavy rail use.	D.J.W Harrison

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>T2</b> 0008/2/035/S	Countryside Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/020/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS5.	
0006/2/025/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/014/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit policy GS5.	
0026/2/031/S	GMPTE
Support Previous supports for Policy GS5 (reference 0026/1/008/S) and Policy GS6 (reference 0026/1/009/S) of First Deposit Draft have been transferred to Policy T2 of Revised Deposit Draft.	
0740/2/046/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support Support proposed changes.	
0006/2/030/S	Highways Agency
Support The increased emphasis now placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means, including walking and cycling, is welcomed.	
<b>T2.1</b> 1827/2/007/O	Tesco Stores Ltd
Objection Agent : Development Planning P/ship The requirement for all major new developments generating a significant number of trips to have at least good access to public transport is overtly stringent and is not specified in PPG13. It should be changed to accord with national policy.	
<b>T2.1 4.35,4.36</b> 0006/2/029/S	Highways Agency
Support The increased emphasis placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means is welcomed.	
<b>T2.1 4.36</b> 0243/2/025/O	Alan Roughley
Objection (FD Ref: 0243/1/002/O) Policy implies more housing development which goes against public transport policy this section refers to. Although public transport is not good in parts of Saddleworth increased job opportunities would reduce need to travel.	



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>T3</b> 0006/2/036/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/005/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2 (transport and developments), now revised deposit draft policy T3.	
0006/2/026/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/015/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit policy GS6.	
0026/2/032/S	GMPTE
Support Previous support (reference 0026/1/009/S) for Policy GS6 of First Deposit Draft has been transferred to Policy T3 of Revised Deposit Draft.	
<b>T3 and T2</b> 0021/2/079/S	Government Office for the North West
Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/030/O) Conditionally withdrawn.	
<b>T3.1 4.38</b> 0006/2/027/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/016/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit paragraph 2.27 under former policy GS6.	
<b>T3.1 4.39</b> 0006/2/037/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/006/O) Partially withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.1 (Access to new developments) paragraph 4.34, but wish to see references in revised deposit draft policy T3.1 paragraphs 4.38 and 4.39 amended from "DLTR" to "DTLR" (factual inaccuracy).	
<b>T3.1 4.44</b> 0026/2/033/S	GMPTE
Support Previous support (reference 0026/1/017/S) for Policy T2.3 of First Deposit Draft has been transferred to Policy T3.1 para 4.44 of Revised Deposit Draft.	
<b>T3.2</b> 0021/2/089/S	Government Office for the North West
Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/013/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.2, now revised deposit policy T3.2.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<p><b>T3.2 4.47</b> 0006/2/032/S</p>	<p>Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/007/O) <span style="float: right;">Highways Agency</span> Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.2 (Developments with significant transport implications) and paragraph 4.43, now revised deposit draft policy reference T3.2 and paragraph 4.47.</p>
<p><b>T3.3</b> 0021/2/090/S</p>	<p>Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/014/O) <span style="float: right;">Government Office for the North West</span> Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.4, now revised deposit policy reference T3.3.</p>
<p><b>T3.3 4.55</b> 0026/2/035/O</p>	<p>Objection <span style="float: right;">GMPTE</span> Object to inclusion of text at paragraph 4.55. Wording relating to park &amp; ride should be included within the policy or as a separate policy. Appropriately developed P&amp;R facilities can have a positive impact on car travel, congestion etc.</p>
<p><b>T3.3 Original 4.48 Now Deleted</b> 0021/2/091/S</p>	<p>Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/015/O) <span style="float: right;">Government Office for the North West</span> Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.4, now revised deposit draft policy reference T3.3, first deposit draft paragraph number 4.48 (deleted at revised deposit).</p>

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Business, Industry and the Local Economy</b>	
<b>B0</b>	
0008/2/042/W Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/009/O) Unconditionally withdrawn.	Countryside Agency
<hr/>	
<b>B0 5.3</b>	
1944/2/009/O Objection	W H Shaw
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners	
Review of employment sites referred to in para. 5.3 does not consider instances where existing employment development uses or future development is no longer viable. Employment sites should be reviewed in light of changes to PPG3.	
<hr/>	
<b>B0 5.4</b>	
1944/2/013/O Objection	W H Shaw
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners	
Changes are inappropriate to protect employment uses on sites in the urban fringe and countryside where business uses are unachievable. The paragraph should be reworded to account for the guidance in PPG3.	
<hr/>	
1944/2/008/O Objection	W H Shaw
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners	
Proposed changes are inappropriate to protect employment uses on sites in the urban fringe and countryside where business uses are unachievable. Tourism is only viable in certain locations. Amend in light of recent changes to PPG3.	
<hr/>	
<b>B1</b>	
0038/2/046/S Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/007/O)	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Given the changes to other policies (see 0038/1/004/O) and the additional wording in paragraph 5.4 "there are circumstances where proposals for employment uses must be weighed against other UDP objectives..." the Unit withdraws its objection.	
<hr/>	
0740/2/047/S Support	North West Regional Assembly
Support proposed changes.	
<hr/>	
<b>B1.1</b>	
<b>B1.1.31 Union Street West/Oldham Way, Oldham Now Moved to B1.2</b>	
0026/2/028/S Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/005/O)	GMPTTE

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Conditionally withdraws.	
<hr/>	
<b>B1.1 5.10</b>	
<b>B1.1.1 Tweedale Way, Hollinwood</b>	
0038/2/048/O	<p style="text-align: right;">Greater Manchester Ecology Unit</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Given the changes to Policy B1.4 it is difficult to relate these to the original objection. The addition of paragraph 5.10 is welcome, although as site B1.1.1 also appears to be adjacent to the Rochdale Canal it should be included in the paragraph.</p>
<hr/>	
<b>B1.1 5.9</b>	
1944/2/012/O	<p style="text-align: right;">W H Shaw</p> <p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners</p> <p>Proposed changes do not take account of employment sites located in conservation areas or listed buildings where employment uses would have adverse effects on character and setting of the listed building which is contrary to PPG15.</p>
<hr/>	
<b>B1.2</b>	
1827/2/008/S	<p style="text-align: right;">Tesco Stores Ltd</p> <p>Support</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Agent : Development Planning P/ship</p> <p>The role other employment generating uses which complement adjoining development or are compatible with B1 or B2 uses can have is supported. The Council should be mindful of the role retail investment can have on job creation and local economy.</p>
<hr/>	
<b>B1.1.2 Albert Street, Hollinwood</b>	
0154/2/002/O	<p style="text-align: right;">Mrs Joan Gipson</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Do not want to see development on the site.</p>
<hr/>	
0159/2/002/O	<p style="text-align: right;">Miss Janet Gipson</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Do not want to see development on the site.</p>
<hr/>	
<b>B1.1.32 Oldham Way/Mumps, Oldham</b>	
0026/2/029/S	<p style="text-align: right;">GMPTE</p> <p>Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/006/O)</p> <p>Conditionally withdraws.</p>
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>B1.2.1 Southlink Business Park</b>	
0026/2/027/W Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/004/O) Unconditionally withdraws.	GMPTE
<b>B1.2.3 Union Street West/Oldham Way, Oldham</b>	
0251/2/004/O Objection (FD Ref: 0251/1/001/O) Joint Case This is a key site on one of the main approaches to the Town Centre. It is highly visible and a more flexible approach to uses for the site needs to be taken. Reallocate the site for mixed use development.	Meridian Development Company Ltd Agent : Inside Out Design
<b>B1.2.5 Primrose Street/Crossbank Street, Oldham Previously B1.1.33</b>	
0251/2/005/O Objection (FD Ref: 0251/1/002/O) Joint Case Map Change Ref No. 5/11. This is a key site on one of the main approaches to the Town Centre. It is highly visible and a more flexible approach to uses for the site needs to be taken. Reallocate the site for mixed use development.	Meridian Development Company Ltd Agent : Inside Out Design
<b>B1.2.6 Albert Street, Hollinwood Previously B.1.1.2</b>	
0359/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0359/1/001/O) Joint Case This is the last piece of green land between Failsworth and Hollinwood. It should be classified as either Recreational Open Space or Local Green Gap.	Friends of the Wood
<b>B1.2 5.13</b>	
0021/2/086/S Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/027/O) Conditionally withdraws.	Government Office for the North West
<b>B1.2 5.14</b>	
<b>B1.1.33 Primrose Street/Crossbank Street, Oldham</b>	
0026/2/030/S Support (FD Ref: 0026/1/007/O) Partially withdraws.	GMPTE
<b>B1.2.3, B1.2.4</b>	
1372/2/006/O Objection	D.J.W Harrison

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Objects to the allocations for business use without measures being taken to protecting the rail corridors in expectation that a possible reversion to rail use, if Metrolink do not use it, will be required in the future.
<b>B1.3</b> 0040/2/023/S Joint Case	Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/003/O)  Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
	Conditionally withdraws.
1780/2/004/O	Objection Mixed use developments must be protected from "cherry picking" by the developer by securing appropriate phasing arrangements as part of the grant of planning permission. Cllr R. Knowles
<b>M1 Frenches Wharf</b>	
1775/2/001/O	Objection A large supermarket would destroy existing village shops. Wants only a small retail allowed on the site. J W Buckleys
1776/2/001/O	Objection A large supermarket would destroy local shops. Only permit small size retail units. J W Buckley
1782/2/001/O	Objection A major supermarket would close most small food retailers and the quality of life would suffer. David Shenton
1814/2/001/O	Objection A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. Retain the mill. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Mr & Mrs D Aldred
1811/2/001/O Joint Case	Objection A supermarket development is not in keeping with the village and would result in squeezing out the local shops. Traffic congestion has exceeded the infrastructure capacity. Remove the supermarket and permit smaller shops. David Hadfield
1806/2/001/O	Objection A supermarket is not acceptable. The main road is too congested already to cope with extra traffic. Local shops would suffer and the quiet community would be destroyed. Housing, non-retail and leisure is acceptable. N R Evans

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1807/2/001/O Objection A supermarket would radically change the village. Cancel the supermarket and have smaller shops in keeping with Greenfield's village character.	A Bradbury
0828/2/035/O Objection (FD Ref: 0828/1/018/O) As at first deposit stage, whilst not objecting to mixed use at the site, this usage should be conditional upon maintaining the distinctive character of the site by retaining the bulk of the historic mill buildings.	Saddleworth Civic Trust
0347/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0347/1/001/O) Campaign Group opposes a supermarket and concerned about the significant impact this would have on the character of the village. Allow a maximum unit size of 400 sqm for tourism-related developments. Limit retail to 10% of total development.	Knoll Mill Campaign Group
1804/2/001/O Objection Change to include retail development within the mix threatens local shops and jobs. Remove the retail element of the mix.	Howard Greenwood
0368/2/007/O Objection Housing is not main priority. Industrial component has to be real. Ensure all components of an approved application are developed, not just housing element. Include something to benefit the village that has a dearth of social and other amenities.	Dr David Atherton
1800/2/001/O Objection Mix invites a food store development. Retail policy will not protect viability of local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops and fabric of village. Remove reference to retail. Refurbish the mill.	G A Bywater
0607/2/002/O Objection Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.	D. McNeeney
1797/2/001/O Objection Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size.	G and K Slater
1802/2/001/O Objection Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.	Claire Dainty

This objection was also made by the following people

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1803/2/001/O      Objection	Graham Dean
1816/2/001/O      Objection	John B Howcroft
1799/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Paul Lowcock
1798/2/001/O      Objection	Audrey Park
1791/2/001/O      Objection	Neil D Stewart
Joint Case	
<p>Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. There is enough in Oldham Town. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.</p>	
1805/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Marsden
<p>Mixed use designation opens way for a food store that is not supported locally, and which would attract shoppers away from Oldham. Retain PEZ designation. Limit retail to local needs Encourage sports/leisure/business opportunities.</p>	
1789/2/001/O      Objection	Liz Sayers
Joint Case	
<p>No retail at Frenches Wharf. Remove the reference to retail.</p>	
1787/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J A Hirst
<p>No retail development is acceptable. Keep the mill.</p>	
1796/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Stanford
Joint Case	
<p>Not in favour of a retail development due to impacts on traffic and local shops.</p>	
1809/2/001/O      Objection	Timothy Scott
<p>Not in favour of a supermarket and concerned about the traffic implications of any supermarket development.</p>	
1761/2/001/O      Objection	L A Allsopp
<p>Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.</p>	

This objection was also made by the following people



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1762/2/001/O     Objection	Mr & Mrs Bailey
This objection was also made by the following people	
1763/2/001/O     Objection	D L Bradbury
1764/2/001/O     Objection	Jon Bradnum
1772/2/001/O     Objection	T Brewster
1765/2/001/O     Objection	P & G Brisk
1767/2/001/O     Objection	M Cooke
1768/2/001/O     Objection	R Cowen
1760/2/001/O     Objection	Fletchers Newsagent
1759/2/001/O     Objection	Christina Frost
1758/2/001/O     Objection	Karen Gallagher
1770/2/001/O     Objection	Janet Hannah
Joint Case	
1771/2/001/O     Objection	Mr & Mrs H Kidd
1766/2/001/O     Objection	Gill Kilgour
1757/2/001/O     Objection	Mrs L A Matthews
1756/2/001/O     Objection	Louanne J Pellowe-Bailey
1755/2/001/O     Objection	Peter Sharpe
1754/2/001/O     Objection	Carol L Shaw
1769/2/001/O     Objection	Ian South
1753/2/001/O     Objection	Caroline Sykes
1752/2/001/O     Objection	Malcolm Taylor
1751/2/001/O     Objection	P Townrow

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1750/2/001/O      Objection	J White
This objection was also made by the following people	
1749/2/001/O      Objection	W Wolstenholme
1748/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Wright
1801/2/001/O      Objection Object to the change due to traffic problems that would be created. A food store would destroy local shops. Remove all reference to retail as part of the mixed use designation. Encourage retention of the mill.	Country Posies
1817/2/001/O      Objection Opposed to a food-retail development. Local shops are only marginally viable and their closure would ruin the character of the village. Remove reference to retail in the mix of uses. Residential, business and leisure uses are acceptable.	Andrew Dainty
1779/2/001/O      Objection Opposed to a supermarket. Any store built should be restricted to 2,500 sq.ft.	Village Store
1227/2/003/O      Objection Retail development would adversely affect local shopping facilities in Greenfield centre. Remove reference to retail development from the site designation.	Martin Arthur
0608/2/002/O      Objection Retail element of the mix will displace activity to part of the village already under traffic pressure. Greenfield and Uppermill will merge. Domination of a retail unit would change character of the village. Refer to the site as a green space.	K.A. McNeeney
1785/2/001/O      Objection Retail policies offer limited protection to local shops. No retail as part of the mix of uses or limit the maximum size of any units.	Village Greens
1790/2/001/O      Objection Support the change, but the supermarket dominates. Shopping should reflect the tone of Greenfield.	John H Pellowe
1808/2/001/O      Objection The mixed use designation opens the way for a supermarket which would profoundly change Uppermill and Greenfield. Remove retail element of the mixed use designation.	P A Bywater
1812/2/001/O      Objection Joint Case	Ravenstone Catering Services

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	The site currently forms part of our customer base. The proposed mixed development would take away any expansion opportunities. Develop the site for employment opportunities.
1788/2/001/O Joint Case	Objection Andrew Sayers
	There is no need for a supermarket and there are no improvements for services for young people. Allow mixed business units and small retail units to encourage new business opportunities. Tie any development planning gain in to youth facilities.
1784/2/001/O	Objection Wineways
	Wants retail limited to small units.
0294/2/003/S	Support London Law & Land Agent : Forge Architects
	Welcome the mixed use designation.
0045/2/038/O	Objection Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Welcomes the inclusion of retail within the list of acceptable uses on the site. However, the 40% limit on residential development is arbitrary and without justification. A higher percentage of residential development should be permitted on the site.
<b>M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph</b>	
0165/2/010/O	Objection Cllr Brian Lord
	Objects to the deletion of the site's greenfield status.
0099/2/005/O Joint Case	Objection (FD Ref: 0099/1/002/O) John Saxon Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Objects to the restriction of residential development to 50% of the net developable area. This is too low to justify the economic development of the site. The percentage should be deleted.
<b>M4 Huddersfield Road / Dunkerley Street, Oldham</b>	
1827/2/009/O	Objection Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship
	No justification or explanation of the rationale behind the allocation and why the change from District Centre status has been provided. In light of this, Tesco would question whether the definitive boundary can be established.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
1824/2/001/S      Support	Mr & Dr Burke Agent : Chorlton Planning Support the mixed use allocation at Huddersfield Road District Centre
<hr/>	
<b>B1.5</b> 0021/2/095/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/025/O)	Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws.
<hr/>	
0019/2/006/O      Objection	Lookers PLC Agent : Robert Turley Associates Joint Case Criterion a. Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".
<hr/>	
0021/2/098/O      Objection	Government Office for the North West Criterion b. should reflect the sequential approach set out in RPG Policy DP1.
<hr/>	
0021/2/096/O      Objection	Government Office for the North West In criterion a. the words "within urban areas" should be inserted after "...major public transport interchanges" in order to more closely reflect Policy EC8 of RPG.
<hr/>	
0019/2/007/O      Objection	Lookers PLC Agent : Robert Turley Associates Joint Case Para 5.18. Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".
<hr/>	
0021/2/097/O      Objection	Government Office for the North West The inclusion of the phrase "unless the applicant can justify an exception" should be deleted. Policy EC8 of RPG sets out the approach where capacity is not available in town or district centres and this should be reflected in Policy B1.5.
<hr/>	
<b>B1.7 5.20</b> 0006/2/022/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0006/1/011/O)	Highways Agency Conditionally withdraws.
<hr/>	
<b>B2</b> 0740/2/048/S      Support	North West Regional Assembly

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Support proposed changes.	
<hr/>	
<b>B2.1</b>	
0145/2/002/S Joint Case	National Grid
Support (FD Ref: 0145/1/001/O)	Agent : Malcolm Judd and Partners
Conditionally withdraws. We would like to express our support for the additional text added to paragraph 5.23.	
<hr/>	
0013/2/003/O Joint Case	Keith Lowe
Objection (FD Ref: 0013/1/002/O)	Agent : Power Supply Properties
Object to cross-reference to local needs shopping policy S2.3 in that it makes this policy unduly restrictive. Local needs retailing may be different in PEZs. Raise the threshold to 400 sqm and delete the cross-reference.	
<hr/>	
0261/2/006/O Joint Case	Oxley Threads Ltd
Objection	
Objects to threshold restriction on leisure facilities.	
<hr/>	
<b>PEZ11 Busk, Chadderton</b>	
1828/2/001/S	Lees-Newsome Ltd
Support	Agent : Chorlton Planning
Map Change Ref No. 5/20. The removal of the site from PEZ is supported. (Ashley Mill)	
<hr/>	
<b>PEZ17 Wellyhole Street, Lees</b>	
0140/2/002/S	R Grabowski
Support (FD Ref: 0140/1/001/O)	
Conditionally withdraws.	
<hr/>	
0133/2/004/O	Richardsons Commercial (Oldham) Ltd
Objection (FD Ref: 0133/1/001/O)	Agent : Chorlton Planning
Map Change No. 5/22. Object to the removal of this land from PEZ17.	
<hr/>	
0133/2/003/S	Richardsons Commercial (Oldham) Ltd
Support	Agent : Chorlton Planning
Map Change No. 5/22. Support the addition of this land into PEZ17.	
<hr/>	
<b>PEZ22 Shaw</b>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1820/2/001/O      Objection Map Change No. 7/4. Object to PEZ designation which is considered inappropriate and would restrict future re-development of the area. Remove PEZ designation and allocate as a mixed-use site or retain "permission for convenience retail" designation.	Chorlton Planning
<hr/>	
<b>PEZ28 Tamewater Mill / Land adjacent to Mill</b>	
0229/2/003/O      Objection Map Change No 5/25. PEZ boundary changes are incorrect and inappropriate. Amend boundaries to reflect current business operations. Allow residential uses on the PEZ in line with recent Government policy statements.	Adept Development & Management Ltd Agent : Cordingleys
<hr/>	
<b>PEZ29 Delph New Road, Delph</b>	
0833/2/003/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0833/1/001/O) Partially withdraws. Still concerned about traffic impacts of development on the A62.	Mrs G Clark
<hr/>	
<b>B2.1 criterion (a)</b>	
0019/2/005/O      Objection Joint Case	Lookers PLC Agent : Robert Turley Associates
Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".	
<hr/>	
1873/2/002/O      Objection Joint Case	Power Supply Properties Ltd
Policy requires offices to be located in or adjoining to town or district centres or near major public transport interchanges. This may preclude offices from PEZs. Delete reference to Policy B1.5 from criterion (a) of Policy B2.1.	
<hr/>	
<b>B2.1 criterion (i)</b>	
1873/2/003/O      Objection Joint Case	Power Supply Properties Ltd
Changes fail to account for fact that retailing needs may be different in PEZs and the need to apply sequential test within 400m fails to take account that the site may be more sustainable. Reinstate original text.	
<hr/>	
<b>B2.2</b>	
0113/2/023/O      Objection	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Any current employment site must be assessed as to its contribution to the local economy. Policy even more restrictive than previously, esp criterion (a). In respect of B2.2c question whether the Council has the expertise & may not be impartial.
0006/2/023/S	Highways Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/012/O)	
Conditionally withdraws.	
0045/2/037/O	Wiggett Construction Ltd
Objection	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Draft revision to PPG3 indicates that the policy as a whole is inappropriate, particularly the revised criterion b) that does not clarify instances where the continuation of employment use is not acceptable. Amend criterion b).
0709/2/004/O	Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd
Objection	Agent : J10 Planning
	Floorspace threshold is arbitrary. Delete phrase "which was originally designed as a dwelling". Re-instate six-month marketing test. Include reference to alternative uses if the premises have been empty a year. Add phrase "or for rent/lease".
0109/2/010/O	Austin Timber Company Ltd (ref 4110)
Joint Case	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Policy is too restrictive. Floorspace threshold and marketing requirements are unjustified. Range of uses is unspecified. UDP fails to recognise that some buildings are unsuited for modern business operations. (5.26-5.29)
0261/2/005/O	Oxley Threads Ltd
Joint Case	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Policy is too restrictive. Floorspace threshold and marketing requirements are unjustified. Range of uses is unspecified. UDP fails to recognise that some buildings are unsuited for modern business operations.( 5.26-5.28)
1829/2/001/O	Oldham Property Partnerships
Objection	Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates
	The requirement for extensive marketing of former employment sites is unduly onerous and does not accord with emerging Government policy on supporting the delivery of new housing. Policy B2.2 should be deleted.
<b>B2.2 5.27</b>	
1876/2/001/O	Standeaven Trading Ltd
Joint Case	Agent : Medlock Group
	Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
0046/2/004/O Joint Case	Objection Broadhurst Engineering (UK) Ltd Agent : Medlock Group Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.
0617/2/004/O Joint Case	Objection Medlock Limited Agent : Robert Turley Associates Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.
0711/2/004/O Joint Case	Objection U-Aerials & Communications Ltd Agent : Medlock Group Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.
<b>B2.2 criterion (c)</b>	
0165/2/008/O	Objection Cllr Brian Lord State terms. Often sites can be sold off in parts (eg mill building, other buildings, land etc).
<b>B2.3</b>	
0040/2/024/S Joint Case	Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/017/O) Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/099/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/026/O) Government Office for the North West Partially withdraws. The explanation of local circumstances which justify allowing development not provided for in PPG2 is noted. However, further explanation is required as to what constitutes a significant increase in the developed area of the site
<b>Original B1.4 Now Deleted</b>	
0021/2/087/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/024/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws.
0149/2/028/S	Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/007/O) English Nature



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Cross reference to habitat and species protection in Open Environment policies is now included in paragraph 5.10 in respect of the Rochdale Canal	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Housing</b>	
<b>Appendix B</b> 0572/2/005/O	Objection  Langtree Property Group Ltd Agent : Sedgwick Associates (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Objects to the description of the site as having "relatively poor access to both local services and the bus network". Considers this misrepresents the site's suitability for housing compared with similar sites.
1839/2/014/S	Support  English Partnerships The detail contained in Appendix B, particularly in relation to the identification of the Housing Market Renewal Areas and will strengthen the plan's objectives.
<b>M1 Frenches Wharf</b>	
0045/2/040/O	Objection  Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Land uses listed in amended Appendix B do not increase the certainty of development on this site. Reference to tourism should be removed to make clear that such development is not a prerequisite to provide greater certainty over non-tourism uses.
<b>M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph</b>	
0099/2/008/O	Objection  John Saxon Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning Objects to the limit on housing development to 50% of the net total developable area. Also objects to the reference to an "out of date" planning brief for the site. Requests deletion of reference to 50% and the planning brief.
<b>H0</b>	
0108/2/013/S	Support (FD Ref: 0108/1/004/O)  The House Builders Federation Supports addition of Appendix B, although maintains remainder of original objection relating to a lack of choice in the Phase 2 allocations.
<b>H0 6.5 (g)</b>	
1944/2/001/O	Objection  W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Housing allocations should be made in Diggle to provide for local housing needs in the village.
<b>H1</b>	
0267/2/006/O	Objection  Tanner Brothers Ltd

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Housing clearance strategy is unclear. Greater clarification required to establish what is involved and how many dwellings provided. Additional housing land should be allocated to make up for loss of dwellings through greening of older areas.
1944/2/005/O	W H Shaw
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Housing clearance strategy is unclear. If clearance programme involves greening older urban areas or loss of public open space then clearance will result in a loss of dwellings and additional housing land will be needed.
0103/2/003/O	Mr J Lees
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Housing clearance strategy unclear. Greater clarification needed to establish number of dwellings it will provide. If clearance programme involves greening older urban areas, or development of public open space, additional housing land required.
0109/2/011/O	Austin Timber Company Ltd (ref 4110)
Joint Case	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Objection to various aspects of the policy, including assumptions relating to clearance, exclusion of vacant dwellings and lack of commitment to a timetable for the publication of annual reports, and the publication of SPG's.
0108/2/011/O	The House Builders Federation
	Objects to: the use of SPG's to revise statutory policy, the housing "target" of 270 dwellings p.a., and assumptions regarding clearance levels and the strategy to replace cleared dwellings, including the non-replacement of vacant dwellings.
0740/2/049/S	North West Regional Assembly
	Support proposed changes.
1839/2/007/S	English Partnerships
	Supports proposed changes to this policy to take into account the increased emphasis of housing renewal. In particular it is noted that the proposed changes incorporate net clearance and aim to ensure that housing vacancies are reduced.
0750/2/003/S	Exors of G S Sherratt deceased
	Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0097/2/003/S	Kirstail Properties
	Agent : Chorlton Planning

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0034/2/003/S	Support (FD Ref: 0034/1/002/O) Mrs M. Corbett Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0621/2/003/S	Support (FD Ref: 0621/1/002/O) Mr R Eglin Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0167/2/005/S	Support (FD Ref: 0167/1/004/O) Frost (Exors. of late Mr R.) Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0033/2/003/S	Support (FD Ref: 0033/1/002/O) Mr J Lees Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0650/2/003/S	Support (FD Ref: 0650/1/002/O) Mr J. McLintock Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0045/2/031/S	Support (FD Ref: 0045/1/031/O) Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).
0103/2/002/O	Objection Mr J Lees Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	The revised housing figure of 270 dwellings per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be allocated.
0267/2/005/O	Objection Tanner Brothers Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	The revised housing figure of 270 dwellings per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be allocated.
1944/2/004/O	Objection W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	The revised housing figure of 270 per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be supplied.
<b>H1 6.05(i)</b> 1839/2/008/S	Support Supports the addition of a reference to the Housing Market Renewal Fund in the objectives of the housing chapter.
<b>H1 6.09</b> 1839/2/009/S	Support Supports the change which seeks to ensure that clearance replacement is not on a one to one basis, but is tailored to meet local demand.
<b>H1 6.09-6.14</b> 0572/2/004/O	Objection Langtree Property Group Ltd Agent : Sedgwick Associates (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Simplistic approach to housing clearance. Policy does not accord with Regional Planning Guidance. Should replace cleared dwellings on a one-to-one basis. Should still replace long term vacant dwellings.
0045/2/039/O	Objection Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Clearance strategy does not accord with RPG. HMR will mean higher clearance levels than shown. Need for replacement off-site due to density/open space needs. Para 6.13 will result in double counting. Amend to accord with RPG/avoid double counting.
1944/2/002/O	Objection W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Inappropriate to include development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites within windfall development allowance. A separate allowance for replacement dwellings on cleared sites should be provided.
0107/2/010/O	Objection Westbury Homes Agent : Broadway Malyan Method for calculating clearance will create an under-recording of the reduction of the Borough's housing stock. Delete para s. 6.10, 6.13 & 6.14 and add an assurance that to accurately record all housing clearances.
<b>H1 6.13</b> 0267/2/007/O	Objection Tanner Brothers Ltd

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites gives inaccurate reflection of windfall development. Unlikely that development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites will result in increase in dwellings. Allowance for cleared sites needed.
0103/2/004/O	Mr J Lees
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Innaccurate reflection of windfall development by including development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites. Unlikely that development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites will result in more dwellings. Cleared sites allowance needed.
1839/2/010/S	English Partnerships
	The paragraph is welcomed as it provides clarity to the policy.
0126/2/009/O	Holroy Developments
	Unrealistic approach to properties which have been vacant for more than 6 months. These dwellings should count towards clearance
<b>H1 6.17 (d)</b> 1839/2/011/S	English Partnerships
	Support The fact that the objectives and programmes of the Housing Market Renewal Fund have been integrated into planning proposals is welcomed. As such para. 6.17 (d) is supported.
<b>H1 6.21</b> 1839/2/013/S	English Partnerships
	Support English Partnerships support the connection between the annual housing monitoring programme and the release of Phase 2 sites to assist the delivery of the objectives of the Housing Market Renewal Fund.
0107/2/007/W	Westbury Homes
	Support (FD Ref: 0107/1/001/O) Unconditionally Withdrawn.
<b>H1 6.22</b> 0107/2/009/O	Westbury Homes
	Agent : Broadway Malyan
	Supports the Council's commitment to an annual monitoring report, but objects to the use of Supplementary Planning Guidance to manage the release of phased sites.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>H1 6.22-6.23</b> 0045/2/047/O      Objection	Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Proposed changes are not in accordance with Best Practice Guidance: Planning to Deliver. Second phase releases should have a release date attached. Phasing arrangements are too vague and cause uncertainty.
<b>H1 6.23</b> 0107/2/011/O      Objection	Westbury Homes Agent : Broadway Malyan Allocated sites should be developed out in advance of any windfall sites. Windfall sites should not be allowed to queue jump as implied by para. 6.23.
<b>H1 6.27</b> 0572/2/006/O      Objection	Langtree Property Group Ltd Agent : Sedgwick Associates (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Objects to policy of counting re-occupation of long-term vacant dwellings against the RPG housing requirement. RPG does not suggest such an approach, & already takes account of reoccupation.
<b>H1.1</b> 0021/2/073/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/058/O)	Government Office for the North West Conditional withdraw. Note inconsistency in site capacity for site ref. H1.1.21 between Phase 1 list of sites and site details in Appendix B.
0103/2/005/O      Objection	Mr J Lees Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners No guarantee that additional sites listed will come forward during life of UDP. Some sites constrained by environmental issues; previously developed sites should come forward before greenfield allocations in H1.1 - therefore shortfall in provision.
0126/2/007/O      Objection	Holroy Developments Reduction in supply within phase 1 gives only 2.5 years supply. Local authorities should provide at least 10 years. Council have overestimated the windfall supply and underestimated clearance. Should move most sustainable Phase 2 sites to Phase 1.
0267/2/009/O      Objection	Tanner Brothers Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<p>Uncertain that additional sites listed will come forward within the life of the UDP. Doubts due to environmental constraints and marketability; previously developed sites should come forward before greenfield allocations. Shortfall in provision.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.1.1 Hunt Lane, Chadderton Now deleted</b>	
0006/2/021/S	Highways Agency
<p>Support In view of the recent detailed transport assessment undertaken for a housing development on the site in question the agency welcomes the removal of this allocation from the Plan.</p>	
<hr/>	
1823/2/001/O	Wilson Connolly Homes
<p>Agent : The Planning Consultancy Objection to the deletion of this residential allocation. Site is suitable and available for residential development. Brownfield site, severely degraded in visual terms. Contaminated. Within walking distance of wide range of facilities.</p>	
<hr/>	
1894/2/001/O	British Rail Property Board
<p>Agent : Lambert Smith Hampton Premature to de-allocate this site - pre-judges the outcome of the, at the time of writing, current planning appeal into the refusal of planning permission for housing development.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.1.15 Bailey Mill, Oldham Road</b>	
0828/2/028/O	Saddleworth Civic Trust
<p>Objection As housing has been allowed on the adjacent land, the mill should be designated for mixed development &amp; the route of the obsolete railway line designated a green corridor and link, recreation route or recreational open space.</p>	
<hr/>	
0690/2/008/S	Mrs J.L. Hindle
<p>Support Supports allocation for residential development - but as a conversion scheme for apartments and ground floor parking.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.1.19 Andrew Mill, Greenfield</b>	
0104/2/015/O	Bellway Homes
<p>Objection Site constraints will lead to a lower capacity than the 30 dwellings indicated in the UDP. Reduce the capacity further.</p>	
<hr/>	
0104/2/016/O	Bellway Homes
<p>Objection The reference to affordable housing should be deleted - the site is not suitable for affordable housing.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.1.20 Rose Mill, Chadderton</b>	



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
0126/2/005/O      Objection	Holroy Developments
	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Objects to the bringing forward of this site (from Phase 2 to Phase 1) when a more sustainable option is available at Parkside Farm (H1.2.1).
0751/2/002/O      Objection	Klynes Brothers Ltd
	Welcomes allocation - phase 1 housing development. Objects to reduction in density. Requests that indicative density be increased to 40-50 dwellings per hectare & additional text stating that none of the sites have been subject to detailed appraisal
<b>H1.1.21 Springhey Mill, Huddersfield Road, Oldham</b>	
0126/2/006/O      Objection	Holroy Developments
	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	Objects to the bringing forward of this site (from Phase 2 to Phase 1) when a more sustainable option is available at Parkside Farm (H1.2.1).
<b>H1.1.22 Vulcan Street, Oldham</b>	
1822/2/001/S      Support	Cllr Roger Hindle
	Supports the allocation of this site for residential development - an ideal opportunity for the land to be put to good use for the benefit of the people of Derker.
<b>H1.1.8 Land at Redgrave Street, Oldham Now Deleted</b>	
0018/2/003/S      Support    (FD Ref: 0018/1/002/O)	Standedge Limited
	Conditional withdrawal.
<b>M1 Frenches Wharf/Wellington Road, Greenfield</b>	
0165/2/009/O      Objection	Cllr Brian Lord
	Considers the indicative density to be too high and unlikely in Saddleworth.
<b>M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph</b>	
0099/2/006/O      Objection	John Saxon Ltd
Joint Case	Agent : Chorlton Planning
	Revised capacity of 20 dwellings is too low to allow the economic development of the site. The capacity should be substantially increased.

**H1.1 6.23**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
0572/2/007/O Objection  (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Use of SPG to defer the phased development of a site would be acceptable only in very restricted circumstances. Shouldn't be a vehicle to implement revised strategies emerging from Housing Mkt Renewal Fund..	Langtree Property Group Ltd Agent : Sedgwick Associates
<b>H1.1 6.25 &amp; 6.27</b> 0107/2/012/O Objection  Reoccupation of vacant stock should not count towards the Borough's annual housing requirement. Delete para. 6.27 and reinstate the reference to the Council's Empty Homes Strategy.	Westbury Homes Agent : Broadway Malyan
<b>H1.1 6.27</b> 1944/2/014/O Objection Joint Case  Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.	W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
0103/2/010/O Objection  Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.	Mr J Lees Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
0267/2/013/O Objection  Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.	Tanner Brothers Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
0045/2/032/O Objection  Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.	Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
1944/2/003/O Objection  Strategy for reoccupation of dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant properties should not be counted against housing requirement as this leads to double counting. Additional housing land is required.	W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
0267/2/008/O      Objection	Tanner Brothers Ltd
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Strategy for reoccupation of vacant dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against housing requirement, as double counting would result. Additional housing land should be allocated.
0103/2/006/O      Objection	Mr J Lees
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Strategy for reoccupation of vacant dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against housing requirement, as this results in double counting. Additional housing land should be allocated.
1839/2/012/S      Support	English Partnerships
	The potential influence of the housing market renewal fund on vacancy rates is clearly outlined.
<b>H1.1 6.30</b> 0107/2/008/W      Objection (FD Ref: 0107/1/004/O)	Westbury Homes
	Unconditionally Withdrawn.
<b>H1.2</b> 0021/2/072/S      Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/059/O)	Government Office for the North West
	Conditionally withdraw.
0103/2/009/O      Objection	Mr J Lees
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Doubt as to whether additional sites will come forward.
0267/2/012/O      Objection	Tanner Brothers Ltd
	Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
	Doubt as to whether the additional sites included in Revised Deposit Draft version of Policy H1.2 will come forward.
0126/2/008/O      Objection	Holroy Developments
	Reduction in supply within phase 1 gives only 2.5 years supply. Local authorities should provide at least 10 years. Council have overestimated the windfall supply and underestimated clearance. Should move most sustainable Phase 2 sites to Phase 1.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted</b>	
0778/2/002/S Joint Case	Support (FD Ref: 0778/1/001/O) Mr Mark Dronsfield Although deallocated from housing, would still like to see allocated as a local green gap, as per original objection.
0040/2/022/S	Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/016/O) Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates Conditional Withdrawl.
0767/2/002/S	Support (FD Ref: 0767/1/001/O) Mr M. Ratcliff Conditionally Withdraw.
0764/2/002/S	Support (FD Ref: 0764/1/001/O) Ms K. Sage Supports the change, but is concerned that the site may still be developed in the future.
0761/2/002/S	Support (FD Ref: 0761/1/001/O) Mr P. Stevenson Supports the deletion of this housing allocation.
0045/2/041/O	Objection Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners The site at Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield should be reinstated as a housing allocation. It is in a highly sustainable location and meets the requirements of PPG3 and RPG for allocation.
<b>H1.2.14 Sandy Mill, Royton</b>	
0107/2/013/O	Objection Westbury Homes Agent : Broadway Malyan Request for an minor extension to the allocation boundary.
1819/2/001/O Joint Case	Objection Stafregent Limited Agent : Berg & Co. The site should be allocated for Phase 1 housing development. It is immediately available, in a poor state of repair, an eyesore and detracts from the visual amenity of the area. There are no other phase 1 allocations in Royton.
0126/2/004/O Joint Case	Objection Holroy Developments

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<p>The site should be allocated for Phase 1 housing development. The site is available, strong local demand, the development would allow affordable houses to be built, the site previously developed, clearance levels will be higher than estimated.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.2.2 Rose Mill, Chadderton Now deleted</b>	
0751/2/003/S Support (FD Ref: 0751/1/001/O)	Klynes Brothers Ltd
<p>Conditional withdrawal (although see new objection to indicative capacity/density assumptions for this site).</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.2.4 Medlock Road, Woodhouses</b>	
0038/2/037/W Objection (FD Ref: 0038/1/034/O)	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
<p>SBI boundary has been amended and now does not impinge on the site boundary.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.2.6 Lilac View Close</b>	
0042/2/008/O Objection (FD Ref: 0042/1/004/O)	Shaw & Crompton Parish Council
<p>Proposed change (reduction in indicative capacity) does not meet concerns - wishes the site to be allocated as green belt.</p>	
<hr/>	
0045/2/042/O Objection	Wiggett Construction Ltd
<p align="right">Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners</p> <p>The capacity of Lilac View Close should not be reduced from 24 to 18. It should be maintained at 24 dwellings.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>Holden Fold Lane, Royton</b>	
1229/2/002/O Objection	Alan Reeves
<p>Joint Case</p> <p>Site boundary includes a pond which is a natural habitat. Pond has recently been reinstated following a previous housing development and it would be foolish to allow it to be built on.</p>	
<hr/>	
<b>H1.2 6.37-6.38</b>	
0045/2/043/O Objection	Wiggett Construction Ltd
<p align="right">Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners</p> <p>Paragraphs 6.37 and 6.38 do not clarify the phasing strategy. They should be deleted and a date set for the release of Phase 2 sites.</p>	
<hr/>	

**H1.3**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0021/2/075/O Objection Changes to the first paragraph of the policy do not read correctly when read in conjunction with criterion (e) of the policy.	Government Office for the North West
0108/2/012/S Support (FD Ref: 0108/1/005/O) Proposed changes welcomed as the policy is permissive to development rather than restrictive.	The House Builders Federation
0113/2/027/S Support (FD Ref: 0113/1/014/O) Support changes but request reinstatement of some cross-referencing to affordable housing.	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
1413/2/003/O Objection The requirement that new developments are accessible to both public transport and a range of basic services could preclude the development of previously developed land which lies outside the threshold distances set out in the policy..	United Utilities PLC
<b>H1.4</b> 0113/2/030/S Support (FD Ref: 0113/1/016/O) Conditional withdrawal.	Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
0104/2/014/O Objection Joint Case Policy too restrictive in requiring higher density developments in areas with good/very good access to public transport options and a range of basic services. The advice in PPG3 on densities is adequate. Delete ref. to 45/50 dwellings per hectare.	Bellway Homes Agent : Chorlton Planning
<b>H1.4 6.42-6.46</b> 0045/2/045/O Objection Policy is overly mechanistic and does not allow for reasonable flexibility to take into account the wide variety of housing types required by Oldham. Second and third paragraphs should be deleted or amended to provide flexibility in housing density.	Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
<b>H1.5</b> 0267/2/010/O Objection	Tanner Brothers Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Notion of achieving a mix of types and sizes supported. Threshold too low, however, and policy should not apply to all sites. Greater emphasis should be placed on provision for local needs and threshold raised to sites over 1ha and 25 dwellings.	
0103/2/007/O      Objection	Mr J Lees
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Notion of achieving mix of housing types is supported. Threshold is too low, however. Policy should not apply to all sites. Greater emphasis should be given to providing housing for local needs, with threshold raised to 25 and sites of 1h or over.	
<b>H1.5 6.47-6.50</b> 1944/2/006/O      Objection	W H Shaw
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Mix of housing types threshold is too low and should not apply to all sites.	
0045/2/044/O      Objection	Wiggett Construction Ltd
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Principle of achieving a mix of housing types and sizes is supported. However, the threshold is too low and should not apply to all sites. Increase the threshold and it should not apply to all sites.	
<b>H2.1</b> 0021/2/074/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/051/O)	Government Office for the North West
Objector wishes to maintain that part of their original objection referring to the need to define "affordable" in line with Circular 6/98, but wishes to withdraw that part of the objection which sought clearer policy wording on eligibility criteria.	
0103/2/008/O      Objection	Mr J Lees
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Proposed changes do not account for local variations in affordable housing needs. Policy should be reworded to account for variations in local housing markets needs and trends.	
0267/2/011/O      Objection	Tanner Brothers Ltd
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners Proposed changes do not account for local variations in affordable housing needs. Policy should be reworded to take account of variations in local housing markets needs and trends.	
1944/2/007/O      Objection	W H Shaw
Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<p>Proposed changes do not take account of local variations in affordable housing needs. Requirements for affordable dwellings varies significantly in different parts of the Borough. Amend policy to take account of local housing market trends and needs.</p>	
0113/2/024/S	Support (FD Ref: 0113/1/017/O) Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership
<p>Support changes, but feel that there is some dislocation between criteria 1-3 and criteria (a) - (d). It appears that there is double testing proposed. The wording of the policy should be rationalised.</p>	
0709/2/003/O	Objection Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Agent : J10 Planning
<p>With regards to criteria (b) and (c) the Council should provide an example of where this might be the case within the reasoned justification, i.e. tackling sites that are blighted. Policy should refer to "development appraisals".</p>	
<b>M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph</b>	
0099/2/007/O	Objection John Saxon Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning
Joint Case	<p>Accepts the provision of affordable housing in principle, however, as with objection to policy H1.1, the site capacity should be increased. The number is too low to allow for the economic development of the site and provision of affordable houses.</p>
<b>H2.1 6.65-6.74</b>	
0045/2/046/O	Objection Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
<p>Policy does not sufficiently justify the general presumption that 25% of the site capacity should be developed as affordable housing. If adequate evidence cannot be presented the policy requirement should be deleted.</p>	
<b>H2.3</b>	
0021/2/071/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/052/O) Government Office for the North West Objection conditionally withdrawn.



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Retail and Leisure Development</b>	
<b>Original S1.2 Now deleted</b>	
0021/2/061/S Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/002/O) Conditionally Withdraws	Government Office for the North West
<hr/>	
<b>Original S1.4.c) Now Deleted</b>	
0006/2/020/S Support (FD Ref: 0006/1/001/O) Conditionally Withdraws.	Highways Agency
<hr/>	
<b>Original S1.6 Now deleted</b>	
0011/2/004/S Support (FD Ref: 0011/1/002/O) Joint Case Conditionally withdraws.	Somerfield Stores Ltd. Agent : Roger Tym and Partners
<hr/>	
0021/2/063/S Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/004/O) Conditionally Withdraws.	Government Office for the North West
<hr/>	
<b>S1</b>	
0011/2/003/S Support (FD Ref: 0011/1/001/O) Joint Case Conditionally withdraws.	Somerfield Stores Ltd. Agent : Roger Tym and Partners
<hr/>	
0740/2/050/S Support Support proposed changes.	North West Regional Assembly
<hr/>	
1827/2/002/O Objection	Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship
The definition of the area beyond the Central Shopping Core as "edge of centre" is in conflict with the PPG6 definition of edge of centre. There is also ambiguity in applying the sequential approach. Clarification is required on both these points.	
<hr/>	
0795/2/004/O Objection	Watermill Estates Limited Agent : GL Hearn Planning
The policy only permits new development where there are suitable and viable development sites. However, there is no national policy requirement for viability to be positively demonstrated. Remove reference to viability.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Dawn Mill, Shaw (Map Change No. 7/4)</b>	
1825/2/001/O      Objection	GL Hearn
Dawn Mill should be kept as a retail commitment and not designated as a PEZ site. Remove Dawn Mill from PEZ22.	
<b>Huddersfield Road District Centre</b>	
1827/2/001/S      Support	Tesco Stores Ltd
Agent : Development Planning P/ship Tesco are supportive of the extension to the boundary of Huddersfield Road District Centre for comprehensive redevelopment incorporating new build and refurbishment for a mix of uses to stimulate regeneration.	
<b>S1.2</b>	
1827/2/003/O      Objection	Tesco Stores Ltd
Agent : Development Planning P/ship The 300 sq.m. size threshold for applying the applying the policy tests is inappropriately low and far too prescriptive. It should be amended. Clarification is sought on the suggested use of planning conditions.	
<b>S1.3</b>	
1827/2/004/O      Objection	Tesco Stores Ltd
Agent : Development Planning P/ship The role of shops in encouraging footfall should be expanded upon. The Plan should acknowledge the `anchor` role that larger retail units can play in vitalising centres.	
<b>S1.6</b>	
1827/2/005/O      Objection	Tesco Stores Ltd
Agent : Development Planning P/ship The requirement for development to be consistent with the function of a District Centre is not in accordance with PPG6 and should be omitted from the policy.	
<b>S1.7</b>	
0021/2/064/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/005/O)	Government Office for the North West
Conditionally Withdraws.	
0021/2/062/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/003/O)	Government Office for the North West

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Conditionally Withdraws. But will be important that this policy is in line with any modifications made to Policy S1.
1827/2/006/O	Tesco Stores Ltd
Objection	Agent : Development Planning P/ship
	Reference to retail statements is unnecessarily re-iterated in three paragraphs. The content overall is repetitive and complex and should be re-visited and re-worded. (7.40/7.42/7.43)
<b>S2.3</b>	
1873/2/001/O	Power Supply Properties Ltd
Objection	
Joint Case	
	Criteria (c) and (d) are unduly restrictive by being so prescriptive and will not allow for any flexibility to reflect specific circumstances. Delete criteria (c) and (d) from Policy S2.3.
0013/2/004/O	Keith Lowe
Objection (FD Ref: 0013/1/001/O)	
Joint Case	Agent : Power Supply Properties
	The local needs retailing threshold is too low and the new criteria (c) and (d) are unduly restrictive. The threshold should relate to retail floorspace and not gross floorspace. Increase threshold to 400 sqm.
<b>S2.4</b>	
0261/2/004/O	Oxley Threads Ltd
Objection	
Joint Case	Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd
	The 500 sq.m. limit on leisure facilities is too small. It does not assist in enabling the best use to be made of existing land and buildings. Delete reference to a limit of 500 sq.m.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Oldham Town Centre</b>	
<b>TC1</b> 0740/2/051/S	Support Support proposed changes.
	North West Regional Assembly
<hr/>	
<b>TC1.4</b> 0021/2/121/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/007/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to policy TC1.4, which was policy TC1.5 at first deposit stage.
	Government Office for the North West
<hr/>	
<b>TC1.5</b> 0021/2/122/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/008/O) Conditionally withdraw objection to policy TC1.5, which was policy TC1.6 at first deposit.
	Government Office for the North West
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Community and Education Facilities</b>	
<b>CF1.2</b> 0021/2/109/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/034/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw.
<b>CF1.3</b> 0021/2/110/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/035/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw.
<b>CF1.4</b> 0021/2/111/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/036/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraw.
0495/2/035/S	Support Sport England The change in emphasis directly relates to the proposed development.
<b>CF1.5</b> 0107/2/014/S	Support (FD Ref: 0107/1/002/O) Westbury Homes Policy does not define what is meant by specialised schemes. Reference to economics of development does not go far enough - needs to relate to the viability of development, especially on brownfield sites. Inconsistency btw policy and justification.
0243/2/027/O	Objection Alan Roughley SPG should be made open to public comment & published at the same time as the UDP. The policy still does not make it clear that sums should go to the nearest school as requested in the original objection.
0042/2/009/O	Objection Shaw & Crompton Parish Council The Parish Council disagrees with the 50+ dwelling threshold before this policy is applied. The threshold should be 10 dwellings or more in line with other policies & take account of the cumulative impact of small infill and brownfield developments.
<b>CF1.5 9.18</b> 0021/2/112/O	Objection Government Office for the North West

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Inconsistency between the policy and its definition of "significant" residential developments (50 dwellings or 1.5 ha and above) and para. 9.18 of the justification (100 dwellings or 3 hectares and above).	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Open Space, Sport and Recreation Facilities</b>	
<b>Appendix E</b> 1837/2/003/O	Objection  OPP Westhulme Limited  Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates Appendix E presupposes land within the category "Amenity Greenspace" to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value.
<b>R0</b> 0495/2/021/S	Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/002/O) Sport England Support change to title of Chapter to 'Open Space, Sport and Recreation Facilities' from 'Recreation and Open Space', which gives due regard to sport, and relects PPG17.
<b>R0 Revised 10.1</b> 0495/2/020/S	Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/003/O) Sport England The introductory paragraph now makes reference to 'sport'.
<b>R0 Revised 10.3</b> 0495/2/015/S	Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/010/O) Sport England Support reference to 'sport', not refered to formerly in paragraph 10.2 of First Deposit Draft
<b>R0 Revised 10.7</b> 0495/2/014/S	Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/012/O) Sport England Support change to wording in paragraph 10.5 of First Deposit, now expressed in para 10.7 to give due regard to the term 'sport'.
<b>R1</b> 0038/2/043/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0038/1/033/O) Greater Manchester Ecology Unit Formerly under R1.1, the types of open spaces and facilities to be protected are now listed in R1. Without particulary an additional category in Appendix E, the word 'urban' under R1 category B is inconstant with it and PPG 17.
0113/2/028/O	Objection Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd Object to criterion (g) - urban farms can provide excellent redevelopment potential.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0495/2/033/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0495/1/004/O) Policy R1 satisfies the original objection and includes the word sport as requested.	Sport England
0740/2/052/S      Support Support proposed changes.	North West Regional Assembly
0495/2/034/O      Objection The policy could relate more closely to PPG17 typologies and indicate the different types of open space or facility on the Proposals Map.	Sport England
1837/2/001/O      Objection The Policy protects land presupposed to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value, and so protects at the expense of other policy objectives such as sustainability or regeneration.	OPP Westhulme Limited Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates
<b>Crompton Circuit</b>	
0042/2/006/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0042/1/002/O) Support inclusion of Crompton Circuit in list of recreational routes, previously omitted in draft Policy R1.1 in first deposit.	Shaw & Crompton Parish Council
<b>Lancaster Sports and Social Club, Chadderton</b>	
0236/2/006/O      Objection      (FD Ref: 0236/1/003/O) Change does not address the issue of redevelopment opportunities on sites not valuable to the community but allocated. There has been no consideration regarding the 'value' of this site, therefore it should not be protected as open space.	BAE Systems Properties Ltd Agent : Fuller Peiser
<b>Land at Malby Street, Oldham</b>	
0800/2/003/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0800/1/002/O) Conditionally withdrawn.	Ernest Fleming
0801/2/004/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0801/1/002/O) Support change which sees land designated as recreational open space.	Anne Marrington
0702/2/004/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0702/1/002/O) Support land being added to recreational open space designation	J & A Patterson



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Luzley Brook allotments, Royton</b>	
0570/2/003/O      Objection (FD Ref: 0570/1/002/O) These allotments, like others shown on the Proposals Map should have equal status and it is still unclear as to their designation being distinguished from other recreation grounds.	Mr G. Lindsay
<b>Oldham Way and Crompton Circuit</b>	
0581/2/002/S      Support (FD Ref: 0581/1/001/O) Supports map change 10/2(a) showing correct route of Oldham Way Recreational Route 3, and Crompton Circuit added to list shown as RR12, not listed in R1.2 of First Deposit Draft.	Peter Jones
<b>R1 Original 10.9 Now Deleted, new text added</b>	
0495/2/022/S      Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/011/O) Support deletion of paragraph 10.9 of the First Deposit Draft which refers to targets based on national standards, no longer recommended by the Government in lieu of expected local standards of provisions as advised in PPG17.	Sport England
<b>R1.1</b>	
1827/2/010/O      Objection Omit from text of Policy R1.1 under a., the requirement for the replacement facility where required, to be provided prior to the use of the existing site / facility being terminated, since PPG 17 does not require this.	Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship
0495/2/032/S      Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/005/O) Policy now ensures replacement but size of it needs referring to and reference to local strategies when replacement is involved to compensate for the loss of open space.	Sport England
0045/2/034/O      Objection PPG17 requires UDP policies to be based upon robust local assessments of need for open space, sport and recreational facilities. Oldham have not undertaken an assessment. Open space survey should be completed to accord with PPG17.	Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners
0495/2/026/O      Objection The change does not include an exception to the Policy, that being that reference should be made to any other local strategies of the Council to guide whether or not development will be permitted which would result in the loss of a facility.	Sport England
0495/2/019/S      Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/006/O)	Sport England

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
The deletion of paragraph 10.13 removes the potential for incremental loss of playing fields.	
1837/2/002/O	Objection OPP Westhulme Limited Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates The Policy protects land presupposed to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value, and so protects at the expense of other policy objectives such as sustainability or regeneration.
0045/2/035/O	Objection Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners There is no reason to exclude Council owned sites from the interim protection accorded to open spaces until the local needs assessment is complete. Amend policy to apply also to Council-owned sites.
<b>Lancaster Sports and Social Club, Chadderton</b>	
0236/2/007/O	Objection BAE Systems Properties Ltd Agent : Fuller Peiser The need for applicant to demonstrate, through an independent assessment, that a site is not valued by the community. Facilities such as the Lancaster Sports and Social Club, that are not valued by the public, should be not be allocated open space.
<b>R1.1 10.33</b>	
0495/2/024/O	Objection Sport England In assessing whether replacement or improvement is most appropriate in lieu of facilities allowed for alternative uses, reference should be made also to the Council's other existing strategies for guidance, eg Community and Greenspace Strategies.
<b>R1.1 10.38 / 10.39</b>	
0495/2/025/O	Objection Sport England Another exception should be added to the policy - that being where (The Council will permit the loss of a facility...provided that among other things) one of the local strategies of the Council is in place that dictates otherwise.
<b>R1.2</b>	
0495/2/027/O	Objection Sport England Local strategies of the Council should also be referred to, to determine whether or not proposals for the the redevelopment or change of use of buildings currently or most recently used for sport or recreation as in Policy R1, should be refused.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>R1.3</b> 0495/2/036/S	Sport England
Support New policy R1.3 explicitly supports protection of playing fields.	
0495/2/028/O	Sport England
Objection The new Policy does not make reference to the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy which should be referred to as an exception in determining whether playing fields should be allowed for development for alternative uses.	
<b>R2</b> 0495/2/017/S	Sport England
Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/007/O) Support amendment to Policy, making reference to 'sport' when providing new and /or improving provision.	
0740/2/053/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support Support proposed changes.	
0495/2/018/S	Sport England
Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/008/O) The Policy now refers to 'sport' when referring to the requirement for new and improved facilities through new developments.	
<b>R2 10.52</b> 0495/2/029/O	Sport England
Objection Reference to 'sport' has been omitted, and should be referred to in the paragraph as it has been in the policy to which it relates, (Policy R2).	
<b>R2.1</b> 0709/2/002/O	Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Agent : J10 Planning
Objection An expectation for all contributions to be provided on site could thwart redevelopment given the amount of land that would be required on some sites. Some exceptions should be made.	
0021/2/080/O	Government Office for the North West
Objection (FD Ref: 0021/1/022/O) Formerly Policy R2.2, in new residential developments, open space, sport and recreation facilities should only be required if as a result of the development, there results in a recognised deficiency in quality or quantity, not in every case as R2.1.	
0021/2/084/O	Government Office for the North West
Objection (FD Ref: 0021/1/032/O)	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	<p>Policy R2.1 seems to seek new provision (or commuted sum) in every case regardless of there being a recognised local deficiency. It should be clear that the policy will only apply in cases of a recognised local deficiency.</p>
0113/2/026/O	<p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd</p> <p>Regarding requirement for on - site provision for larger residential developments, this should not be required if adequate provision, even after completion of the development, is available adjacent to the development site.</p>
0495/2/031/O	<p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sport England</p> <p>The amended policy does not make reference to new or improved provision arising from new residential developments being guided by the findings of a local strategy or assessment.</p>
0582/2/002/S	<p>Support (FD Ref: 0582/1/001/O)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">McCarthy &amp; Stone (Development) Ltd</p> <p>The development company supports the change / revised Policy, re - numbered R2.1 from R2.2, which recognises that the need or requirement for open space / facilities will vary according to the type of development, for example sheltered dwellings.</p>
0495/2/016/S	<p>Support (FD Ref: 0495/1/009/O)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sport England</p> <p>The Policy (re -numbered from R2.2) now refers to the requirement to provide sport facilities in addition to open space and recreation facilities as a consequence of all residential development.</p>
0045/2/033/O	<p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Wiggett Construction Ltd</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners</p> <p>Until the Council has completed a local assessment of needs in accordance with PPG17 this policy is premature and it should be deleted.</p>
<b>R2.1 10.56</b> 0495/2/030/O	<p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sport England</p> <p>Reference should be made to the Council's Greenspace Strategy as a guide when negotiating with developers on the requirement for new or improved provision in new residential developments, as paragraph 10.58 does.</p>
<b>R2.1 10.58</b> 0021/2/085/O	<p>Objection</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Government Office for the North West</p> <p>New objection, in line with Circular 1/97, finances given to local authorities through development proposals under planning obligations should not be put in a general fund but should directly relate to individual proposals.</p>

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>R2.1 10.61</b> 0021/2/076/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/023/O) Government Office for the North West Change is supported. The Policy recognises the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities may vary with the type of development, for example sheltered dwellings.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Open Environment</b>	
<b>OE0</b>	
0149/2/021/S	Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/019/O) English Nature Changes meet original concern regarding management of features in the landscape important to flora and fauna.
<b>OE1</b>	
0008/2/037/S	Support (FD Ref: 0008/1/018/O) Countryside Agency Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS2.
0021/2/081/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/029/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdrawn, subject to desire to see reference to Green Belt removed from policy OE1.10 (formerly OE1.8 at first deposit). This is covered by a separate objection, reference 0021/1/056/O.
0021/2/082/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/028/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdrawn.
0740/2/054/S	Support North West Regional Assembly Support proposed changes.
<b>OE1 11.8</b>	
0113/2/029/O	Objection Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd Objects to the policy being aimed at "predominantly undeveloped land"
<b>OE1.1</b>	
0040/2/018/S	Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/006/O) Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates Joint Case Conditionally withdraw
0021/2/066/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/054/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/045/S	Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/018/O) Greater Manchester Ecology Unit

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.10</b> 0298/2/002/S	T & I Davies
Support (FD Ref: 0298/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
0038/2/038/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/006/O) Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
0038/2/042/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/021/O) Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
0021/2/068/O	Government Office for the North West
Objection (FD Ref: 0021/1/056/O) Not appropriate to refer to green belt within a policy seeking to protect non-green belt land. Gives wrong message about the protected status of that land. Delete ref. to green belt in (a) and set out development which would be permissible.	
<hr/>	
0218/2/003/O	Dr A. Butterworth
Objection Objects to rewording of Local Green Gap policy which allows free development of all Green Gap areas in Borough.	
<hr/>	
<b>Land at Malby Street, Oldham</b>	
0801/2/005/O	Anne Marrington
Objection (FD Ref: 0801/1/001/O) Maintain view that the land should be protected by local green gap policy and object to the change which still does not include the site within the local green gap.	
<hr/>	
<b>Land in vicinity of Prospect Farm</b>	
0828/2/027/W	Saddleworth Civic Trust
Support (FD Ref: 0828/1/014/O) Unconditionally withdraws - accept green belt designation.	
<hr/>	
<b>Land off Chetwyn Avenue, Royton</b>	
0665/2/008/O	The Environment Agency
Objection Objects to removal of part of LGG1 as the River Irk flows through the area removed, therefore the protection given to the river and its banks would be decreased.	
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>LGG10 Shawside, Shaw (Moss Hey)</b>	
0166/2/006/O      Objection    (FD Ref: 0166/1/002/O)	P & D Northern Steels Ltd
	Agent : Chorlton Planning
Map Change Ref No. 11/4. Object to the change in designation from "Land Reserved for Future Development" to "Local Green Gap". Re-allocate the site for residential development.	
<b>LGG15 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross</b>	
0040/2/033/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0040/1/009/O)	Saddleworth Parish Council
Joint Case	Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
Conditionally withdraws	
<b>LGG19 Ainsley Wood, Delph</b>	
0040/2/021/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0040/1/011/O)	Saddleworth Parish Council
Joint Case	Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
Conditionally withdraw	
<b>LGG8 Oldham Edge</b>	
0824/2/004/S      Support	Yvonne Dawson
Map Change Ref No. 11/6. Supports change.	
<b>LGG19 Ainsley Wood, Delph</b>	
0828/2/036/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0828/1/002/O)	Saddleworth Civic Trust
Conditionally withdraws	
<b>LR1 Cowlshaw</b>	
1417/2/001/O      Objection	Joan Broadbent
Objects to removal of land from Local Green Gap 8. Should remain as Local Green Gap. Area is an accident black spot. (Map change ref 11/28)	
<b>LR1 Cowlshaw</b>	
0395/2/002/S      Objection    (FD Ref: 0395/1/001/O)	C.H. Watson
Joint Case	
Accepts change in principle but still concerned that any future development would involve access/egress from Edward Road which is his prime concern.	
<b>LR1 Cowlshaw</b>	
0215/2/002/O      Objection    (FD Ref: 0215/1/001/O)	M.G. Hill
Joint Case	



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Classify as Green Belt. If not, a loophole is provided through which the already reduced areas may be eroded even further.	
0207/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0207/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs C.S. Abbott
0193/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0193/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs N. Abbott
0330/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0330/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Miss C. Bailey
0364/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0364/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	A. Barlow
0374/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0374/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	C. Barnett
0523/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0523/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	M. Barnett
0586/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0586/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs J. Bennett
0517/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0517/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Miss S. Bennett
0491/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0491/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr T. Bithell
0393/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0393/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs J. Bowker
0220/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0220/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Harry Bowker

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0427/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0427/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs B. Butterfield
0224/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0224/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs A Butterworth
0546/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0546/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr & Mrs Chadwick
0481/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0481/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Cllr A.J. Dillon
0519/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0519/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Cllr P Dillon
0500/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0500/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	S.& F. Eades
0329/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0329/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs I. Ellis
0234/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0234/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Miss K. Faulkner
0235/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0235/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr A. Faulkner
0233/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0233/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Dan Faulkner
0443/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0443/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs Beryl Faulkner
0426/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0426/1/001/O)	Mrs L.M. Fawns

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Conditionally withdraw	
0371/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0371/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Caroline Glennie
0372/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0372/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Walter Glennie
0369/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0369/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs Asha Gulati
0339/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0339/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Fiona Hall
0560/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0560/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Crompton & Royton Golf Club
0482/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0482/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	M. Hambley
0212/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0212/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Harry Hamer
0373/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0373/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	J.A. Hassan
0327/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0327/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs J. Heather
0522/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0522/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	F & W Hopkinson
0357/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0357/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Keith Jackson

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0358/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0358/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Susan Jackson
0428/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0428/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr H. Kenyon
0362/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0362/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr & Mrs Kobyra
0446/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0446/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs J. Korny
0489/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0489/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	D.W. Laws
0388/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0388/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr Peter R Lees
0241/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0241/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs A.I. Long
0510/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0510/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr B. Mellor
0514/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0514/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs P. Mellor
0194/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0194/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr D. Nield
0366/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0366/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs L. Radcliffe
0503/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0503/1/001/O)	Brenda Robertson

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Conditionally withdraw	
0449/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0449/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	James Saville
0584/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0584/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	John Southern
0471/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0471/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	B & T Warburton & Johnson
0211/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0211/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs R.H White
0279/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0279/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr & Mrs F Whitehead
0283/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0283/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr&Mrs P. Wright
0245/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0245/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws	Miss A. Maguire
0328/2/002/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0328/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws	Mr&Mrs M. Pritchard
0038/2/036/S     Support     (FD Ref: 0038/1/003/O) Conditionally withdraws.	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
0814/2/002/O     Objection     (FD Ref: 0814/1/001/O) Joint Case Do not want any development on site.	Mrs V. Riley
0281/2/002/O     Objection     (FD Ref: 0281/1/001/O)	Mr R. Whittles

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
	Land should be designated as green belt.
0590/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0590/1/001/O) Land should be protected from building of any kind.
0589/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0589/1/001/O) Land should be protected from building of any kind.
0587/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0587/1/001/O) Land should be protected from building of any kind.
0252/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0252/1/001/O) Land should remain green belt to protect from development
0440/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0440/1/001/O) Local Green Gap policy does not provide real protection and could allow area to be developed.
0409/2/002/O Joint Case	Objection (FD Ref: 0409/1/001/O) Maintain original objection that land should be included within an area where early development could be contemplated.
0305/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0305/1/001/O) Maintain original objection to any building/development of the site.
0452/2/002/O Joint Case	Objection (FD Ref: 0452/1/001/O) Maintains original objection - feels revised policy will allow development.
0418/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0418/1/001/O) Maintains support for the views originally projected by the Cowlshaw Action Group
0409/2/003/O Joint Case	Objection Object to allocation as Local Green Gap. Previously designated as potential future development land, few sites for residential development in this area, would still retain green gap between Shaw and Royton, greenfield sites still needed.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0563/2/002/O Joint Case	J. & S. Earnshaw
Objection (FD Ref: 0563/1/001/O) Object to any development of the area. Question the criteria in policy OE1.10.	
0577/2/002/O	Ian Nadin
Objection (FD Ref: 0577/1/001/O) Objects to policy which could permit any development on the site.	
0487/2/002/O	Derek T. Oldham
Objection (FD Ref: 0487/1/001/O) Objects to any change to the land	
0218/2/002/O	Dr A. Butterworth
Objection (FD Ref: 0218/1/001/O) Objects to changed wording of Local Green Gap policy which could allow development on site.	
0561/2/002/O	Marjorie Johnson
Objection (FD Ref: 0561/1/001/O) Objects to wording of revised LGG policy which could leave loophole for some development of site.	
0468/2/002/O	Cllr Val Pemberton
Objection (FD Ref: 0468/1/001/O) Policy may allow development in future - would like area to be green belt.	
0501/2/002/S	Mr&Mrs SK Thornton
Objection (FD Ref: 0501/1/001/O) Reservations about Local Green Gap policy - may allow some development. Would prefer designation to be protected open land.	
0570/2/004/O Joint Case	Mr G. Lindsay
Objection (FD Ref: 0570/1/001/O) The change of wording is not acceptable. Wants Green Belt status for the land.	
0549/2/002/W	Mr F. Dowd
Support (FD Ref: 0549/1/001/O) Unconditionally Withdrawn.	
1233/2/002/S	Cllr Ann Wingate
Support Welcomes classification of Cowlshaw as Local Green Gap. Also welcomes amended definition of LGG's which gives them greater protection against inappropriate development.	
0042/2/005/S	Shaw & Crompton Parish Council
Objection (FD Ref: 0042/1/003/O)	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Welcomes Local Green Gap allocation but would like areas west and south of Newbarn school, which have been wooded, to be allocated as recreational space.	
0454/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0454/1/001/O) Wish to see land protected as green belt to prevent any building on land.	Mr&Mrs Stead
<b>LR10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill</b>	
0040/2/019/S Support (FD Ref: 0040/1/015/O) Joint Case Conditionally withdraw	Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
0828/2/021/S Support (FD Ref: 0828/1/005/O) Conditionally withdraws	Saddleworth Civic Trust
0095/2/002/O Objection Joint Case Objects to whole site being allocated as LGG. Whole of new LG16 should be allocated for residential development.	Mr S. Howarth Agent : Chorlton Planning
0160/2/006/O Objection Supports re-designation as LGG but objects to revised wording of policy	Brian Lee
<b>LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft</b>	
0089/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0089/1/001/O) Joint Case Change does not address original objection. Further development on Oldham Road will add to problems of congestion.	D.N&T.P. Rigby
0585/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0585/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	G Bentley
0063/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0063/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mr B. Byram
0087/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0087/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	Mrs J. Byram





**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0599/2/002/S Support (FD Ref: 0599/1/001/O) Conditionally Withdraws.	Mr Michael Hilton
0081/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0081/1/001/O) Land should be protected as Local Green Gap but objects to changes to policy wording since First Deposit version	Dr S. Keba
0068/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0068/1/001/O) Land should never be used for building work. Revised policy falls short of this.	Pamela Hilton
0588/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0588/1/001/O) Local Green Gap designation could still permit small scale development.	Alan Fletcher
0597/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0597/1/001/O) Maintains original objection. Does not wish there to be any development at all on the land.	Peter Wood
0603/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0603/1/001/O) Object to policy which would permit any building on the site.	B & J Read
0090/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0090/1/001/O) Objection would only be resolved if no development, or new buildings of any type, were permitted now or in the future. (Para 11.53 11.54)	Mr Malcolm Gelder
0601/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0601/1/001/O) Objects to any development due to possibility of flooding of properties down hill from site.	Mr Adamson
0065/2/002/O Objection (FD Ref: 0065/1/001/O) Policy still allows possible buildings which would mean loss of woodland, wildlife and local amenity. Saddleworth already built up and roads are becoming overcrowded and dangerous.	Jill Beswick
0054/2/002/S Objection (FD Ref: 0054/1/001/O) Supports Local Green Gap designation but objects to the 'weaker' revised definition of LGG. Would like to see the original definition in the First Deposit retained to protect the land from development.	Margaret Ward
0596/2/002/S Objection (FD Ref: 0596/1/001/O) Supports Local Green Gap designation but wishes to see the original definition in the First Deposit retained.	Mr&Mrs D S Wareing

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0591/2/002/O      Objection (FD Ref: 0591/1/001/O) The site has amenity and ecological value. The site is unsuitable on geological and poor drainage grounds. Maintain full Local Green Gap status. (Para 11.53 & 11.54)	Dr&Mrs K S MacKenzie
0060/2/002/W      Support (FD Ref: 0060/1/001/O) Joint Case Unconditionally Withdrawn.	Mr Barry Parkin
0070/2/002/O      Objection (FD Ref: 0070/1/001/O) Wish to keep site as public open space to protect wooded area.	Mr & Mrs A Cook
<b>Summershades Lane</b>	
0086/2/002/S      Support (FD Ref: 0086/1/001/O) Partial Withdraw.	Mr&Mrs DG Tyrrell
<b>Wall Hill Road</b>	
0738/2/001/S      Support Supports addition of land to LGG15 as Local Green Gap.	Mr D.C. Marshall
1375/2/001/S      Support Supports designation as Local Green Gap	G Deakin
0824/2/003/S      Support Supports extension of LGG15	Yvonne Dawson
0828/2/019/S      Support Supports extension to LGG15	Saddleworth Civic Trust
1248/2/005/S      Support Supports land at Wall Hill Road being designated as Local Green Gap. Will link to recreational route RR11.	Mr H Charles
<b>OE1.2</b> 0038/2/044/S      Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/021/O)	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.6</b> 0113/2/025/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0113/1/018/O) Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership PPG1 does not suggest that the condition of the property is relevant. New para. 11.36 gives a misleading definition of "substantially intact dwelling".
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.7</b> 0021/2/065/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/053/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.8</b> <b>Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd</b>	
0709/2/006/O	Objection Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Agent : J10 Planning Larger floorspace than the mill building is required for re-development purposes. Need to take account of other infrastructure on the site. "Net developable area" restriction and mix of uses are inappropriate. Residential development is wanted.
<hr/>	
0665/2/009/O	Objection The Environment Agency No objection to allocation but policy should include clause to protect and enhance Chew Brook and mill lodges.
<hr/>	
0368/2/008/O	Objection Dr David Atherton Policy is too vague and lacks imagination for development of this sensitive site. Need to provide facilities for local people.
<hr/>	
1413/2/001/S	Support United Utilities PLC Water mains will need extending to serve any development on this site.
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.8 11.43</b> <b>Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd</b>	
1780/2/001/O	Objection Cllr R. Knowles Replace 'unique' in subsection iii) with 'special site of sub regional importance', and correct reservoir name to 'Dove Stone'. (alternative wording suggested)

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
1227/2/002/O      Objection Site should be landscaped to form parkland extension to Peak National Park.	Martin Arthur
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.8 11.48</b>	
<b>Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd</b>	
1780/2/002/O      Objection Add statement about the 'hydraulically inadequate' sewers downstream of this site that would/might require enhancement by a developer of the site.	Cllr R. Knowles
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.9</b>	
0006/2/019/S      Support    (FD Ref: 0006/1/018/O) Conditionally withdraws	Highways Agency
<hr/>	
0021/2/067/S      Support    (FD Ref: 0021/1/055/O) Conditionally withdraws	Government Office for the North West
<hr/>	
1841/2/001/O      Objection Joint Case Support de-allocation from Business and Industry but would rather the land be designated Local Green Gap and not Land Reserved for Future Development in the interests of safeguarding the area from traffic impact.	Mr M Buckley
<hr/>	
<b>Hebron Street</b>	
1846/2/001/O      Objection Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt / Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to safeguard from noise and traffic problems.	J Buckley
<hr/>	
1849/2/001/O      Objection Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	R & P Bennian & Reynolds
<hr/>	
1845/2/001/O      Objection Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	D Downes
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0169/2/003/O      Objection	Messrs Halliwell & Douglas Agent : Chorlton Planning
Object to de - allocation from Business and Industry to redesignation as Land Reserved for Future Development, due to uncertainty that this creates.	
1863/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs G Morris
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but land the land should be merged into the adjacent Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft, for amenity reasons.	
1864/2/001/O      Objection	M & E Ogden
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but leave land as it is and merge it in with adjacent Local green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	
1861/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs B Stott
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation / Local Green Gap, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	
1866/2/001/O      Objection	B.P. Edwards
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in order to protect amenity and avoid traffic and other amenity problems.	
1867/2/001/O      Objection	E Goddard
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in the interests of amenities.	
1868/2/001/O      Objection	A & P Haines
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	
1855/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Whiteoak
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.	
1858/2/001/O      Objection	A Miller
Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation and extension of Bullcote Park, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in interests of amenity .	
1865/2/001/O      Objection	J & S Rushton

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like land merging with adjacent Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1856/2/001/O Joint Case	Mr/Mrs P J Mayhew
	Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Local Green Gap designation merged onto adjacent, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1860/2/001/O	E & R Mills
	Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like the land merged into the existing adjacent Local Green Gap not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft for amenity reasons.
1862/2/001/O	Alan Waterhouse
	Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to safeguard the amenity of the area.
1844/2/001/O	Mr V Buckley
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry , but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1850/2/001/O	J Conway
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt / Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to prevent harm to existing amenities.
1848/2/001/O	M. H. Beaker
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1846/2/002/O	J Buckley
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1851/2/001/O	G & L Frost
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1853/2/001/O	G Hardy
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1854/2/001/O	Dorothy McHugh

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
1847/2/001/O	Mr & Mrs B Bakes
	Objection Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt/ Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft, due to limited access to the land, loss of recreation and birdlife.
<b>OE2</b> 0038/2/039/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
	Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/011/O) Conditionally withdraws - Additions to part B of OE2 addresses previous objection
0149/2/034/S	English Nature
	Support English Nature strongly supports this policy as it attaches significant value to protecting and enhancing biodiversity, features of geological interest, green corridors and tree cover when considering development proposals.
0149/2/023/S	English Nature
	Support Support for policy as it attaches significant value to protecting and enhancing biodiversity, features of geological interest, green corridors and tree cover, when considering development proposals.
0740/2/055/S	North West Regional Assembly
	Support Support proposed changes.
<b>OE2 11.70</b> 1616/2/001/O	The National Trust
	Objection Although generally supportive of the additional text at the end of par. 11.70, it is felt that the second sentence should appear in the Policy itself rather than in the supporting text.
0036/2/007/O	Peak District National Park
	Objection (FD Ref: 0036/1/005/O) Wording of policy does not adequately reflect the duty of authorities to have regard to National Park purposes when undertaking work which affects land in a National Park. (para 11.70)
<b>OE2 11.71</b> 0038/2/057/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
	Support



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Welcomes changes to part 'B' of the policy and to para. 11.71	
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.1</b>	
0021/2/069/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/057/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws
<hr/>	
0868/2/001/O	Objection Mr A Brereton Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage
This objection was also made by the following people	
0879/2/001/O	Objection Mrs M Brereton
0905/2/001/O	Objection Mr David Bridges
0908/2/001/O	Objection B Butterworth
0931/2/001/O	Objection Ms Sheila France
0934/2/001/O	Objection C Gardner
<hr/>	
0836/2/001/O	Objection L. Ainsley Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
This objection was also made by the following people	
0838/2/001/O	Objection C. Ainsley
0839/2/001/O	Objection Ian Ainsley
0840/2/001/O	Objection Nelson Ainsley
0844/2/001/O	Objection James Allen
0841/2/001/O	Objection Georgina Allen
0842/2/001/O	Objection Sheila Allen
0843/2/001/O	Objection Suzanne Allen
0845/2/001/O	Objection Mark Ashworth
0846/2/001/O	Objection M. Atkins
0847/2/001/O	Objection John Attree
Joint Case	
0848/2/001/O	Objection Gregory Backhouse

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0849/2/001/O      Objection	S. Bailey
This objection was also made by the following people	
0851/2/001/O      Objection	Jack Ball
0850/2/001/O      Objection	S. Ball
0852/2/001/O      Objection	Nathan Barber
0853/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Barnes
0854/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ray Barnes
0855/2/001/O      Objection	Martin Barton
0856/2/001/O      Objection	Jane Bassett
0857/2/001/O      Objection	Colin Baxter
0858/2/001/O      Objection	A.S. Bentley
0859/2/001/O      Objection	J. Bentley
0860/2/001/O      Objection	Ben Bethel
0861/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Joan Bolton
0862/2/001/O      Objection	Mr M. Boone
0863/2/001/O      Objection	Sharron Bostock
0864/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Bostock
0865/2/001/O      Objection	K.H. Boulter
0866/2/001/O      Objection	Carl Bowker
0867/2/001/O      Objection	Carol Braithwaite
0914/2/001/O      Objection	S Brownlee
0915/2/001/O      Objection	H B Buckley
0912/2/001/O      Objection	Jimmy Burns
0913/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Butterworth
0869/2/001/O      Objection	A Cartledge
0870/2/001/O      Objection	J Cartledge
0871/2/001/O      Objection	R Castle
0872/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew R Chadwick
0873/2/001/O      Objection	Janet Clarke
0874/2/001/O      Objection	John Cleary
0881/2/001/O      Objection	Dawn Clynes
0875/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Cordwell
0877/2/001/O      Objection	Marie Cordwell
0876/2/001/O      Objection	Chris Cordwell
0878/2/001/O      Objection	Patrick Cordwell

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0882/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs E Cork
This objection was also made by the following people	
0885/2/001/O      Objection	Ian Crowther
0883/2/001/O      Objection	Ben Crowther
0886/2/001/O      Objection	Pauline Crowther
0888/2/001/O      Objection	John H Crowther
0884/2/001/O      Objection	Denise Crowther
0890/2/001/O      Objection	Catherine Daly
0889/2/001/O      Objection	Ged Daly
0892/2/001/O      Objection	Steven Davies
0891/2/001/O      Objection	G W Davis
0893/2/001/O      Objection	B J Deaville
0894/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Howard Debble
0895/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A Delaney
0896/2/001/O      Objection	Joyce Earle
0897/2/001/O      Objection	M A Edwards
0898/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J England
0899/2/001/O      Objection	Lucy England
0900/2/001/O      Objection	Mr P England
0901/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs D Evans
0902/2/001/O      Objection	Mr D Evans
0904/2/001/O      Objection	Stuart Evans
0903/2/001/O      Objection	Julie Evans
0906/2/001/O      Objection	Mr D Fairclough
0907/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P R Fairclough
0926/2/001/O      Objection	Ms Susan Fogerty
0928/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Carlo Fontanarosa
0929/2/001/O      Objection	Mr R Ford
0930/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Ford
0932/2/001/O      Objection	H A Freear
0933/2/001/O      Objection	Ms Marta Gallastegui
0953/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Garratt
0956/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Geldart
0958/2/001/O      Objection	Mr S Gidley
0957/2/001/O      Objection	Mr P Gidley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0960/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Julie Gittens
This objection was also made by the following people	
0961/2/001/O      Objection	Mr F Gouldbourn
0963/2/001/O      Objection	Neil Graham
0964/2/001/O      Objection	Matthew Graham
0966/2/001/O      Objection	Shirley Graham
0968/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Green
0969/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Gregory
0974/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Lionel Gregory
Joint Case	
1899/2/001/O      Objection	Racefield Management Company Ltd
0977/2/001/O      Objection	H Hague
0978/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Hall
0979/2/001/O      Objection	Harry Hanson
0982/2/001/O      Objection	Vera Hanson
0984/2/001/O      Objection	James Harris
0985/2/001/O      Objection	Imelda K Harris
0986/2/001/O      Objection	Stephen Hartwell
0988/2/001/O      Objection	Anne Hayes
0989/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Hebburn
0990/2/001/O      Objection	Mr T W Hebburn
0991/2/001/O      Objection	Jimmy Hibbert
1107/2/001/O      Objection	Angela Hirst
1117/2/001/O      Objection	Mr John Hirst
1051/2/001/O      Objection	Michael Hobson
1052/2/001/O      Objection	Kristian Holt
1053/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Lisa Holt
1054/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Holt
1055/2/001/O      Objection	Tony Horrocks
1056/2/001/O      Objection	B Hughes
1057/2/001/O      Objection	J Hughes
1058/2/001/O      Objection	Rifle Range
1059/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs B Hutchinson
Joint Case	
1060/2/001/O      Objection	Ann Hynes

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1061/2/001/O      Objection	Francis Ingham
This objection was also made by the following people	
1063/2/001/O      Objection	Stuart Ivell
1062/2/001/O      Objection	Hazel Ivell
1064/2/001/O      Objection	Hedley Jackson
1065/2/001/O      Objection	M Jackson
1066/2/001/O      Objection	Neil Jackson
1067/2/001/O      Objection	Kate Jelen
1073/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Jelton
1072/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Jepson
1068/2/001/O      Objection	P Johnson
1069/2/001/O      Objection	Mr S Johnson
1070/2/001/O      Objection	Andy Jones
1071/2/001/O      Objection	Jack Jones
1088/2/001/O      Objection	Michelle Kay
1084/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Kay
1086/2/001/O      Objection	David Kay
1089/2/001/O      Objection	Peter Kay
1090/2/001/O      Objection	W Kay
1091/2/001/O      Objection	Anthony Keenan
1092/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs K Keenan
1105/2/001/O      Objection	Elaine Kershaw
1108/2/001/O      Objection	Tracy Kewn
1110/2/001/O      Objection	Tahir Khan
1111/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs V A Killion
1112/2/001/O      Objection	P A Kirk
1114/2/001/O      Objection	June Knight
1116/2/001/O      Objection	Robert Knight
1118/2/001/O      Objection	Samantha Knight
1120/2/001/O      Objection	T Langan
1122/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A Langan
1123/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Langan
1119/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Langan
1125/2/001/O      Objection	Jennifer Lee
1126/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Lee

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1177/2/001/O      Objection	D Lees
This objection was also made by the following people	
1172/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Ann Lees
1127/2/001/O      Objection	Lees
1150/2/001/O      Objection	Mr M Lees
1148/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Litherland
1133/2/001/O      Objection	E Lowe
1134/2/001/O      Objection	M MacDonald
1137/2/001/O      Objection	Lilian Maders
1138/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs D Maguire
1139/2/001/O      Objection	Mr J Maguire
1140/2/001/O      Objection	B Manning
1141/2/001/O      Objection	North Chadderton Social & Bowling Club
1143/2/001/O      Objection	James S Marsh
1144/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Michael Martin
1202/2/001/O      Objection	Haydn Mason
1146/2/001/O      Objection	Joan Mason
1151/2/001/O      Objection	Alison McDonal
1152/2/001/O      Objection	Ben McDonal
1153/2/001/O      Objection	D J McDonal
0955/2/001/O      Objection	Gaynor Mellor
1158/2/001/O      Objection	Jacqueline Milhench
1154/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Miller
Joint Case	
1155/2/001/O      Objection	L W Miller
Joint Case	
1156/2/001/O      Objection	Miller Crompton Limited
1161/2/001/O      Objection	Steve Mooney
1162/2/001/O      Objection	Mr N Moorhouse
1160/2/001/O      Objection	B Morgan
1136/2/001/O      Objection	C Morgan
1175/2/001/O      Objection	D Mottley
1173/2/001/O      Objection	Mr J Mottram
1176/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Murphy
1178/2/001/O      Objection	E Murphy

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1180/2/001/O      Objection	Ray Murphy
This objection was also made by the following people	
1182/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Murphy
1205/2/001/O      Objection	Linda Newman
1238/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P Newport
1215/2/001/O      Objection	David Norbury
1214/2/001/O      Objection	D Norton
1222/2/001/O      Objection	Liz O'Neill
1217/2/001/O      Objection	Peter O'Neill
1242/2/001/O      Objection	Mr K Parker
1244/2/001/O      Objection	Roger Parris
1243/2/001/O      Objection	D Partington
1247/2/001/O      Objection	Dr A T Peel
1249/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M Peel
1250/2/001/O      Objection	J D Peet
1251/2/001/O      Objection	Joan Peet
1253/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs C Pickering
1254/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Pilling
1255/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs D Platt
1256/2/001/O      Objection	Mary Power
1259/2/001/O      Objection	Tony Price
1258/2/001/O      Objection	Mr J Price
1262/2/001/O      Objection	Mr J Ramsbottom
1263/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs S Ramsbottom
1324/2/001/O      Objection	A Rhodes
1264/2/001/O      Objection	David Rhodes
1265/2/001/O      Objection	Carol Rhodes
1266/2/001/O      Objection	M Rhodes
1326/2/001/O      Objection	R Robertson
1331/2/001/O      Objection	Pat Roe
1328/2/001/O      Objection	Kathy Roe
1329/2/001/O      Objection	John Roe
1327/2/001/O      Objection	J D Roe
1334/2/001/O      Objection	Eric Saville
1336/2/001/O      Objection	J M Scholes

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1337/2/001/O      Objection	M Simms
This objection was also made by the following people	
1338/2/001/O      Objection	S Simms
1339/2/001/O      Objection	Annie Smith
1340/2/001/O      Objection	Kevin Smith
1342/2/002/O      Objection	Barry Spence
1345/2/001/O      Objection	Howard Spinks
1347/2/001/O      Objection	Susan Stahr
1348/2/001/O      Objection	M Stone
1349/2/001/O      Objection	Maureen Stvzaker
1351/2/001/O      Objection	C Sweeney
1352/2/001/O      Objection	Marjorie Sykes
1360/2/001/O      Objection	Peter Sykes
1404/2/001/O      Objection	Mr T G Taylor
1406/2/001/O      Objection	W Taylor
1400/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Taylor
1401/2/001/O      Objection	M Taylor
1402/2/001/O      Objection	Jean Taylor
1407/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs D Thomas
1408/2/001/O      Objection	Mr E Thomas
1426/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Thorpe
1428/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs G Thorpe
1439/2/001/O      Objection	D Viner
1440/2/001/O      Objection	B Viner
1442/2/001/O      Objection	E Wadsworth
1443/2/001/O      Objection	Angela Walker
1135/2/001/O      Objection	Lowe Walter
1444/2/001/O      Objection	W Watson
1445/2/001/O      Objection	Moraig Watt
1447/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs S E Watts
1474/2/001/O      Objection	Vera Whitehead
1475/2/001/O      Objection	J Whitworth
1477/2/001/O      Objection	P Whitworth
1480/2/001/O      Objection	Mark Whyatt
1498/2/001/O      Objection	Victoria P Wild



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1489/2/001/O      Objection	J Wild
This objection was also made by the following people	
1490/2/001/O      Objection	Miss Jean Wild
1492/2/001/O      Objection	P Wild
1487/2/001/O      Objection	G Wild
1493/2/001/O      Objection	Pat Wild
1495/2/001/O      Objection	Rodney Wild
1497/2/001/O      Objection	Ross Wild
1483/2/001/O      Objection	Alice Wild
1485/2/001/O      Objection	Alison Wild
1486/2/001/O      Objection	Dean Wild
1482/2/001/O      Objection	A Wild
1500/2/001/O      Objection	M F Wilson
1502/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J B Wilson
1505/2/001/O      Objection	Mr R T Wilson
1506/2/001/O      Objection	Dawn Wright
1508/2/001/O      Objection	Myra Yewdale
1510/2/001/O      Objection	E Young
<hr/>	
1893/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs S R Lomax
Supports the objectives set out in the policy, but objects to a loophole in the criteria that allows developments to be permitted where the benefits of the development outweigh the benefits of conserving the landscape.	
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.1 11.75</b>	
1616/2/002/O      Objection	The National Trust
Supportive of additional text at end of para. 11.75 (re. assessing impact of development on visual qualities and values of the National Park) but wishes for it to be in the Policy rather than supporting text.	
<hr/>	
0036/2/002/O      Objection	Peak District National Park
Wording of policy does not adequately reflect the duty of authorities to have regard to National Park purposes when undertaking work which affects land in a National Park.	
<hr/>	

**OE2.2  
Land at Birchinlee Mill, Royton**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0893/2/003/O      Objection Although supports the proposed changes to expand the boundary to protect the open land and habitat, the proposed boundary does not extend far enough.	B J Deaville
<hr/>	
<b>Land at Malby Street, Oldham</b>	
0702/2/003/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0702/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws	J & A Patterson
<hr/>	
<b>LGG15 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross</b>	
0824/2/005/S      Support Map Ref Change No. 11/17. Supports change.	Yvonne Dawson
<hr/>	
<b>Lydgate tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft</b>	
0040/2/020/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0040/1/012/O) Joint Case Conditionally withdraw	Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates
<hr/>	
0776/2/002/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0776/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraw	David O Haines
<hr/>	
0827/2/002/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0827/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws	G Winterbottom
<hr/>	
0177/2/004/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0177/1/003/O) Conditionally Withdraws. Map Change Number Ref: 11/18	David Chadderton
<hr/>	
0828/2/023/S      Support Supports designation as Green Corridor & Link	Saddleworth Civic Trust
<hr/>	
<b>Wall Hill Road</b>	
0828/2/024/S      Support Support designation as Green Corridor & Link	Saddleworth Civic Trust
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1777/2/001/S Support Supports designation as Green Corridor & Link (Map change 11/16)	Delph Community Association
0738/2/002/S Support Supports designation as Green Corridor and Link to provide additional protection to LGG15	Mr D.C. Marshall
1248/2/006/S Support Supports designation of land as Green Corridor and Link to provide link to recreational route RR11.	Mr H Charles
0824/2/002/S Support Supports designation of LGG15 as Green Corridor and Link	Yvonne Dawson
0828/2/020/S Support Supports proposed designation as green corridor and link	Saddleworth Civic Trust
<b>OE2.3</b>	
0149/2/031/S Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/017/O) Candidate Special Areas of Conservation (cSACs) are omitted from Policy OE2.3. They should be included within the policy.	English Nature
0038/2/041/S Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/025/O) Conditionally withdraws	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
0974/2/003/O Objection Objects to lack of protection offered to birds in the Green Belt outside of designated nature conservation areas. Amend policy to offer protection to birds and other wildlife.	Mr Lionel Gregory
1899/2/002/O Objection Objects to lack of protection offered to birds in the Green Belt outside of designated nature conservation areas. Amend policy to offer protection to birds and other wildlife.	Racefield Management Company Ltd
1900/2/004/O Objection Offer protection to areas where birds hunt and feed. Amend policy and text.	Ramblers' Association
1616/2/003/S Support	The National Trust

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Supports additional wording at end of sub-para.c. Nature conservation value of a variety of elements of the wider landscape is often under appreciated. Are important components of the ecology of an area.
0149/2/024/S	Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/018/O) English Nature The inclusion of a specific reference to the Rochdale canal cSAC is supported.
1840/2/005/O	Objection Roy Bardsley Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally or regionally designated sites.
This objection was also made by the following people	
1852/2/003/O	Objection Mrs M McEwan
1859/2/003/O	Objection Mr B McEwan
1949/2/003/O	Objection Ms Debbie Cummaford Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites
1623/2/003/O	Objection Ransome Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
This objection was also made by the following people	
0943/2/003/O	Objection Mr P Ackroyd
0909/2/003/O	Objection A.R. Ackroyd
0910/2/003/O	Objection Susan Ackroyd
0941/2/003/O	Objection Mrs Heidi Ackroyd
0911/2/003/O	Objection Mr D Alcock
0916/2/003/O	Objection S. E. Alexander
0922/2/003/O	Objection Jean Alford
0918/2/003/O	Objection Phillip Alford
1021/2/003/O	Objection Mr Ali
0923/2/003/O	Objection Dr & Mrs G Allen
0927/2/003/O	Objection Mr A.H Armson
0925/2/003/O	Objection John Arthurs
0924/2/003/O	Objection Stephen M Ashurst
0935/2/003/O	Objection Michael B Ashworth
0936/2/003/O	Objection Debra Aspinall

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0937/2/003/O      Objection	James M Auld
This objection was also made by the following people	
0940/2/003/O      Objection	G & H.J Austin
0939/2/003/O      Objection	Emma Bagshaw
0942/2/003/O      Objection	Scott Baker
0938/2/003/O      Objection	Anthony J Baker
0944/2/003/O      Objection	H & J Ball
0945/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Barber
0946/2/003/O      Objection	J & P Barnes
0947/2/003/O      Objection	J.H Barnes
0948/2/003/O      Objection	C Barnes
0949/2/003/O      Objection	A & C Barrass
0950/2/003/O      Objection	Neil Barrow
0951/2/003/O      Objection	Heather Bates
1023/2/003/O      Objection	B A Beddows
0952/2/003/O      Objection	Rebecca Beesley
1025/2/003/O      Objection	Shahida Begum
1027/2/003/O      Objection	Colin Bell
0954/2/003/O      Objection	Aaron Bennett
1028/2/003/O      Objection	J Bergin
0959/2/003/O      Objection	Helen Berry
0962/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Bescoby
0971/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs A.H. Billing
0965/2/003/O      Objection	Sheila P. Billingsley
0970/2/003/O      Objection	Catherine Bingley
0967/2/003/O      Objection	Ms A. Bintliff
0972/2/003/O      Objection	T. Blackshaw
0973/2/003/O      Objection	P. Blackshaw
0975/2/003/O      Objection	N. Bocking
0980/2/003/O      Objection	Sheila Bocking
0992/2/003/O      Objection	D Booth
0993/2/003/O      Objection	Ken Booth
0994/2/003/O      Objection	J & J Bottomley
0996/2/003/O      Objection	Carol A Bottrill
0997/2/003/O      Objection	Miss Jill Boughey

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0998/2/003/O      Objection	A. Bowater
This objection was also made by the following people	
0999/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Bowden
1045/2/003/O      Objection	H.J. Bowes
0157/2/003/O      Objection	Mr&Mrs M. Bowker
Joint Case	
1030/2/003/O      Objection	G Bowler
1032/2/003/O      Objection	Thomas R Boyle
1031/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs K Boyle
1000/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew Bradbury
1001/2/003/O      Objection	B & J Bradley
1002/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Braithwaite
1033/2/003/O      Objection	Vicky Bramley
1003/2/003/O      Objection	Doug Branson
1004/2/003/O      Objection	C.E. Brayzier
0887/2/003/O      Objection	Leon Brett
1005/2/003/O      Objection	P. Brisk
1938/2/003/O      Objection	Hugh Broadbent
1006/2/003/O      Objection	Mike Brogden
1034/2/003/O      Objection	John Brooks
1035/2/003/O      Objection	Kathy Brooks
1007/2/003/O      Objection	Alan Brooks
1008/2/003/O      Objection	Karen Brooks
1009/2/003/O      Objection	E.L. Brooks
1036/2/003/O      Objection	E Brophy
1010/2/003/O      Objection	Justin Brown
1011/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Brown
1012/2/003/O      Objection	Ms A.M. Brown
0828/2/032/O      Objection	Saddleworth Civic Trust
1016/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mike Buckley
1014/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Anne Buckley
1015/2/003/O      Objection	R.H. Buckley
1037/2/003/O      Objection	Sam Buckley
1746/2/003/O      Objection	H Buckley
1017/2/003/O      Objection	L. Bulmer

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1018/2/003/O      Objection	Mark Burgin
This objection was also made by the following people	
1019/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Irene Burke
1020/2/003/O      Objection	Helen Burrill
1022/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs K Butterworth
1013/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L.M. Byram
1029/2/003/O      Objection	Mr S Byrne
1038/2/003/O      Objection	Sharon Byrne
1026/2/003/O      Objection	Alice Byrom
1024/2/003/O      Objection	G Byrom
1048/2/003/O      Objection	D. Cameron
0748/2/003/O      Objection	Martin Capper
1240/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Janet Capper
1039/2/003/O      Objection	Eirian Carruthers
1241/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mark Cassin
1246/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs B Chapman
1248/2/003/O      Objection	Mr H Charles
0636/2/003/O      Objection	Jennifer Clark
0527/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew Clark
1252/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Eric Clark
1260/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Ian Clarke
1257/2/003/O      Objection	C Clarke
1042/2/003/O      Objection	Shirley Clayton
1261/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Clegg
1041/2/003/O      Objection	J Clemison
0659/2/003/O      Objection	P.A. Coates
1267/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Coates/Kerr
1043/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Collinge
1044/2/003/O      Objection	Lisa Collins
1046/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs K Collins
1268/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Frank Collins
1270/2/003/O      Objection	Julie Connell
1269/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Jayne Connor
1047/2/003/O      Objection	Albert G Cook
1049/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs E Cooke

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1050/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew Cooney
This objection was also made by the following people	
1272/2/003/O      Objection	Joanna Coop
1271/2/003/O      Objection	J Cornel
1074/2/003/O      Objection	Susan Cotter
1277/2/003/O      Objection	Nicholas Cox
1278/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs A.B. Cox
1276/2/003/O      Objection	Florence Coyne
1274/2/003/O      Objection	Charlotte Craig
1076/2/003/O      Objection	Betty Crossley
1275/2/003/O      Objection	J.M Crossley
1281/2/003/O      Objection	H.J D'Adamo
1282/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Daniels
1283/2/003/O      Objection	Scott Dargan
1284/2/003/O      Objection	Elizabeth Dargen
1285/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Andrew Davies
0660/2/003/O      Objection	Joan Dean
1286/2/003/O      Objection	Sue Devenney
1287/2/003/O      Objection	Daniel Dixon
1077/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Joanne Dobbs
1288/2/003/O      Objection	Lucy Donkersley
1289/2/003/O      Objection	Mr M Donough
1291/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Downey
1292/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Brian Doyle
1293/2/003/O      Objection	Carol Drury
1294/2/003/O      Objection	Sue Duty
1295/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Peter Dyer
1296/2/003/O      Objection	Maris Dyer
1297/2/003/O      Objection	Ashley Dyer
1298/2/003/O      Objection	Fiona Dyson
1299/2/003/O      Objection	J Early
1300/2/003/O      Objection	S Early
1308/2/003/O      Objection	E.M Easterby
1301/2/003/O      Objection	Megan Eastwood
0656/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs E Eddison



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1078/2/003/O      Objection	S Edwards
This objection was also made by the following people	
1079/2/003/O      Objection	Charles Edwards
1951/2/003/O      Objection	S. Edwards
1303/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Elliage
1305/2/003/O      Objection	Elizabeth Elwell
1306/2/003/O      Objection	Ms Ros Evans
1307/2/003/O      Objection	Emma Ewart
1309/2/003/O      Objection	Dawn Fairclough
1080/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J Fallows
1310/2/003/O      Objection	Sharon Fanning
1311/2/003/O      Objection	Katie Farrand
1312/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs E Farrar
1313/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Richard Filak
1314/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Firth/Thomas
1316/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Fish
1317/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Fish
1318/2/003/O      Objection	Judith Fitton
1319/2/003/O      Objection	Sean Fitzpatrick
1320/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Jake Fletcher
1321/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ford
1081/2/003/O      Objection	Mike Fox
1323/2/003/O      Objection	Mr D Frankland
1322/2/003/O      Objection	B.G Franklin
1325/2/003/O      Objection	Jenny Frost
1330/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Fryer
1332/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Tony Gardner
1333/2/003/O      Objection	Janet Gardner
1335/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Gartside
1341/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L Gartside
1346/2/003/O      Objection	K Gartside
1082/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs K Gay
1083/2/003/O      Objection	Carol Gibson
1350/2/003/O      Objection	B Gibson
1085/2/003/O      Objection	David Gilchrist

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1353/2/003/O      Objection	Stuart Gill
This objection was also made by the following people	
1355/2/003/O      Objection	Susan Gilligan
1356/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Robert Gilligan
1354/2/003/O      Objection	Mr John E Gilroy
1357/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Godwin
1358/2/003/O      Objection	A Gorst
1087/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew Greaves
1359/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Gilbert Green
1936/2/003/O      Objection	R and B Greenwood
1093/2/003/O      Objection	P.M Greenwood
1094/2/003/O      Objection	Rachel Grimshaw
1096/2/003/O      Objection	Dennis Hadfield
1095/2/003/O      Objection	Amanda Hadfield
1097/2/003/O      Objection	I Hague
1098/2/003/O      Objection	Graham Halkyard
1365/2/003/O      Objection	Stephen T Hall
1362/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Hall
1363/2/003/O      Objection	Mary Hall
1364/2/003/O      Objection	Norma Hall
1361/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Hall & Masterson
1367/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P.A Halliey
0734/2/003/O      Objection	N J Halliley
1368/2/003/O      Objection	P Halliwell
1099/2/003/O      Objection	R.C. Hambleton
1369/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Hanson
1370/2/003/O      Objection	E. Hardie
1371/2/003/O      Objection	Mr I Hargreaves
1100/2/003/O      Objection	J & M Harratt
1372/2/003/O      Objection	D.J.W Harrison
1373/2/003/O      Objection	M.J Harrison
1374/2/003/O      Objection	Pat Harrison
0787/2/004/O      Objection	Mr K. Harrop
0786/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J. Harrop
1405/2/003/O      Objection	W.B Hartley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1411/2/003/O      Objection	Doreen Hartley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1412/2/003/O      Objection	Pauline Hatzebruch
1101/2/003/O      Objection	Rebecca Haworth
1414/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Hayes
1416/2/003/O      Objection	Alan Headdock
1418/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Heaps
1419/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Henthorn
1420/2/003/O      Objection	Lynne Hey
1102/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Lesley Heyes
1421/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs S Heywood
1422/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Hicking
1103/2/003/O      Objection	David Hickling
1424/2/003/O      Objection	Elizabeth Hicks
1429/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Michael Higgins
1104/2/003/O      Objection	Mr C Hill
1109/2/003/O      Objection	Michael Hill
1431/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hill
1430/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Graham Hill
1432/2/003/O      Objection	Mr John Hindle
0690/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J.L. Hindle
1434/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J M Hobbs
0793/2/003/O      Objection	Ms E. Holmes
1436/2/003/O      Objection	Moira Hope
1437/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Roger P Hope
1435/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mike Hope
1438/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mark Hopkins
1441/2/003/O      Objection	Ms B K Hopkinson
1446/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Edwin R Hopkinson
1448/2/003/O      Objection	N.C Hopwood
1449/2/003/O      Objection	Pamela Houghton
1450/2/003/O      Objection	Barbara Howard
1451/2/003/O      Objection	D J Howard
1452/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Nick Howard
1453/2/003/O      Objection	Catherine Howard-Smith

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1454/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Jane Howarth
This objection was also made by the following people	
1455/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Peter Howell
1457/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Richard Hudson
1456/2/003/O      Objection	Jenny Hudson
1113/2/003/O      Objection	Nicola Hughes
1777/2/006/O      Objection	Delph Community Association
1121/2/003/O      Objection	Kenneth Hulme
1115/2/003/O      Objection	Julie Hulme
1433/2/003/O      Objection	D J Hurst
1458/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hurst
1459/2/003/O      Objection	J Hurst
1460/2/003/O      Objection	Mr M.G Hurst
1124/2/003/O      Objection	L Hussain
1461/2/003/O      Objection	Miss S.D Hutchinson
1935/2/003/O      Objection	Robert Hykington
1462/2/003/O      Objection	V Hyncica
1463/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ingrame
1128/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Moinul Islam
1465/2/003/O      Objection	P & B Jackson
1466/2/003/O      Objection	Barbara Jackson
1129/2/003/O      Objection	Elizabeth Jacques
1467/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs S Johnson
1571/2/003/O      Objection	Luke Jones
1468/2/003/O      Objection	Stefanie Jones
1470/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Jowle
1164/2/003/O      Objection	Kershaw Julie
1163/2/003/O      Objection	Mr M.A Kadir
1471/2/003/O      Objection	Hadi Kazemi
1473/2/003/O      Objection	Mr J Kennedy
1476/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs S Kennedy
1478/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Kenny
1479/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Kenny
1481/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Paul Kenyon
1488/2/003/O      Objection	Sylvia Kershaw

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1491/2/003/O      Objection	Mr A J Kershaw
This objection was also made by the following people	
1484/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs A Kershaw
1165/2/003/O      Objection	Masood Khadem
1166/2/003/O      Objection	Victor Khadem
1496/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Abraham Khadem
1494/2/003/O      Objection	E Khadem
1499/2/003/O      Objection	Mr P Kilroy
1501/2/003/O      Objection	Mr John W King
1503/2/003/O      Objection	Rosanna Kitching
1504/2/003/O      Objection	Ms J M Knott
1507/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Maria Kostecky
1744/2/003/O      Objection	Frances Kostecky
1745/2/003/O      Objection	Dr M B Kostecky
1511/2/003/O      Objection	Louise Kweal
1472/2/003/O      Objection	Kelly L.A
1512/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mathew Ladley
1167/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs. Lynda Laffin
1513/2/003/O      Objection	Anita Lamb
1514/2/003/O      Objection	H Lamb
1515/2/003/O      Objection	W & M Lamb
1168/2/003/O      Objection	Martin Lancaster
1516/2/003/O      Objection	H J Latimer
1518/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Mark Leaver
0160/2/003/O      Objection	Brian Lee
1519/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Joseph Leedham
1520/2/003/O      Objection	Ms R H Leeper
1171/2/003/O      Objection	Jack Lees
1521/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Clinton Leggett
1523/2/003/O      Objection	Sandra Letham
1522/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Ian Letham
1525/2/003/O      Objection	R Lilley
0161/2/003/O      Objection	Anita Lilley
1524/2/003/O      Objection	P Lilley
1526/2/003/O      Objection	S Lilley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1527/2/003/O      Objection	Dorothy Lindley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1528/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J Littlewood
1181/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J Lomas
1529/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Derek Lomax
1184/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L.S Lowe
1530/2/003/O      Objection	Ms K Lowe
1533/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs P Lowe
1532/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Maurice Lumb
1534/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Howard Lunn
1531/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Tim Lynch
1535/2/003/O      Objection	Joan Macdonald
1536/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Makin
1185/2/003/O      Objection	Herbert Mallalieu
1537/2/003/O      Objection	Sally Marland
1541/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J Marsden
1538/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Ivy Martindale
1540/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Mather
0714/2/003/O      Objection	Karen Mather
1543/2/003/O      Objection	Suzanne Mather
1544/2/003/O      Objection	Sandra Mavro-Michaels
1186/2/003/O      Objection	V Mayall
1545/2/003/O      Objection	Helen Mayall
1546/2/003/O      Objection	K A Mayer
1547/2/003/O      Objection	J F Mc cann
1548/2/003/O      Objection	Emily Mc clean
1549/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Mc clelland
1550/2/003/O      Objection	Lauren Mc loughlin
1551/2/003/O      Objection	Sue McDermott
1188/2/003/O      Objection	Sharon McGladdery
1552/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Paul McGladdery
1553/2/003/O      Objection	Lilian McKown
1554/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Michael McKown
1557/2/003/O      Objection	Malcolm McLintock
1555/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alec McLintock

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1556/2/003/O      Objection	Iris McLintock
This objection was also made by the following people	
1559/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Louise McMahan
1191/2/003/O      Objection	Gavin McRae
1560/2/003/O      Objection	E J McRonald
1194/2/003/O      Objection	David Mellor
1561/2/003/O      Objection	G &S Metcalf & Fletcher
1562/2/003/O      Objection	Jacqueline Miles
1563/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Peter Miles
1566/2/003/O      Objection	J S Mills
1565/2/003/O      Objection	Beverley Mills
1564/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Mills
1567/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Daniel Mistry
1403/2/003/O      Objection	Mr N.P Mitchell
1568/2/003/O      Objection	Asic Mohammed
1573/2/003/O      Objection	Carol Moir
1198/2/003/O      Objection	Michael Mooney
1569/2/003/O      Objection	J & E Mooney
1572/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew J Moores
1574/2/003/O      Objection	D.R Moores
1575/2/003/O      Objection	C. Moorhouse
1941/2/003/O      Objection	John R C Moss
1204/2/003/O      Objection	Florence Mulholland
1579/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs E Mullan
1580/2/003/O      Objection	Stuart Mullane
1200/2/003/O      Objection	M Murphy
1581/2/003/O      Objection	William Murray
1582/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Muskett
1583/2/003/O      Objection	John Mustoe
1584/2/003/O      Objection	Georgina Myott
1585/2/003/O      Objection	M & B Naden
1587/2/003/O      Objection	Mr G Nelson
1586/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Bessie Nelson
1206/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Newman
1588/2/003/O      Objection	Sandra Lee Newman

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1207/2/003/O      Objection	Jane Newton
This objection was also made by the following people	
1590/2/003/O      Objection	Eppie Nicholls
1589/2/003/O      Objection	Harold Nield
1290/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Nolan
1208/2/003/O      Objection	Siobain O'Brien
1591/2/003/O      Objection	William O'Brien
1592/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P O'Dwyer
1593/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Lynne Osbourne
1211/2/003/O      Objection	Barbara Padgett
1594/2/003/O      Objection	Dr A.L Parham
1210/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Parkin
1595/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Richard Parslow
1209/2/003/O      Objection	S Paulley
1596/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Paxton
1597/2/003/O      Objection	Joyce. I Pearson
1598/2/003/O      Objection	J.M. Peel
1599/2/003/O      Objection	Daniel Pennington
1601/2/003/O      Objection	Ian B Perry
1602/2/003/O      Objection	J.R Perry
1600/2/003/O      Objection	Imogen Perry
1603/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs I Pickering
1604/2/003/O      Objection	Alan Pickup
1605/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs E Pickup
1606/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs A Plackett
1607/2/003/O      Objection	Miss M B Platt
1608/2/003/O      Objection	Miss T.M Platt
1609/2/003/O      Objection	Delia Plunkett
1610/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Poole
1611/2/003/O      Objection	M.T Porisse
1612/2/003/O      Objection	J Potts
1615/2/003/O      Objection	D.J Potts
1613/2/003/O      Objection	Mr B.E Prescott
1614/2/003/O      Objection	P.J Preston
1618/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs V Priestley



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1617/2/003/O      Objection	Mr T Priestley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1619/2/003/O      Objection	Keith Prior
1620/2/003/O      Objection	Neil Pulman
1621/2/003/O      Objection	Sally Pulman
1212/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L Quinlan
1213/2/003/O      Objection	Elias Rahman
1216/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alaur Rahman
1622/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alan Ramsbottom
1219/2/003/O      Objection	M.W Reid
1624/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Richbell
1627/2/003/O      Objection	D Ridgeway
1625/2/003/O      Objection	Mr R Ridout
1220/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P Riedlsperger
1626/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Chris Rigby
1223/2/003/O      Objection	K Riley
0543/2/020/O      Objection	Denshaw Community Association
1224/2/003/O      Objection	Kathryn M Roberts
1629/2/003/O      Objection	Karen Roberts
1631/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Richard Roberts
1628/2/003/O      Objection	Hannah Roberts
1632/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Leslie Roberts
1225/2/003/O      Objection	Natalie Robinson
1630/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Carl Robinson
1633/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Darrin Robinson
1634/2/003/O      Objection	G Robinson
1635/2/003/O      Objection	Mr James Robinson
1636/2/003/O      Objection	Lindsey Robinson
1637/2/003/O      Objection	P Robinson
1638/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Roche
1226/2/003/O      Objection	Adam Rogers
1228/2/003/O      Objection	Steve Roman
1230/2/003/O      Objection	Peter Rothery
1232/2/003/O      Objection	Tom Rothery
1234/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Rothwell

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0243/2/011/O      Objection	Alan Roughley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1639/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs V Rudd
1236/2/003/O      Objection	Amy Runacre
1398/2/003/O      Objection	Emma Salloway
1640/2/003/O      Objection	Kim Salmon
1641/2/003/O      Objection	Pauline Salmon
1377/2/003/O      Objection	Jon Saxon
1642/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Stephen Scarsbrook
1379/2/003/O      Objection	Lesley Schofield
1643/2/003/O      Objection	M Schofield
1378/2/003/O      Objection	Paul Scholes
1644/2/003/O      Objection	Christine Scholes
1645/2/003/O      Objection	C E Scholes
1646/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Scholes
1647/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Simon Searles
0162/2/004/O      Objection	Mr S.V. Sedgwick
1399/2/003/O      Objection	Melissa Selkon
1648/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Sellers
1649/2/003/O      Objection	Nicola Semple
1650/2/003/O      Objection	Derek Seville
1652/2/003/O      Objection	Robert Sharp
1651/2/003/O      Objection	Patricia Sharp
1380/2/003/O      Objection	David Shaw
1654/2/003/O      Objection	Dorothy Shaw
1655/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Shaw
1657/2/003/O      Objection	Felicity Shaw
1658/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L A Shaw
1659/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Raymond Shaw
1937/2/003/O      Objection	Barbara C Sheard
1660/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P Shepley
1661/2/003/O      Objection	Phillida Shipp
1381/2/003/O      Objection	Graham Sinclair
1662/2/003/O      Objection	Jean Sinclair
1664/2/003/O      Objection	Becky Smith

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1663/2/003/O      Objection	Ms J L Smith
This objection was also made by the following people	
1665/2/003/O      Objection	Harry Smith
1382/2/003/O      Objection	Robert Southwick
1667/2/003/O      Objection	Marilyn Sterndale
1668/2/003/O      Objection	Maurice Sterndale
1669/2/003/O      Objection	Katherine Stevenson
1672/2/003/O      Objection	Sheila Stott
1670/2/003/O      Objection	Mr P Stott
1671/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Peter Stott
1680/2/003/O      Objection	Mr John Strange
1681/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Ronnan Strzala
1673/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Stubbs
1383/2/003/O      Objection	S Summerscales
1676/2/003/O      Objection	Olivia Sunderland
1674/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Sunderland
1675/2/003/O      Objection	Mr C Sunderland
1679/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Roy Sutcliffe
1677/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Ian Sutcliffe
1678/2/003/O      Objection	J Sutcliffe
1682/2/003/O      Objection	Leslie Sykes
1384/2/003/O      Objection	David Taylor
1385/2/003/O      Objection	Enid A Taylor
1683/2/003/O      Objection	Bernard Taylor
1684/2/003/O      Objection	Charlotte Taylor
1685/2/003/O      Objection	Helen Taylor
1686/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Alan Teague
1687/2/003/O      Objection	Elizabeth Teague
1688/2/003/O      Objection	Holly Teague
1689/2/003/O      Objection	Sally Teague
1690/2/003/O      Objection	J D Theakstone
1691/2/003/O      Objection	W H Theakstone
1692/2/003/O      Objection	A Thomas
1693/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Thomas
1694/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Gareth Thomas

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1696/2/003/O      Objection	J Thompson
This objection was also made by the following people	
1695/2/003/O      Objection	Mr David Thompson
1386/2/003/O      Objection	S Timmins
1697/2/003/O      Objection	D Travis
0658/2/005/O      Objection	Mrs G Travis
1698/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Phillip Travis
0661/2/005/O      Objection	Susan Travis
1700/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P Trueman
1701/2/003/O      Objection	R B Trueman
1702/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Turner
1703/2/003/O      Objection	Ruth Turner
0655/2/003/O      Objection	Barry Ulyatt
0654/2/003/O      Objection	Margaret Ulyatt
1704/2/003/O      Objection	Yvonne Unsworth
1705/2/003/O      Objection	Catherine Varnom
1387/2/003/O      Objection	Claire Walker
1388/2/003/O      Objection	Ted Walker
1706/2/003/O      Objection	E R Walker
1708/2/003/O      Objection	J S Walsh
1707/2/003/O      Objection	J Walsh
1709/2/003/O      Objection	B R Walton
1710/2/003/O      Objection	Pauline Walton
1389/2/003/O      Objection	Matthew Warburton
1711/2/003/O      Objection	Alistair Ward
1712/2/003/O      Objection	Nicholas Ward
1713/2/003/O      Objection	W Watson
1390/2/003/O      Objection	J.L Watt
1942/2/003/O      Objection	Janet Watts
1391/2/003/O      Objection	Eric W Watts
1715/2/003/O      Objection	Alistair Watts
1716/2/003/O      Objection	Norman Webb
1717/2/003/O      Objection	Joyce Webb
1719/2/003/O      Objection	Henry Webster
1718/2/003/O      Objection	Angela Webster

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0639/2/005/O      Objection	Peter Webster
This objection was also made by the following people	
1720/2/003/O      Objection	K Weigh
1721/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Weigh
1722/2/003/O      Objection	F.K & H.R Wellens
1723/2/003/O      Objection	Amy Wells
1725/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs L C Whitaker
1724/2/003/O      Objection	A & J Whitmore
1726/2/003/O      Objection	Harrison Whittaker
1727/2/003/O      Objection	Patricia Whittaker
1392/2/003/O      Objection	Christine Widdall
1728/2/003/O      Objection	John A Widdall
1729/2/003/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Wigley
1393/2/003/O      Objection	Kathryn Wilcox
0677/2/005/O      Objection	Mr Eric Wild
1394/2/003/O      Objection	Sandra Wilkinson
1395/2/003/O      Objection	Edith Wilson
1731/2/003/O      Objection	Kate Wilson
1730/2/003/O      Objection	Gemma Wilson
1732/2/003/O      Objection	Katy Wilson
1733/2/003/O      Objection	Robert A Wilson
1734/2/003/O      Objection	R & K Winterbottom
1735/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs P A Winterburn
1396/2/003/O      Objection	Doris Wood
1736/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Dennis Wood
1738/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Jack Wood
1397/2/003/O      Objection	Phaedra Woods
1739/2/003/O      Objection	M C Woolley
1740/2/003/O      Objection	Andrew Wooton
0668/2/005/O      Objection	Bernard Wright
1742/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs Linda Wright
1743/2/003/O      Objection	Mr R I Wright
1741/2/003/O      Objection	Alastair Wright

**Map change 11/20**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1616/2/004/S	The National Trust
Support	
Supports inclusion on Proposals Map of the boundaries of the National and International Nature Conservation Areas within the Borough. Important designations that should be made readily apparent to users of the UDP.	
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.3 11.94</b>	
0038/2/035/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/005/O)	
Conditionally withdraws given proposed changes to Proposals Map and changes to para. 11.94.	
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.4</b>	
0038/2/040/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/026/O)	
Conditionally withdraws	
<hr/>	
0149/2/025/S	English Nature
Support (FD Ref: 0149/1/020/O)	
The requirement for surveys and mitigation to be carried out on site prior to granting planning permission has been addressed specifically by cross referencing to Policy D4 and OE2.3 in para 11.107 of Policy OE2.4.	
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Conservation of the Historic Environment</b>	
<b>C1.1</b>	
0828/2/029/O	Saddleworth Civic Trust
Objection	
Objects to the changes to the wording of policy C1.1, because the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to or in view of it.	
<hr/>	
1900/2/001/O	Ramblers' Association
Objection	
Re-insert the words "views in or out of". The setting of a conservation area would be seriously comprised by the presence of wind turbines.	
<hr/>	
0112/2/024/O	Mr G Bayley
Objection	
Joint Case	
The deletion of "including views in or out" from the policy reduces the protection of the environment of conservation areas. The wording should be reinstated.	
<hr/>	
1623/2/004/O	Ransome
Objection	
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.	
This objection was also made by the following people	
0943/2/004/O	Mr P Ackroyd
0909/2/004/O	A.R. Ackroyd
0910/2/004/O	Susan Ackroyd
0941/2/004/O	Mrs Heidi Ackroyd
0911/2/004/O	Mr D Alcock
0916/2/004/O	S. E. Alexander
0922/2/004/O	Jean Alford
0918/2/004/O	Phillip Alford
1021/2/004/O	Mr Ali
0923/2/004/O	Dr & Mrs G Allen
0927/2/004/O	Mr A.H Armson
0925/2/004/O	John Arthurs
0924/2/004/O	Stephen M Ashurst
0935/2/004/O	Michael B Ashworth
0936/2/004/O	Debra Aspinall
0937/2/004/O	James M Auld
0940/2/004/O	G & H.J Austin
0939/2/004/O	Emma Bagshaw

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0938/2/004/O      Objection	Anthony J Baker
This objection was also made by the following people	
0942/2/004/O      Objection	Scott Baker
0944/2/004/O      Objection	H & J Ball
0945/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Barber
0946/2/004/O      Objection	J & P Barnes
0947/2/004/O      Objection	J.H Barnes
0948/2/004/O      Objection	C Barnes
0949/2/004/O      Objection	A & C Barrass
0950/2/004/O      Objection	Neil Barrow
0951/2/004/O      Objection	Heather Bates
1023/2/004/O      Objection	B A Beddows
0952/2/004/O      Objection	Rebecca Beesley
1025/2/004/O      Objection	Shahida Begum
1027/2/004/O      Objection	Colin Bell
0954/2/004/O      Objection	Aaron Bennett
1028/2/004/O      Objection	J Bergin
0959/2/004/O      Objection	Helen Berry
0962/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Bescoby
0971/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs A.H. Billing
0965/2/004/O      Objection	Sheila P. Billingsley
0970/2/004/O      Objection	Catherine Bingley
0967/2/004/O      Objection	Ms A. Bintliff
0972/2/004/O      Objection	T. Blackshaw
0973/2/004/O      Objection	P. Blackshaw
0975/2/004/O      Objection	N. Bocking
0980/2/004/O      Objection	Sheila Bocking
0992/2/004/O      Objection	D Booth
0993/2/004/O      Objection	Ken Booth
0994/2/004/O      Objection	J & J Bottomley
0996/2/004/O      Objection	Carol A Bottrill
0997/2/004/O      Objection	Miss Jill Boughey
0998/2/004/O      Objection	A. Bowater
0999/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Bowden
1045/2/004/O      Objection	H.J. Bowes



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0157/2/004/O Joint Case	Mr&Mrs M. Bowker
This objection was also made by the following people	
1030/2/004/O	G Bowler
1032/2/004/O	Thomas R Boyle
1031/2/004/O	Mrs K Boyle
1000/2/004/O	Andrew Bradbury
1001/2/004/O	B & J Bradley
1002/2/004/O	Paul Braithwaite
1033/2/004/O	Vicky Bramley
1003/2/004/O	Doug Branson
1004/2/004/O	C.E. Brayzier
0887/2/004/O	Leon Brett
1005/2/004/O	P. Brisk
1938/2/004/O	Hugh Broadbent
1006/2/004/O	Mike Brogden
1034/2/004/O	John Brooks
1035/2/004/O	Kathy Brooks
1009/2/004/O	E.L. Brooks
1007/2/004/O	Alan Brooks
1008/2/004/O	Karen Brooks
1036/2/004/O	E Brophy
1012/2/004/O	Ms A.M. Brown
1010/2/004/O	Justin Brown
1011/2/004/O	Mr & Mrs Brown
0828/2/033/O	Saddleworth Civic Trust
1014/2/004/O	Mrs Anne Buckley
1015/2/004/O	R.H. Buckley
1016/2/004/O	Mr Mike Buckley
1037/2/004/O	Sam Buckley
1746/2/004/O	H Buckley
1017/2/004/O	L. Bulmer
1018/2/004/O	Mark Burgin
1019/2/004/O	Mrs Irene Burke
1020/2/004/O	Helen Burrill

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1022/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs K Butterworth
This objection was also made by the following people	
1013/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L.M. Byram
1029/2/004/O      Objection	Mr S Byrne
1038/2/004/O      Objection	Sharon Byrne
1024/2/004/O      Objection	G Byrom
1026/2/004/O      Objection	Alice Byrom
1048/2/004/O      Objection	D. Cameron
0748/2/004/O      Objection	Martin Capper
1240/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Janet Capper
1039/2/004/O      Objection	Eirian Carruthers
1241/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Mark Cassin
1246/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs B Chapman
1248/2/004/O      Objection	Mr H Charles
0527/2/004/O      Objection	Andrew Clark
0636/2/004/O      Objection	Jennifer Clark
1252/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Eric Clark
1257/2/004/O      Objection	C Clarke
1260/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Ian Clarke
1042/2/004/O      Objection	Shirley Clayton
1261/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Clegg
1041/2/004/O      Objection	J Clemison
0659/2/004/O      Objection	P.A. Coates
1267/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Coates/Kerr
1043/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Collinge
1044/2/004/O      Objection	Lisa Collins
1046/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs K Collins
1268/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Frank Collins
1270/2/004/O      Objection	Julie Connell
1269/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Jayne Connor
1047/2/004/O      Objection	Albert G Cook
1049/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs E Cooke
1050/2/004/O      Objection	Andrew Cooney
1272/2/004/O      Objection	Joanna Coop
1271/2/004/O      Objection	J Cornel

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1075/2/001/O      Objection	Susan Cotter
This objection was also made by the following people	
1277/2/004/O      Objection	Nicholas Cox
1278/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs A.B. Cox
1276/2/004/O      Objection	Florence Coyne
1274/2/004/O      Objection	Charlotte Craig
1076/2/004/O      Objection	Betty Crossley
1275/2/004/O      Objection	J.M Crossley
1949/2/004/O      Objection	Ms Debbie Cummaford
1281/2/004/O      Objection	H.J D'Adamo
1282/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Daniels
1283/2/004/O      Objection	Scott Dargan
1284/2/004/O      Objection	Elizabeth Dargen
1285/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Andrew Davies
0660/2/004/O      Objection	Joan Dean
1286/2/004/O      Objection	Sue Devenney
1287/2/004/O      Objection	Daniel Dixon
1077/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Joanne Dobbs
1288/2/004/O      Objection	Lucy Donkersley
1289/2/004/O      Objection	Mr M Donough
1291/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Downey
1292/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Brian Doyle
1293/2/004/O      Objection	Carol Drury
1294/2/004/O      Objection	Sue Duty
1295/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Peter Dyer
1296/2/004/O      Objection	Maris Dyer
1297/2/004/O      Objection	Ashley Dyer
1298/2/004/O      Objection	Fiona Dyson
1300/2/004/O      Objection	S Early
1299/2/004/O      Objection	J Early
1308/2/004/O      Objection	E.M Easterby
1301/2/004/O      Objection	Megan Eastwood
0656/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs E Eddison
1079/2/004/O      Objection	Charles Edwards
1078/2/004/O      Objection	S Edwards

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1951/2/004/O      Objection	S. Edwards
This objection was also made by the following people	
1303/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Elliage
1305/2/004/O      Objection	Elizabeth Elwell
1306/2/004/O      Objection	Ms Ros Evans
1307/2/004/O      Objection	Emma Ewart
1309/2/004/O      Objection	Dawn Fairclough
1080/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J Fallows
1310/2/004/O      Objection	Sharon Fanning
1311/2/004/O      Objection	Katie Farrand
1312/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs E Farrar
1313/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Richard Filak
1314/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Firth/Thomas
1316/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Fish
1317/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Fish
1318/2/004/O      Objection	Judith Fitton
1319/2/004/O      Objection	Sean Fitzpatrick
1320/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Jake Fletcher
1321/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ford
1081/2/004/O      Objection	Mike Fox
1323/2/004/O      Objection	Mr D Frankland
1322/2/004/O      Objection	B.G Franklin
1325/2/004/O      Objection	Jenny Frost
1330/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Fryer
1333/2/004/O      Objection	Janet Gardner
1332/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Tony Gardner
1335/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Gartside
1341/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L Gartside
1346/2/004/O      Objection	K Gartside
1082/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs K Gay
1083/2/004/O      Objection	Carol Gibson
1350/2/004/O      Objection	B Gibson
1085/2/004/O      Objection	David Gilchrist
1353/2/004/O      Objection	Stuart Gill
1355/2/004/O      Objection	Susan Gilligan

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1356/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Robert Gilligan
This objection was also made by the following people	
1354/2/004/O      Objection	Mr John E Gilroy
1357/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Godwin
1358/2/004/O      Objection	A Gorst
1087/2/004/O      Objection	Andrew Greaves
1359/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Gilbert Green
1936/2/004/O      Objection	R and B Greenwood
1093/2/004/O      Objection	P.M Greenwood
1094/2/004/O      Objection	Rachel Grimshaw
1095/2/004/O      Objection	Amanda Hadfield
1096/2/004/O      Objection	Dennis Hadfield
1097/2/004/O      Objection	I Hague
1098/2/004/O      Objection	Graham Halkyard
1365/2/004/O      Objection	Stephen T Hall
1362/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Hall
1363/2/004/O      Objection	Mary Hall
1364/2/004/O      Objection	Norma Hall
1361/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Hall & Masterson
1367/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P.A Halliey
0734/2/004/O      Objection	N J Halliley
1368/2/004/O      Objection	P Halliwell
1099/2/004/O      Objection	R.C. Hambleton
1369/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Hanson
1370/2/004/O      Objection	E. Hardie
1371/2/004/O      Objection	Mr I Hargreaves
1100/2/004/O      Objection	J & M Harratt
1372/2/004/O      Objection	D.J.W Harrison
1373/2/004/O      Objection	M.J Harrison
1374/2/004/O      Objection	Pat Harrison
0787/2/005/O      Objection	Mr K. Harrop
0786/2/005/O      Objection	Mrs J. Harrop
1405/2/004/O      Objection	W.B Hartley
1411/2/004/O      Objection	Doreen Hartley
1412/2/004/O      Objection	Pauline Hatzebruch

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1101/2/004/O      Objection	Rebecca Haworth
This objection was also made by the following people	
1414/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Hayes
1416/2/004/O      Objection	Alan Headdock
1418/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Heaps
1419/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Henthorn
1420/2/004/O      Objection	Lynne Hey
1102/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Lesley Heyes
1421/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs S Heywood
1422/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Hicking
1103/2/004/O      Objection	David Hickling
1424/2/004/O      Objection	Elizabeth Hicks
1429/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Michael Higgins
1104/2/004/O      Objection	Mr C Hill
1109/2/004/O      Objection	Michael Hill
1431/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hill
1430/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Graham Hill
1432/2/004/O      Objection	Mr John Hindle
0690/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J.L. Hindle
1434/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J M Hobbs
0793/2/004/O      Objection	Ms E. Holmes
1436/2/004/O      Objection	Moirra Hope
1437/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Roger P Hope
1435/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Mike Hope
1438/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Mark Hopkins
1441/2/004/O      Objection	Ms B K Hopkinson
1446/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Edwin R Hopkinson
1448/2/004/O      Objection	N.C Hopwood
1449/2/004/O      Objection	Pamela Houghton
1450/2/004/O      Objection	Barbara Howard
1451/2/004/O      Objection	D J Howard
1452/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Nick Howard
1453/2/004/O      Objection	Catherine Howard-Smith
1454/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Jane Howarth
1455/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Peter Howell

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1456/2/004/O      Objection	Jenny Hudson
This objection was also made by the following people	
1457/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Richard Hudson
1113/2/004/O      Objection	Nicola Hughes
1777/2/007/O      Objection	Delph Community Association
1121/2/004/O      Objection	Kenneth Hulme
1115/2/004/O      Objection	Julie Hulme
1433/2/004/O      Objection	D J Hurst
1458/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hurst
1459/2/004/O      Objection	J Hurst
1460/2/004/O      Objection	Mr M.G Hurst
1124/2/004/O      Objection	L Hussain
1461/2/004/O      Objection	Miss S.D Hutchinson
1935/2/004/O      Objection	Robert Hykington
1462/2/004/O      Objection	V Hyncica
1463/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ingrame
1128/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Moinul Islam
1465/2/004/O      Objection	P & B Jackson
1466/2/004/O      Objection	Barbara Jackson
1129/2/004/O      Objection	Elizabeth Jacques
1467/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs S Johnson
1571/2/004/O      Objection	Luke Jones
1468/2/004/O      Objection	Stefanie Jones
1470/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Jowle
1164/2/004/O      Objection	Kershaw Julie
1163/2/004/O      Objection	Mr M.A Kadir
1471/2/004/O      Objection	Hadi Kazemi
1473/2/004/O      Objection	Mr J Kennedy
1476/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs S Kennedy
1478/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Kenny
1479/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Kenny
1481/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Paul Kenyon
1491/2/004/O      Objection	Mr A J Kershaw
1488/2/004/O      Objection	Sylvia Kershaw
1484/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs A Kershaw

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1165/2/004/O      Objection	Masood Khadem
This objection was also made by the following people	
1166/2/004/O      Objection	Victor Khadem
1496/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Abraham Khadem
1494/2/004/O      Objection	E Khadem
1499/2/004/O      Objection	Mr P Kilroy
1501/2/004/O      Objection	Mr John W King
1503/2/004/O      Objection	Rosanna Kitching
1504/2/004/O      Objection	Ms J M Knott
1507/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Maria Kostecky
1744/2/004/O      Objection	Frances Kostecky
1745/2/004/O      Objection	Dr M B Kostecky
1511/2/004/O      Objection	Louise Kweal
1472/2/004/O      Objection	Kelly L.A
1512/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Mathew Ladley
1167/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs. Lynda Laffin
1513/2/004/O      Objection	Anita Lamb
1514/2/004/O      Objection	H Lamb
1515/2/004/O      Objection	W & M Lamb
1168/2/004/O      Objection	Martin Lancaster
1516/2/004/O      Objection	H J Latimer
1518/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Mark Leaver
0160/2/004/O      Objection	Brian Lee
1519/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Joseph Leedham
1520/2/004/O      Objection	Ms R H Leeper
1171/2/004/O      Objection	Jack Lees
1521/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Clinton Leggett
1523/2/004/O      Objection	Sandra Letham
1522/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Ian Letham
0161/2/004/O      Objection	Anita Lilley
1524/2/004/O      Objection	P Lilley
1525/2/004/O      Objection	R Lilley
1526/2/004/O      Objection	S Lilley
1527/2/004/O      Objection	Dorothy Lindley
1528/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J Littlewood



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1181/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J Lomas
This objection was also made by the following people	
1529/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Derek Lomax
1184/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L.S Lowe
1530/2/004/O      Objection	Ms K Lowe
1533/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs P Lowe
1532/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Maurice Lumb
1534/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Howard Lunn
1531/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Tim Lynch
1535/2/004/O      Objection	Joan Macdonald
1536/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Makin
1185/2/004/O      Objection	Herbert Mallalieu
1537/2/004/O      Objection	Sally Marland
1541/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs J Marsden
1538/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Ivy Martindale
1540/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Mather
0714/2/004/O      Objection	Karen Mather
1543/2/004/O      Objection	Suzanne Mather
1544/2/004/O      Objection	Sandra Mavro-Michaels
1186/2/004/O      Objection	V Mayall
1545/2/004/O      Objection	Helen Mayall
1546/2/004/O      Objection	K A Mayer
1547/2/004/O      Objection	J F Mc cann
1548/2/004/O      Objection	Emily Mc clean
1549/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Mc clelland
1550/2/004/O      Objection	Lauren Mc loughlin
1551/2/004/O      Objection	Sue McDermott
1188/2/004/O      Objection	Sharon McGladdery
1552/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Paul McGladdery
1554/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Michael McKown
1553/2/004/O      Objection	Lilian McKown
1557/2/004/O      Objection	Malcolm McLintock
1555/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alec McLintock
1556/2/004/O      Objection	Iris McLintock
1559/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Louise McMahan

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1191/2/004/O      Objection	Gavin McRae
This objection was also made by the following people	
1560/2/004/O      Objection	E J McRonald
1194/2/004/O      Objection	David Mellor
1561/2/004/O      Objection	G &S Metcalf & Fletcher
1562/2/004/O      Objection	Jacqueline Miles
1563/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Peter Miles
1566/2/004/O      Objection	J S Mills
1565/2/004/O      Objection	Beverley Mills
1564/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Mills
1567/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Daniel Mistry
1403/2/004/O      Objection	Mr N.P Mitchell
1568/2/004/O      Objection	Asic Mohammed
1573/2/004/O      Objection	Carol Moir
1198/2/004/O      Objection	Michael Mooney
1569/2/004/O      Objection	J & E Mooney
1572/2/004/O      Objection	Andrew J Moores
1574/2/004/O      Objection	D.R Moores
1575/2/004/O      Objection	C. Moorhouse
1941/2/004/O      Objection	John R C Moss
1204/2/004/O      Objection	Florence Mulholland
1579/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs E Mullan
1580/2/004/O      Objection	Stuart Mullane
1200/2/004/O      Objection	M Murphy
1581/2/004/O      Objection	William Murray
1582/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Muskett
1583/2/004/O      Objection	John Mustoe
1584/2/004/O      Objection	Georgina Myott
1585/2/004/O      Objection	M & B Naden
1586/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Bessie Nelson
1587/2/004/O      Objection	Mr G Nelson
1206/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Newman
1588/2/004/O      Objection	Sandra Lee Newman
1207/2/004/O      Objection	Jane Newton
1590/2/004/O      Objection	Eppie Nicholls

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1589/2/004/O      Objection	Harold Nield
This objection was also made by the following people	
1290/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Nolan
1208/2/004/O      Objection	Siobain O'Brien
1591/2/004/O      Objection	William O'Brien
1592/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P O'Dwyer
1593/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Lynne Osbourne
1211/2/004/O      Objection	Barbara Padgett
1594/2/004/O      Objection	Dr A.L Parham
1210/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Parkin
1595/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Richard Parslow
1209/2/004/O      Objection	S Paulley
1596/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Paxton
1597/2/004/O      Objection	Joyce. I Pearson
1598/2/004/O      Objection	J.M. Peel
1599/2/004/O      Objection	Daniel Pennington
1600/2/004/O      Objection	Imogen Perry
1601/2/004/O      Objection	Ian B Perry
1602/2/004/O      Objection	J.R Perry
1603/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs I Pickering
1604/2/004/O      Objection	Alan Pickup
1605/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs E Pickup
1606/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs A Plackett
1607/2/004/O      Objection	Miss M B Platt
1608/2/004/O      Objection	Miss T.M Platt
1609/2/004/O      Objection	Delia Plunkett
1610/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Poole
1611/2/004/O      Objection	M.T Porisse
1612/2/004/O      Objection	J Potts
1615/2/004/O      Objection	D.J Potts
1613/2/004/O      Objection	Mr B.E Prescott
1614/2/004/O      Objection	P.J Preston
1618/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs V Priestley
1617/2/004/O      Objection	Mr T Priestley
1619/2/004/O      Objection	Keith Prior

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1620/2/004/O      Objection	Neil Pulman
This objection was also made by the following people	
1621/2/004/O      Objection	Sally Pulman
1212/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L Quinlan
1213/2/004/O      Objection	Elias Rahman
1216/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alaur Rahman
1622/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alan Ramsbottom
1219/2/004/O      Objection	M.W Reid
1624/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Richbell
1627/2/004/O      Objection	D Ridgeway
1625/2/004/O      Objection	Mr R Ridout
1220/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P Riedlsperger
1626/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Chris Rigby
1223/2/004/O      Objection	K Riley
0543/2/021/O      Objection	Denshaw Community Association
1224/2/004/O      Objection	Kathryn M Roberts
1628/2/004/O      Objection	Hannah Roberts
1629/2/004/O      Objection	Karen Roberts
1631/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Richard Roberts
1632/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Leslie Roberts
1225/2/004/O      Objection	Natalie Robinson
1630/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Carl Robinson
1635/2/004/O      Objection	Mr James Robinson
1633/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Darrin Robinson
1634/2/004/O      Objection	G Robinson
1636/2/004/O      Objection	Lindsey Robinson
1637/2/004/O      Objection	P Robinson
1638/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Roche
1226/2/004/O      Objection	Adam Rogers
1228/2/004/O      Objection	Steve Roman
1230/2/004/O      Objection	Peter Rothery
1232/2/004/O      Objection	Tom Rothery
1234/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Rothwell
0243/2/012/O      Objection	Alan Roughley
1639/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs V Rudd

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1236/2/004/O      Objection	Amy Runacre
This objection was also made by the following people	
1398/2/004/O      Objection	Emma Salloway
1640/2/004/O      Objection	Kim Salmon
1641/2/004/O      Objection	Pauline Salmon
1377/2/004/O      Objection	Jon Saxon
1642/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Stephen Scarsbrook
1379/2/004/O      Objection	Lesley Schofield
1643/2/004/O      Objection	M Schofield
1378/2/004/O      Objection	Paul Scholes
1644/2/004/O      Objection	Christine Scholes
1645/2/004/O      Objection	C E Scholes
1646/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Scholes
1647/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Simon Searles
0162/2/005/O      Objection	Mr S.V. Sedgwick
1399/2/004/O      Objection	Melissa Selkon
1648/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Sellers
1649/2/004/O      Objection	Nicola Semple
1650/2/004/O      Objection	Derek Seville
1652/2/004/O      Objection	Robert Sharp
1651/2/004/O      Objection	Patricia Sharp
1380/2/004/O      Objection	David Shaw
1657/2/004/O      Objection	Felicity Shaw
1654/2/004/O      Objection	Dorothy Shaw
1655/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Shaw
1658/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L A Shaw
1659/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Raymond Shaw
1937/2/004/O      Objection	Barbara C Sheard
1660/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P Shepley
1661/2/004/O      Objection	Phillida Shipp
1381/2/004/O      Objection	Graham Sinclair
1662/2/004/O      Objection	Jean Sinclair
1663/2/004/O      Objection	Ms J L Smith
1664/2/004/O      Objection	Becky Smith
1665/2/004/O      Objection	Harry Smith

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1382/2/004/O      Objection	Robert Southwick
This objection was also made by the following people	
1668/2/004/O      Objection	Maurice Sterndale
1667/2/004/O      Objection	Marilyn Sterndale
1669/2/004/O      Objection	Katherine Stevenson
1670/2/004/O      Objection	Mr P Stott
1671/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Peter Stott
1672/2/004/O      Objection	Sheila Stott
1680/2/004/O      Objection	Mr John Strange
1681/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Ronnan Strzala
1673/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Stubbs
1383/2/004/O      Objection	S Summerscales
1676/2/004/O      Objection	Olivia Sunderland
1675/2/004/O      Objection	Mr C Sunderland
1674/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Sunderland
1677/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Ian Sutcliffe
1678/2/004/O      Objection	J Sutcliffe
1679/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Roy Sutcliffe
1682/2/004/O      Objection	Leslie Sykes
1384/2/004/O      Objection	David Taylor
1385/2/004/O      Objection	Enid A Taylor
1683/2/004/O      Objection	Bernard Taylor
1684/2/004/O      Objection	Charlotte Taylor
1685/2/004/O      Objection	Helen Taylor
1686/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Alan Teague
1687/2/004/O      Objection	Elizabeth Teague
1688/2/004/O      Objection	Holly Teague
1689/2/004/O      Objection	Sally Teague
1690/2/004/O      Objection	J D Theakstone
1691/2/004/O      Objection	W H Theakstone
1693/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Thomas
1694/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Gareth Thomas
1692/2/004/O      Objection	A Thomas
1696/2/004/O      Objection	J Thompson
1695/2/004/O      Objection	Mr David Thompson

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1386/2/004/O      Objection	S Timmins
This objection was also made by the following people	
1697/2/004/O      Objection	D Travis
0658/2/006/O      Objection	Mrs G Travis
0661/2/006/O      Objection	Susan Travis
1698/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Phillip Travis
1700/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P Trueman
1701/2/004/O      Objection	R B Trueman
1703/2/004/O      Objection	Ruth Turner
1702/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Turner
0655/2/004/O      Objection	Barry Ulyatt
0654/2/004/O      Objection	Margaret Ulyatt
1704/2/004/O      Objection	Yvonne Unsworth
1705/2/004/O      Objection	Catherine Varnom
1387/2/004/O      Objection	Claire Walker
1388/2/004/O      Objection	Ted Walker
1706/2/004/O      Objection	E R Walker
1708/2/004/O      Objection	J S Walsh
1707/2/004/O      Objection	J Walsh
1709/2/004/O      Objection	B R Walton
1710/2/004/O      Objection	Pauline Walton
1389/2/004/O      Objection	Matthew Warburton
1711/2/004/O      Objection	Alistair Ward
1712/2/004/O      Objection	Nicholas Ward
1713/2/004/O      Objection	W Watson
1390/2/004/O      Objection	J.L Watt
1942/2/004/O      Objection	Janet Watts
1391/2/004/O      Objection	Eric W Watts
1715/2/004/O      Objection	Alistair Watts
1716/2/004/O      Objection	Norman Webb
1717/2/004/O      Objection	Joyce Webb
1718/2/004/O      Objection	Angela Webster
1719/2/004/O      Objection	Henry Webster
0639/2/006/O      Objection	Peter Webster
1721/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Weigh

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1720/2/004/O      Objection	K Weigh
This objection was also made by the following people	
1722/2/004/O      Objection	F.K & H.R Wellens
1723/2/004/O      Objection	Amy Wells
1725/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs L C Whitaker
1724/2/004/O      Objection	A & J Whitmore
1726/2/004/O      Objection	Harrison Whittaker
1727/2/004/O      Objection	Patricia Whittaker
1392/2/004/O      Objection	Christine Widdall
1728/2/004/O      Objection	John A Widdall
1729/2/004/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Wigley
1393/2/004/O      Objection	Kathryn Wilcox
0677/2/006/O      Objection	Mr Eric Wild
1394/2/004/O      Objection	Sandra Wilkinson
1395/2/004/O      Objection	Edith Wilson
1730/2/004/O      Objection	Gemma Wilson
1731/2/004/O      Objection	Kate Wilson
1732/2/004/O      Objection	Katy Wilson
1733/2/004/O      Objection	Robert A Wilson
1734/2/004/O      Objection	R & K Winterbottom
1735/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs P A Winterburn
1396/2/004/O      Objection	Doris Wood
1736/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Dennis Wood
1738/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Jack Wood
1397/2/004/O      Objection	Phaedra Woods
1739/2/004/O      Objection	M C Woolley
1740/2/004/O      Objection	Andrew Wooton
0668/2/006/O      Objection	Bernard Wright
1741/2/004/O      Objection	Alastair Wright
1742/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs Linda Wright
1743/2/004/O      Objection	Mr R I Wright
1852/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs M McEwan
The words 'views in or out' of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.	



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
This objection was also made by the following people	
1859/2/004/O      Objection	Mr B McEwan
<hr/>	
<b>C1.10 12.49</b> 0021/2/116/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/041/O)      Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws objection to policy C1.10 and paragraph 12.49.	
<hr/>	
<b>C1.2</b> 0021/2/117/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/042/O)      Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws objection to policy C1.2.	
<hr/>	
<b>C1.3</b> 0021/2/101/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/039/O)      Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdrawn	
<hr/>	
<b>C1.7</b> 0021/2/115/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0021/1/040/O)      Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws objection to C1.7.	
<hr/>	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Natural Resources and Environment Quality</b>	
<b>NR0</b> 0665/2/014/S	Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/006/O) Conditionally withdraws. (NR2 13.42)
	The Environment Agency
0665/2/015/S	Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/007/O) Partial withdraws. Agency welcomes the inclusion of Appendix B. However, it is still considered that more summarised information is needed in the Plan for development allocations.
	The Environment Agency
<b>NR0 13.65</b> 0726/2/003/S	Support Welcomes reference to the DTI Energy White Paper and the CO2 reduction goals for 2020/2050.
	Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU) Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc
<b>NR0 13.70</b> 0105/2/009/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0105/1/007/O) Revised wording still does not quantify the contribution it is envisaged Oldham could make to the NW Regional Assembly targets. Should include list of targets for Oldham's contribution to targets for each technology.
	Dobcross Village Community
<b>NR1</b> 0665/2/013/S	Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/005/O) Conditionally withdraws - objection met by Policy NR2.1.
	The Environment Agency
0740/2/056/S	Support Support proposed changes.
	North West Regional Assembly
<b>NR1.2</b> 0021/2/104/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/044/O) Conditionally withdraws.
	Government Office for the North West
0021/2/105/O	Objection There is a need for the types of development identified in the Air Quality Action Plan to be listed in either the reasoned justification or in a separate appendix. An appendix could set out the unacceptable levels of pollutants.
	Government Office for the North West

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>NR1.6 13.35</b> 0665/2/016/O	The Environment Agency
Objection (FD Ref: 0665/1/003/O) Policy does not adequately address issue of landfill gas migration. It covers land remediation, but not specifically landfill gas migration. Delete reference to Environment Agency in para 13.35 as other bodies may also hold information on risk.	
<b>NR2</b> 0740/2/057/S	North West Regional Assembly
Support Support proposed changes.	
<b>NR2 13.39</b> 0038/2/051/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/028/O) Conditionally withdraws. Given the change to paragraph 13.31 of Policy NR2, the Unit withdraws its objection.	
<b>NR2.1</b> 0665/2/012/S	The Environment Agency
Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/004/O) Conditionally withdraws.	
1413/2/002/S	United Utilities PLC
Support Necessary to protect public health in relation to drinking water supplies	
0038/2/050/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support The Unit supports Policy NR2.1	
<b>NR2.1 13.47</b> 0038/2/052/S	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/009/O) Inclusion of paragraph 13.47 welcomed. Changes to policies NR2.1 and OE2.3 go a long way to addressing need for a specific policy relating to development adjacent Rochdale Canal, but SPG would still be helpful.	

**NR2.1 13.51**

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
1737/2/001/O	Objection Strengthen wording to require developers to contact United Utilities Plc to discuss the adequacy of the public sewerage network to accept flows generated by proposed developments and inform OMBC Planning Dept of the result.
	Mr & Mrs McNeeney
<hr/>	
<b>NR2.2</b>	
0709/2/005/O	Objection Chew Valley Flood Risk Area is based on a perceived threat; it should be based on known areas that have flooded or are at risk. The blanket approach may jeopardise redevelopment of blighted site. Policy is over cautious and reactive.
	Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Agent : J10 Planning
<hr/>	
0665/2/010/S	Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/001/O) Conditionally withdraws.
	The Environment Agency
<hr/>	
0684/2/002/O	Objection The areas at risk of flooding shown on the map are not fully comprehensive.
	T Gaunt
<hr/>	
0104/2/017/O	Objection The flood risk area around Andrew Mill, Greenfield (Map Change ref: 13/15) is relatively diagrammatic and could be misleading. The precise flood risk area should be agreed with the Environment Agency before inclusion on the final map.
	Bellway Homes
<hr/>	
<b>Spring Mill, Uppermill</b>	
1415/2/001/O	Objection Proposals map should show precise flood risk area, not diagrammatic indicative flood area, to ensure future owners are fully informed of risk. (map change ref 13/16)
Joint Case	Troll Pension Fund Agent : Chorlton Planning
<hr/>	
<b>Victoria Works, Diggle</b>	
0123/2/004/O	Objection Objects to inclusion of site on the flood risk areas map.
	Chapman Saddleworth Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning
<hr/>	
<b>NR2.3</b>	
0665/2/011/S	Support (FD Ref: 0665/1/002/O)
	The Environment Agency

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
Conditionally withdraws.	
0038/2/049/S	Support The Unit supports Policy NR2.3.
Greater Manchester Ecology Unit	
<b>NR3</b> 1938/2/005/O	Objection Objects to deletion of the phrase: "which improve energy efficiency and conservation".
Hugh Broadbent	
0243/2/013/O	Objection Objects to removal of words "which improve energy efficiency and conservation" from policy. Energy saving would allow Council to stand up to Government pressure to install wind turbines which are seen as destructive and inefficient .
Alan Roughley	
0740/2/058/S	Support Support proposed changes.
North West Regional Assembly	
0974/2/005/S	Support Supports the Council's aim to encourage renewable energy sources and its Policy NR3.
Mr Lionel Gregory	
1899/2/003/S	Support Supports the Council's aim to encourage renewable energy sources and its Policy NR3.
Racefield Management Company Ltd	
<b>NR3 13.72</b> 0726/2/004/S	Support Welcomes amendments to Policy that make a clear distinction between `energy efficiency` and `energy generation`. Welcomes amendments to paragraph 13.72 which encourages major developments to incorporate renewables.
Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU) Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc	
<b>NR3.1</b> 1852/2/001/O	Objection More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
Mrs M McEwan	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
This objection was also made by the following people	
1859/2/001/O      Objection	Mr B McEwan
1840/2/002/O      Objection More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP.	Roy Bardsley
1623/2/001/O      Objection More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.	Ransome
This objection was also made by the following people	
0943/2/001/O      Objection	Mr P Ackroyd
0909/2/001/O      Objection	A.R. Ackroyd
0910/2/001/O      Objection	Susan Ackroyd
0941/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Heidi Ackroyd
0911/2/001/O      Objection	Mr D Alcock
0916/2/001/O      Objection	S. E. Alexander
0918/2/001/O      Objection	Phillip Alford
0922/2/001/O      Objection	Jean Alford
1021/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ali
0923/2/001/O      Objection	Dr & Mrs G Allen
0927/2/001/O      Objection	Mr A.H Armson
0925/2/001/O      Objection	John Arthurs
0924/2/001/O      Objection	Stephen M Ashurst
0935/2/001/O      Objection	Michael B Ashworth
0936/2/001/O      Objection	Debra Aspinall
0937/2/001/O      Objection	James M Auld
0940/2/001/O      Objection	G & H.J Austin
0939/2/001/O      Objection	Emma Bagshaw
0938/2/001/O      Objection	Anthony J Baker
0942/2/001/O      Objection	Scott Baker
0944/2/001/O      Objection	H & J Ball
0945/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Barber
0947/2/001/O      Objection	J.H Barnes

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0948/2/001/O      Objection	C Barnes
This objection was also made by the following people	
0946/2/001/O      Objection	J & P Barnes
0949/2/001/O      Objection	A & C Barrass
0950/2/001/O      Objection	Neil Barrow
0951/2/001/O      Objection	Heather Bates
1023/2/001/O      Objection	B A Beddows
0952/2/001/O      Objection	Rebecca Beesley
1025/2/001/O      Objection	Shahida Begum
1027/2/001/O      Objection	Colin Bell
0954/2/001/O      Objection	Aaron Bennett
1028/2/001/O      Objection	J Bergin
0959/2/001/O      Objection	Helen Berry
0962/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Bescoby
0971/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A.H. Billing
0965/2/001/O      Objection	Sheila P. Billingsley
0970/2/001/O      Objection	Catherine Bingley
0967/2/001/O      Objection	Ms A. Bintliff
0972/2/001/O      Objection	T. Blackshaw
0973/2/001/O      Objection	P. Blackshaw
0980/2/001/O      Objection	Sheila Bocking
0975/2/001/O      Objection	N. Bocking
0993/2/001/O      Objection	Ken Booth
0992/2/001/O      Objection	D Booth
0994/2/001/O      Objection	J & J Bottomley
0996/2/001/O      Objection	Carol A Bottrill
0997/2/001/O      Objection	Miss Jill Boughey
0998/2/001/O      Objection	A. Bowater
0999/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Bowden
1045/2/001/O      Objection	H.J. Bowes
0157/2/001/O      Objection	Mr&Mrs M. Bowker
Joint Case	
1030/2/001/O      Objection	G Bowler
1032/2/001/O      Objection	Thomas R Boyle
1031/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs K Boyle

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1000/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Bradbury
This objection was also made by the following people	
1001/2/001/O      Objection	B & J Bradley
1002/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Braithwaite
1033/2/001/O      Objection	Vicky Bramley
1003/2/001/O      Objection	Doug Branson
1004/2/001/O      Objection	C.E. Brayzier
<hr/>	
0887/2/001/O      Objection	Leon Brett
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.	
1005/2/001/O      Objection	P. Brisk
This objection was also made by the following people	
1938/2/001/O      Objection	Hugh Broadbent
1006/2/001/O      Objection	Mike Brogden
1034/2/001/O      Objection	John Brooks
1035/2/001/O      Objection	Kathy Brooks
1007/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Brooks
1008/2/001/O      Objection	Karen Brooks
1009/2/001/O      Objection	E.L. Brooks
1036/2/001/O      Objection	E Brophy
1010/2/001/O      Objection	Justin Brown
1011/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Brown
1012/2/001/O      Objection	Ms A.M. Brown
0828/2/030/O      Objection	Saddleworth Civic Trust
1014/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Anne Buckley
1015/2/001/O      Objection	R.H. Buckley
1016/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mike Buckley
1037/2/001/O      Objection	Sam Buckley
1746/2/001/O      Objection	H Buckley
1017/2/001/O      Objection	L. Bulmer
1018/2/001/O      Objection	Mark Burgin
1019/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Irene Burke
1020/2/001/O      Objection	Helen Burrill



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1022/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs K Butterworth
This objection was also made by the following people	
1013/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L.M. Byram
1029/2/001/O      Objection	Mr S Byrne
1038/2/001/O      Objection	Sharon Byrne
1024/2/001/O      Objection	G Byrom
1026/2/001/O      Objection	Alice Byrom
1048/2/001/O      Objection	D. Cameron
1240/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Janet Capper
0748/2/001/O      Objection	Martin Capper
1039/2/001/O      Objection	Eirian Carruthers
0469/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M. Cassidy
1241/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mark Cassin
1246/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs B Chapman
1248/2/001/O      Objection	Mr H Charles
0527/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Clark
0636/2/001/O      Objection	Jennifer Clark
1252/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Eric Clark
1260/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ian Clarke
1257/2/001/O      Objection	C Clarke
1042/2/001/O      Objection	Shirley Clayton
1261/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Clegg
1041/2/001/O      Objection	J Clemison
0659/2/001/O      Objection	P.A. Coates
1267/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Coates/Kerr
1043/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Collinge
1044/2/001/O      Objection	Lisa Collins
1046/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs K Collins
1268/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Frank Collins
1270/2/001/O      Objection	Julie Connell
1269/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Jayne Connor
1047/2/001/O      Objection	Albert G Cook
1049/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Cooke
1050/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Cooney
1272/2/001/O      Objection	Joanna Coop

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1271/2/001/O      Objection	J Cornel
This objection was also made by the following people	
1074/2/001/O      Objection	Susan Cotter
1277/2/001/O      Objection	Nicholas Cox
1278/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A.B. Cox
1276/2/001/O      Objection	Florence Coyne
1274/2/001/O      Objection	Charlotte Craig
1076/2/001/O      Objection	Betty Crossley
1275/2/001/O      Objection	J.M Crossley
1949/2/001/O      Objection	Ms Debbie Cummaford
1281/2/001/O      Objection	H.J D'Adamo
1282/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Daniels
1283/2/001/O      Objection	Scott Dargan
1284/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Dargen
1285/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Andrew Davies
0660/2/001/O      Objection	Joan Dean
1286/2/001/O      Objection	Sue Devenney
1287/2/001/O      Objection	Daniel Dixon
1077/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Joanne Dobbs
1288/2/001/O      Objection	Lucy Donkersley
1289/2/001/O      Objection	Mr M Donough
1291/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Downey
1292/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Brian Doyle
1293/2/001/O      Objection	Carol Drury
1294/2/001/O      Objection	Sue Duty
1295/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Peter Dyer
1296/2/001/O      Objection	Maris Dyer
1297/2/001/O      Objection	Ashley Dyer
1298/2/001/O      Objection	Fiona Dyson
1299/2/001/O      Objection	J Early
1300/2/001/O      Objection	S Early
1308/2/001/O      Objection	E.M Easterby
1301/2/001/O      Objection	Megan Eastwood
0656/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Eddison
1078/2/001/O      Objection	S Edwards

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1079/2/001/O      Objection	Charles Edwards
This objection was also made by the following people	
1951/2/001/O      Objection	S. Edwards
1303/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Elliage
1305/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Elwell
1306/2/001/O      Objection	Ms Ros Evans
1307/2/001/O      Objection	Emma Ewart
1309/2/001/O      Objection	Dawn Fairclough
1080/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Fallows
1310/2/001/O      Objection	Sharon Fanning
1311/2/001/O      Objection	Katie Farrand
1312/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Farrar
1313/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Richard Filak
1314/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Firth/Thomas
1317/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Fish
1316/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Fish
1318/2/001/O      Objection	Judith Fitton
1319/2/001/O      Objection	Sean Fitzpatrick
1320/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Jake Fletcher
1321/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ford
1081/2/001/O      Objection	Mike Fox
1323/2/001/O      Objection	Mr D Frankland
1322/2/001/O      Objection	B.G Franklin
1325/2/001/O      Objection	Jenny Frost
1330/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Fryer
1332/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Tony Gardner
1333/2/001/O      Objection	Janet Gardner
1335/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Gartside
1346/2/001/O      Objection	K Gartside
1341/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L Gartside
1082/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs K Gay
1083/2/001/O      Objection	Carol Gibson
1350/2/001/O      Objection	B Gibson
1085/2/001/O      Objection	David Gilchrist
1353/2/001/O      Objection	Stuart Gill

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1355/2/001/O      Objection	Susan Gilligan
This objection was also made by the following people	
1356/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Robert Gilligan
1354/2/001/O      Objection	Mr John E Gilroy
1357/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Godwin
1358/2/001/O      Objection	A Gorst
1087/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Greaves
1359/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Gilbert Green
1936/2/001/O      Objection	R and B Greenwood
1093/2/001/O      Objection	P.M Greenwood
1094/2/001/O      Objection	Rachel Grimshaw
1095/2/001/O      Objection	Amanda Hadfield
1096/2/001/O      Objection	Dennis Hadfield
1097/2/001/O      Objection	I Hague
1098/2/001/O      Objection	Graham Halkyard
1362/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Hall
1363/2/001/O      Objection	Mary Hall
1364/2/001/O      Objection	Norma Hall
1365/2/001/O      Objection	Stephen T Hall
1361/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Hall & Masterson
1367/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P.A Halliey
0734/2/001/O      Objection	N J Halliley
1368/2/001/O      Objection	P Halliwell
1099/2/001/O      Objection	R.C. Hambleton
1369/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Hanson
1370/2/001/O      Objection	E. Hardie
1371/2/001/O      Objection	Mr I Hargreaves
1100/2/001/O      Objection	J & M Harratt
1373/2/001/O      Objection	M.J Harrison
1374/2/001/O      Objection	Pat Harrison
1372/2/001/O      Objection	D.J.W Harrison
0787/2/002/O      Objection	Mr K. Harrop
0786/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J. Harrop
1405/2/001/O      Objection	W.B Hartley
1411/2/001/O      Objection	Doreen Hartley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1412/2/001/O      Objection	Pauline Hatzebruch
This objection was also made by the following people	
1101/2/001/O      Objection	Rebecca Haworth
1414/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Hayes
1416/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Headdock
1418/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Heaps
1419/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Henthorn
1420/2/001/O      Objection	Lynne Hey
1102/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Lesley Heyes
1421/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs S Heywood
1422/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Hicking
1103/2/001/O      Objection	David Hickling
1424/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Hicks
1429/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Michael Higgins
1104/2/001/O      Objection	Mr C Hill
1109/2/001/O      Objection	Michael Hill
1431/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hill
1430/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Graham Hill
1432/2/001/O      Objection	Mr John Hindle
0690/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J.L. Hindle
1434/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J M Hobbs
0793/2/001/O      Objection	Ms E. Holmes
1437/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Roger P Hope
1436/2/001/O      Objection	Moira Hope
1435/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mike Hope
1438/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mark Hopkins
1441/2/001/O      Objection	Ms B K Hopkinson
1446/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Edwin R Hopkinson
1448/2/001/O      Objection	N.C Hopwood
1449/2/001/O      Objection	Pamela Houghton
1450/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Howard
1451/2/001/O      Objection	D J Howard
1452/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Nick Howard
1453/2/001/O      Objection	Catherine Howard-Smith
1454/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Jane Howarth

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1455/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Peter Howell
This objection was also made by the following people	
1457/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Richard Hudson
1456/2/001/O      Objection	Jenny Hudson
1113/2/001/O      Objection	Nicola Hughes
1777/2/004/O      Objection	Delph Community Association
1121/2/001/O      Objection	Kenneth Hulme
1115/2/001/O      Objection	Julie Hulme
1433/2/001/O      Objection	D J Hurst
1458/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hurst
1459/2/001/O      Objection	J Hurst
1460/2/001/O      Objection	Mr M.G Hurst
1124/2/001/O      Objection	L Hussain
1461/2/001/O      Objection	Miss S.D Hutchinson
1935/2/001/O      Objection	Robert Hykington
1462/2/001/O      Objection	V Hyncica
1463/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ingrame
1128/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Moinul Islam
1465/2/001/O      Objection	P & B Jackson
1466/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Jackson
1129/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Jacques
1467/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs S Johnson
1571/2/001/O      Objection	Luke Jones
1468/2/001/O      Objection	Stefanie Jones
1470/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Jowle
1164/2/001/O      Objection	Kershaw Julie
1163/2/001/O      Objection	Mr M.A Kadir
1471/2/001/O      Objection	Hadi Kazemi
1473/2/001/O      Objection	Mr J Kennedy
1476/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs S Kennedy
1479/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Kenny
1478/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Kenny
1481/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Paul Kenyon
1491/2/001/O      Objection	Mr A J Kershaw
1488/2/001/O      Objection	Sylvia Kershaw

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1484/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs A Kershaw
This objection was also made by the following people	
1165/2/001/O      Objection	Masood Khadem
1166/2/001/O      Objection	Victor Khadem
1494/2/001/O      Objection	E Khadem
1496/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Abraham Khadem
1499/2/001/O      Objection	Mr P Kilroy
1501/2/001/O      Objection	Mr John W King
1503/2/001/O      Objection	Rosanna Kitching
1504/2/001/O      Objection	Ms J M Knott
1507/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Maria Kostecky
1744/2/001/O      Objection	Frances Kostecky
1745/2/001/O      Objection	Dr M B Kostecky
1511/2/001/O      Objection	Louise Kweal
1472/2/001/O      Objection	Kelly L.A
1512/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mathew Ladley
1167/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs. Lynda Laffin
1513/2/001/O      Objection	Anita Lamb
1514/2/001/O      Objection	H Lamb
1515/2/001/O      Objection	W & M Lamb
1168/2/001/O      Objection	Martin Lancaster
1516/2/001/O      Objection	H J Latimer
1518/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mark Leaver
0160/2/001/O      Objection	Brian Lee
1519/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Joseph Leedham
1520/2/001/O      Objection	Ms R H Leeper
1171/2/001/O      Objection	Jack Lees
1521/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Clinton Leggett
1523/2/001/O      Objection	Sandra Letham
1522/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ian Letham
0161/2/001/O      Objection	Anita Lilley
1524/2/001/O      Objection	P Lilley
1526/2/001/O      Objection	S Lilley
1525/2/001/O      Objection	R Lilley
1527/2/001/O      Objection	Dorothy Lindley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1528/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Littlewood
This objection was also made by the following people	
1181/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Lomas
1529/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Derek Lomax
1184/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L.S Lowe
1530/2/001/O      Objection	Ms K Lowe
1533/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs P Lowe
1532/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Maurice Lumb
1534/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Howard Lunn
1531/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Tim Lynch
1535/2/001/O      Objection	Joan Macdonald
1536/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Makin
1185/2/001/O      Objection	Herbert Mallalieu
1537/2/001/O      Objection	Sally Marland
1541/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs J Marsden
1538/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Ivy Martindale
0714/2/001/O      Objection	Karen Mather
1543/2/001/O      Objection	Suzanne Mather
1540/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Mather
1544/2/001/O      Objection	Sandra Mavro-Michaels
1186/2/001/O      Objection	V Mayall
1545/2/001/O      Objection	Helen Mayall
1546/2/001/O      Objection	K A Mayer
1547/2/001/O      Objection	J F Mc cann
1548/2/001/O      Objection	Emily Mc clean
1549/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Mc clelland
1550/2/001/O      Objection	Lauren Mc loughlin
1551/2/001/O      Objection	Sue McDermott
1188/2/001/O      Objection	Sharon McGladdery
1552/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Paul McGladdery
1553/2/001/O      Objection	Lilian McKown
1554/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Michael McKown
1555/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alec McLintock
1556/2/001/O      Objection	Iris McLintock
1557/2/001/O      Objection	Malcolm McLintock



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1559/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Louise McMahon
This objection was also made by the following people	
1191/2/001/O      Objection	Gavin McRae
1560/2/001/O      Objection	E J McDonald
1194/2/001/O      Objection	David Mellor
1561/2/001/O      Objection	G &S Metcalf & Fletcher
1562/2/001/O      Objection	Jacqueline Miles
1563/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Peter Miles
1566/2/001/O      Objection	J S Mills
1564/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Mills
1565/2/001/O      Objection	Beverley Mills
1567/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Daniel Mistry
1403/2/001/O      Objection	Mr N.P Mitchell
1568/2/001/O      Objection	Asic Mohammed
1573/2/001/O      Objection	Carol Moir
1198/2/001/O      Objection	Michael Mooney
1569/2/001/O      Objection	J & E Mooney
1572/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew J Moores
1574/2/001/O      Objection	D.R Moores
1575/2/001/O      Objection	C. Moorhouse
1941/2/001/O      Objection	John R C Moss
1204/2/001/O      Objection	Florence Mulholland
1579/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Mullan
1580/2/001/O      Objection	Stuart Mullane
1200/2/001/O      Objection	M Murphy
1581/2/001/O      Objection	William Murray
1582/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Muskett
1583/2/001/O      Objection	John Mustoe
1584/2/001/O      Objection	Georgina Myott
1585/2/001/O      Objection	M & B Naden
1587/2/001/O      Objection	Mr G Nelson
1586/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Bessie Nelson
1206/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Newman
1588/2/001/O      Objection	Sandra Lee Newman
1207/2/001/O      Objection	Jane Newton

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1590/2/001/O      Objection	Eppie Nicholls
This objection was also made by the following people	
1589/2/001/O      Objection	Harold Nield
1290/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Nolan
1208/2/001/O      Objection	Siobain O'Brien
1591/2/001/O      Objection	William O'Brien
1592/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P O'Dwyer
1593/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Lynne Osbourne
1211/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Padgett
1594/2/001/O      Objection	Dr A.L Parham
1210/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Parkin
1595/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Richard Parslow
1209/2/001/O      Objection	S Paulley
1596/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Paxton
1597/2/001/O      Objection	Joyce. I Pearson
1598/2/001/O      Objection	J.M. Peel
1599/2/001/O      Objection	Daniel Pennington
1601/2/001/O      Objection	Ian B Perry
1600/2/001/O      Objection	Imogen Perry
1602/2/001/O      Objection	J.R Perry
1603/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs I Pickering
1604/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Pickup
1605/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Pickup
1606/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A Plackett
1607/2/001/O      Objection	Miss M B Platt
1608/2/001/O      Objection	Miss T.M Platt
1609/2/001/O      Objection	Delia Plunkett
1610/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Poole
1611/2/001/O      Objection	M.T Porisse
1612/2/001/O      Objection	J Potts
1615/2/001/O      Objection	D.J Potts
1613/2/001/O      Objection	Mr B.E Prescott
1614/2/001/O      Objection	P.J Preston
1617/2/001/O      Objection	Mr T Priestley
1618/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs V Priestley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1619/2/001/O      Objection	Keith Prior
This objection was also made by the following people	
1620/2/001/O      Objection	Neil Pulman
1621/2/001/O      Objection	Sally Pulman
1212/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L Quinlan
1213/2/001/O      Objection	Elias Rahman
1216/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alaur Rahman
1622/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alan Ramsbottom
1219/2/001/O      Objection	M.W Reid
1624/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Richbell
1627/2/001/O      Objection	D Ridgeway
1625/2/001/O      Objection	Mr R Ridout
1220/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P Riedlsperger
1626/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Chris Rigby
1223/2/001/O      Objection	K Riley
0543/2/018/O      Objection	Denshaw Community Association
1224/2/001/O      Objection	Kathryn M Roberts
1629/2/001/O      Objection	Karen Roberts
1628/2/001/O      Objection	Hannah Roberts
1631/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Richard Roberts
1632/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Leslie Roberts
1225/2/001/O      Objection	Natalie Robinson
1630/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Carl Robinson
1633/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Darrin Robinson
1634/2/001/O      Objection	G Robinson
1635/2/001/O      Objection	Mr James Robinson
1636/2/001/O      Objection	Lindsey Robinson
1637/2/001/O      Objection	P Robinson
1638/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Roche
1226/2/001/O      Objection	Adam Rogers
1228/2/001/O      Objection	Steve Roman
1230/2/001/O      Objection	Peter Rothery
1232/2/001/O      Objection	Tom Rothery
1234/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Rothwell
0243/2/009/O      Objection	Alan Roughley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1639/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs V Rudd
This objection was also made by the following people	
1236/2/001/O      Objection	Amy Runacre
1398/2/001/O      Objection	Emma Salloway
1640/2/001/O      Objection	Kim Salmon
1641/2/001/O      Objection	Pauline Salmon
1377/2/001/O      Objection	Jon Saxon
1642/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Stephen Scarsbrook
1379/2/001/O      Objection	Lesley Schofield
1643/2/001/O      Objection	M Schofield
1378/2/001/O      Objection	Paul Scholes
1644/2/001/O      Objection	Christine Scholes
1645/2/001/O      Objection	C E Scholes
1646/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Scholes
1647/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Simon Searles
0162/2/002/O      Objection	Mr S.V. Sedgwick
1399/2/001/O      Objection	Melissa Selkon
1648/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Sellers
1649/2/001/O      Objection	Nicola Semple
1650/2/001/O      Objection	Derek Seville
1652/2/001/O      Objection	Robert Sharp
1651/2/001/O      Objection	Patricia Sharp
1380/2/001/O      Objection	David Shaw
1654/2/001/O      Objection	Dorothy Shaw
1655/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Shaw
1657/2/001/O      Objection	Felicity Shaw
1658/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L A Shaw
1659/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Raymond Shaw
1937/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara C Sheard
1660/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P Shepley
1661/2/001/O      Objection	Phillida Shipp
1381/2/001/O      Objection	Graham Sinclair
1662/2/001/O      Objection	Jean Sinclair
1663/2/001/O      Objection	Ms J L Smith
1665/2/001/O      Objection	Harry Smith

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1664/2/001/O      Objection	Becky Smith
This objection was also made by the following people	
1382/2/001/O      Objection	Robert Southwick
1667/2/001/O      Objection	Marilyn Sterndale
1668/2/001/O      Objection	Maurice Sterndale
1669/2/001/O      Objection	Katherine Stevenson
1670/2/001/O      Objection	Mr P Stott
1671/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Peter Stott
1672/2/001/O      Objection	Sheila Stott
1680/2/001/O      Objection	Mr John Strange
1681/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ronnan Strzala
1673/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Stubbs
1383/2/001/O      Objection	S Summerscales
1676/2/001/O      Objection	Olivia Sunderland
1674/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Sunderland
1675/2/001/O      Objection	Mr C Sunderland
1677/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Ian Sutcliffe
1678/2/001/O      Objection	J Sutcliffe
1679/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Roy Sutcliffe
1682/2/001/O      Objection	Leslie Sykes
1384/2/001/O      Objection	David Taylor
1385/2/001/O      Objection	Enid A Taylor
1684/2/001/O      Objection	Charlotte Taylor
1685/2/001/O      Objection	Helen Taylor
1683/2/001/O      Objection	Bernard Taylor
1687/2/001/O      Objection	Elizabeth Teague
1688/2/001/O      Objection	Holly Teague
1689/2/001/O      Objection	Sally Teague
1686/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Alan Teague
1690/2/001/O      Objection	J D Theakstone
1691/2/001/O      Objection	W H Theakstone
1692/2/001/O      Objection	A Thomas
1693/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Thomas
1694/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Gareth Thomas
1695/2/001/O      Objection	Mr David Thompson

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1696/2/001/O      Objection	J Thompson
This objection was also made by the following people	
1386/2/001/O      Objection	S Timmins
0658/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs G Travis
1698/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Phillip Travis
0661/2/003/O      Objection	Susan Travis
1697/2/001/O      Objection	D Travis
1700/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P Trueman
1701/2/001/O      Objection	R B Trueman
1702/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Turner
1703/2/001/O      Objection	Ruth Turner
0655/2/001/O      Objection	Barry Ulyatt
0654/2/001/O      Objection	Margaret Ulyatt
1704/2/001/O      Objection	Yvonne Unsworth
1705/2/001/O      Objection	Catherine Varnom
1387/2/001/O      Objection	Claire Walker
1388/2/001/O      Objection	Ted Walker
1706/2/001/O      Objection	E R Walker
1707/2/001/O      Objection	J Walsh
1708/2/001/O      Objection	J S Walsh
1709/2/001/O      Objection	B R Walton
1710/2/001/O      Objection	Pauline Walton
1389/2/001/O      Objection	Matthew Warburton
1711/2/001/O      Objection	Alistair Ward
1712/2/001/O      Objection	Nicholas Ward
1713/2/001/O      Objection	W Watson
1390/2/001/O      Objection	J.L Watt
1942/2/001/O      Objection	Janet Watts
1391/2/001/O      Objection	Eric W Watts
1715/2/001/O      Objection	Alistair Watts
1716/2/001/O      Objection	Norman Webb
1717/2/001/O      Objection	Joyce Webb
1718/2/001/O      Objection	Angela Webster
0639/2/003/O      Objection	Peter Webster
1719/2/001/O      Objection	Henry Webster

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1720/2/001/O      Objection	K Weigh
This objection was also made by the following people	
1721/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Weigh
1722/2/001/O      Objection	F.K & H.R Wellens
1723/2/001/O      Objection	Amy Wells
1725/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs L C Whitaker
1724/2/001/O      Objection	A & J Whitmore
1726/2/001/O      Objection	Harrison Whittaker
1727/2/001/O      Objection	Patricia Whittaker
1392/2/001/O      Objection	Christine Widdall
1728/2/001/O      Objection	John A Widdall
1729/2/001/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Wigley
1393/2/001/O      Objection	Kathryn Wilcox
0677/2/003/O      Objection	Mr Eric Wild
1394/2/001/O      Objection	Sandra Wilkinson
1395/2/001/O      Objection	Edith Wilson
1730/2/001/O      Objection	Gemma Wilson
1732/2/001/O      Objection	Katy Wilson
1733/2/001/O      Objection	Robert A Wilson
1731/2/001/O      Objection	Kate Wilson
1734/2/001/O      Objection	R & K Winterbottom
1735/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs P A Winterburn
1396/2/001/O      Objection	Doris Wood
1736/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Dennis Wood
1738/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Jack Wood
1397/2/001/O      Objection	Phaedra Woods
1739/2/001/O      Objection	M C Woolley
1740/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew Wooton
0668/2/003/O      Objection	Bernard Wright
1741/2/001/O      Objection	Alastair Wright
1742/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs Linda Wright
1743/2/001/O      Objection	Mr R I Wright
1899/2/004/O      Objection	Racefield Management Company Ltd

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	<p>Objects to the wording of the policy and the requirements placed upon developers. Amend policy to strengthen the requirements of the detailed statement. Applicants should be required to provide a map showing developments in proximity to proposals.</p>
0974/2/004/O	Mr Lionel Gregory
	<p>Objects to the wording of the policy and the requirements placed upon developers. Amend policy to strengthen the requirements of the detailed statement. Applicants should be required to provide a map showing developments in proximity to proposals.</p>
0112/2/015/O	Mr G Bayley
Joint Case	<p>Policy does not protect the interests of the Peak District National Park.</p>
1883/2/001/S	Andrew Pennington
	<p>Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.</p>
0040/2/030/O	Saddleworth Parish Council
Joint Case	<p>Agent : Eagland Planning Associates The policy should be absolutely clear in "requiring" wind developments to be accompanied by a detailed statement of environmental effects.</p>
0038/2/055/O	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
	<p>Unit previously supported Policy NR3.1, but now objects to the proposed changes, particularly the exclusion of "sites designated for their nature conservation value" from the list of criteria.</p>
1879/2/001/O	Richard Darlington
	<p>Wants the policy to have maximum flexibility for development of renewable energy sources of power including water, wind, sun, geo-thermal, heat pumps, passive solar gain, methane extraction and biomass and new technologies.</p>
0726/2/005/S	Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU)
	<p>Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc Welcome deletion of original Policy NR3.1 and insertion of new policy NR3.1. Welcomes amendments to paragraphs 13.73-13.76 and insertion of paragraphs 13.77-13.80.</p>
0112/2/016/O	Mr G Bayley
Joint Case	<p>Wind turbines should not be permitted closer to residential buildings than the minimum distance recommended by the manufacturer.</p>



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>NR3.1 (c)</b> 0112/2/017/O Joint Case Objection	Mr G Bayley Objects to the lack of reference to protection of the Green Belt within the policy. Paragraph NR3.1 (c) of First Deposit Draft Replacement UDP should be retained.
<b>NR3.1 13.77</b> 1616/2/006/O Objection	The National Trust Need an appropriate balance between renewable energy sources and environmental protection. Para 13.77 does not adequately accord with national policy. Suggested amended wording for Para 13.77.
1903/2/002/O Objection	Andrew P Dixon Policy criteria are not specific enough. Mention should be made of openness and visual amenity of Green Belt, nature conservation areas, historical/archaeological interests and view into/out of Peak District. Amend paragraph 13.77.
0243/2/017/O Objection	Alan Roughley Remove para 13.77. Too complex and repetitive.
1900/2/002/O Objection	Ramblers' Association Specific mention should be made of the amenities that require protection. Protection should be afforded to views within and without the Peak District. Delete the last two sentences in paragraph 13.77.
1840/2/001/O Objection	Roy Bardsley The contribution of turbines to the reduction in global carbon emissions does not constitute the very special circumstances that would justify inappropriate development in the green belt.
<b>NR3.1 13.78</b> 0243/2/014/O Objection	Alan Roughley Add to para 13.78 requirement for developers to pay 7.5% of sales income per year into trust fund to ensure site restoration can be financed at end of development's commercial life (in case of company going out of business).
<b>NR3.1 13.78 &amp; 13.79</b> 0165/2/007/O Objection	Cllr Brian Lord Policy should require removal of concrete footings where these will damage environment.. Para 13.78 & 13.79 - use 'require' rather than 'expect'. Wording too subjective. Adopt similar approach to neighbouring authorities.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>NR3.1 13.79</b> 0243/2/016/O      Objection	Alan Roughley The Environmental Impact Assessment cannot be expected to give a balanced view on benefits of the scheme as it is paid for by the applicant and that is not its function. Role of SPG, is queried in relation to this issue.
<b>NR3.2</b> 1903/2/001/O      Objection	Andrew P Dixon Areas of search map is misleading and does not add anything to the policy. Re-instate original point (d) from First Deposit. Amend map to exclude area between Greenfield and Peak District. Strengthen wording to offer more environmental protection
0177/2/006/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0177/1/001/O) Joint Case	David Chadderton Conditionally withdraws.
0149/2/033/S      Support      (FD Ref: 0149/1/012/O)	English Nature Conditionally withdraws. English Nature accepts that issues in respect of the impacts that wind turbines may have on bird habitats or migratory patterns are now addressed through cross reference to Policy NR3.1, and now supports Policy NR3.2.
1879/2/003/S      Support	Richard Darlington Content for wind developments to be located in areas of the Borough that are less sensitive.
0243/2/018/O      Objection      (FD Ref: 0243/1/005/O)	Alan Roughley Criteria d. should be restored, and distance increased to at least 1500m to protect the quality of life of local residents. "express agreement of relevant parties" should be removed as they do not express the opinions of wider community.
1780/2/003/O      Objection	Cllr R. Knowles Delete area of search map so that all proposals are judged by the same criteria. Criteria should be in line with other SCOSPA councils to protect landscape and population.
0887/2/002/O      Objection	Leon Brett Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>	
1623/2/002/O	Objection Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.	Ransome
This objection was also made by the following people		
0943/2/002/O	Objection	Mr P Ackroyd
0910/2/002/O	Objection	Susan Ackroyd
0909/2/002/O	Objection	A.R. Ackroyd
0941/2/002/O	Objection	Mrs Heidy Ackroyd
0911/2/002/O	Objection	Mr D Alcock
0916/2/002/O	Objection	S. E. Alexander
0918/2/002/O	Objection	Phillip Alford
0922/2/002/O	Objection	Jean Alford
1021/2/002/O	Objection	Mr Ali
0923/2/002/O	Objection	Dr & Mrs G Allen
0927/2/002/O	Objection	Mr A.H Armson
0925/2/002/O	Objection	John Arthurs
0924/2/002/O	Objection	Stephen M Ashurst
0935/2/002/O	Objection	Michael B Ashworth
0936/2/002/O	Objection	Debra Aspinall
0937/2/002/O	Objection	James M Auld
0940/2/002/O	Objection	G & H.J Austin
0939/2/002/O	Objection	Emma Bagshaw
0938/2/002/O	Objection	Anthony J Baker
0942/2/002/O	Objection	Scott Baker
0944/2/002/O	Objection	H & J Ball
0945/2/002/O	Objection	Mr & Mrs Barber
0946/2/002/O	Objection	J & P Barnes
0947/2/002/O	Objection	J.H Barnes
0948/2/002/O	Objection	C Barnes
0949/2/002/O	Objection	A & C Barrass
0950/2/002/O	Objection	Neil Barrow
0951/2/002/O	Objection	Heather Bates
1023/2/002/O	Objection	B A Beddows

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0952/2/002/O      Objection	Rebecca Beesley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1025/2/002/O      Objection	Shahida Begum
1027/2/002/O      Objection	Colin Bell
0954/2/002/O      Objection	Aaron Bennett
1028/2/002/O      Objection	J Bergin
0959/2/002/O      Objection	Helen Berry
0962/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Bescoby
0971/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A.H. Billing
0965/2/002/O      Objection	Sheila P. Billingsley
0970/2/002/O      Objection	Catherine Bingley
0967/2/002/O      Objection	Ms A. Bintliff
0972/2/002/O      Objection	T. Blackshaw
0973/2/002/O      Objection	P. Blackshaw
0980/2/002/O      Objection	Sheila Bocking
0975/2/002/O      Objection	N. Bocking
0993/2/002/O      Objection	Ken Booth
0992/2/002/O      Objection	D Booth
0994/2/002/O      Objection	J & J Bottomley
0996/2/002/O      Objection	Carol A Bottrill
0997/2/002/O      Objection	Miss Jill Boughey
0998/2/002/O      Objection	A. Bowater
0999/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Bowden
1045/2/002/O      Objection	H.J. Bowes
0157/2/002/O      Objection	Mr&Mrs M. Bowker
Joint Case	
1030/2/002/O      Objection	G Bowler
1032/2/002/O      Objection	Thomas R Boyle
1031/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs K Boyle
1000/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Bradbury
1001/2/002/O      Objection	B & J Bradley
1002/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Braithwaite
1033/2/002/O      Objection	Vicky Bramley
1003/2/002/O      Objection	Doug Branson
1004/2/002/O      Objection	C.E. Brayzier

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1005/2/002/O      Objection	P. Brisk
This objection was also made by the following people	
1938/2/002/O      Objection	Hugh Broadbent
1006/2/002/O      Objection	Mike Brogden
1035/2/002/O      Objection	Kathy Brooks
1034/2/002/O      Objection	John Brooks
1007/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Brooks
1008/2/002/O      Objection	Karen Brooks
1009/2/002/O      Objection	E.L. Brooks
1036/2/002/O      Objection	E Brophy
1010/2/002/O      Objection	Justin Brown
1011/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Brown
1012/2/002/O      Objection	Ms A.M. Brown
0828/2/031/O      Objection	Saddleworth Civic Trust
1014/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Anne Buckley
1015/2/002/O      Objection	R.H. Buckley
1016/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mike Buckley
1037/2/002/O      Objection	Sam Buckley
1746/2/002/O      Objection	H Buckley
1017/2/002/O      Objection	L. Bulmer
1018/2/002/O      Objection	Mark Burgin
1019/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Irene Burke
1020/2/002/O      Objection	Helen Burrill
1022/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs K Butterworth
1013/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L.M. Byram
1029/2/002/O      Objection	Mr S Byrne
1038/2/002/O      Objection	Sharon Byrne
1024/2/002/O      Objection	G Byrom
1026/2/002/O      Objection	Alice Byrom
1048/2/002/O      Objection	D. Cameron
1240/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Janet Capper
0748/2/002/O      Objection	Martin Capper
1039/2/002/O      Objection	Eirian Carruthers
1241/2/001/O      Objection	Mr Mark Cassin
1246/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs B Chapman

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1248/2/002/O      Objection	Mr H Charles
This objection was also made by the following people	
0527/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Clark
0636/2/002/O      Objection	Jennifer Clark
1252/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Eric Clark
1260/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Ian Clarke
1257/2/002/O      Objection	C Clarke
1042/2/002/O      Objection	Shirley Clayton
1261/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Clegg
1041/2/002/O      Objection	J Clemison
0659/2/002/O      Objection	P.A. Coates
1267/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Coates/Kerr
1043/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Collinge
1044/2/002/O      Objection	Lisa Collins
1046/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs K Collins
1268/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Frank Collins
1270/2/002/O      Objection	Julie Connell
1269/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Jayne Connor
1047/2/002/O      Objection	Albert G Cook
1049/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Cooke
1050/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Cooney
1272/2/002/O      Objection	Joanna Coop
1271/2/002/O      Objection	J Cornel
1074/2/002/O      Objection	Susan Cotter
1277/2/002/O      Objection	Nicholas Cox
1278/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A.B. Cox
1276/2/002/O      Objection	Florence Coyne
1274/2/002/O      Objection	Charlotte Craig
1076/2/002/O      Objection	Betty Crossley
1275/2/002/O      Objection	J.M Crossley
1949/2/002/O      Objection	Ms Debbie Cummaford
1281/2/002/O      Objection	H.J D'Adamo
1282/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Daniels
1283/2/002/O      Objection	Scott Dargan
1284/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Dargen

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1285/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Andrew Davies
This objection was also made by the following people	
0660/2/002/O      Objection	Joan Dean
1286/2/002/O      Objection	Sue Devenney
1287/2/002/O      Objection	Daniel Dixon
1077/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Joanne Dobbs
1288/2/002/O      Objection	Lucy Donkersley
1289/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M Donough
1291/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Downey
1292/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Brian Doyle
1293/2/002/O      Objection	Carol Drury
1294/2/002/O      Objection	Sue Duty
1295/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Peter Dyer
1296/2/002/O      Objection	Maris Dyer
1297/2/002/O      Objection	Ashley Dyer
1298/2/002/O      Objection	Fiona Dyson
1299/2/002/O      Objection	J Early
1300/2/002/O      Objection	S Early
1308/2/002/O      Objection	E.M Easterby
1301/2/002/O      Objection	Megan Eastwood
0656/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Eddison
1078/2/002/O      Objection	S Edwards
1079/2/002/O      Objection	Charles Edwards
1951/2/002/O      Objection	S. Edwards
1303/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Elliage
1305/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Elwell
1306/2/002/O      Objection	Ms Ros Evans
1307/2/002/O      Objection	Emma Ewart
1309/2/002/O      Objection	Dawn Fairclough
1080/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Fallows
1310/2/002/O      Objection	Sharon Fanning
1311/2/002/O      Objection	Katie Farrand
1312/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Farrar
1313/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Richard Filak
1314/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Firth/Thomas

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1316/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Fish
This objection was also made by the following people	
1317/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Fish
1318/2/002/O      Objection	Judith Fitton
1319/2/002/O      Objection	Sean Fitzpatrick
1320/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Jake Fletcher
1321/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ford
1081/2/002/O      Objection	Mike Fox
1323/2/002/O      Objection	Mr D Frankland
1322/2/002/O      Objection	B.G Franklin
1325/2/002/O      Objection	Jenny Frost
1330/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Fryer
1333/2/002/O      Objection	Janet Gardner
1332/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Tony Gardner
1335/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Gartside
1341/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L Gartside
1346/2/002/O      Objection	K Gartside
1082/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs K Gay
1083/2/002/O      Objection	Carol Gibson
1350/2/002/O      Objection	B Gibson
1085/2/002/O      Objection	David Gilchrist
1353/2/002/O      Objection	Stuart Gill
1355/2/002/O      Objection	Susan Gilligan
1356/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Robert Gilligan
1354/2/002/O      Objection	Mr John E Gilroy
1357/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Godwin
1358/2/002/O      Objection	A Gorst
1087/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Greaves
1359/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Gilbert Green
1936/2/002/O      Objection	R and B Greenwood
1093/2/002/O      Objection	P.M Greenwood
1094/2/002/O      Objection	Rachel Grimshaw
1095/2/002/O      Objection	Amanda Hadfield
1096/2/002/O      Objection	Dennis Hadfield
1097/2/002/O      Objection	I Hague



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1098/2/002/O      Objection	Graham Halkyard
This objection was also made by the following people	
1365/2/002/O      Objection	Stephen T Hall
1362/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Hall
1363/2/002/O      Objection	Mary Hall
1364/2/002/O      Objection	Norma Hall
1361/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Ms Hall & Masterson
1367/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P.A Halliey
0734/2/002/O      Objection	N J Halliley
1368/2/002/O      Objection	P Halliwell
1099/2/002/O      Objection	R.C. Hambleton
1369/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Hanson
1370/2/002/O      Objection	E. Hardie
1371/2/002/O      Objection	Mr I Hargreaves
1100/2/002/O      Objection	J & M Harratt
1372/2/002/O      Objection	D.J.W Harrison
1373/2/002/O      Objection	M.J Harrison
1374/2/002/O      Objection	Pat Harrison
0787/2/003/O      Objection	Mr K. Harrop
1405/2/002/O      Objection	W.B Hartley
1411/2/002/O      Objection	Doreen Hartley
1412/2/002/O      Objection	Pauline Hatzebruch
1101/2/002/O      Objection	Rebecca Haworth
1414/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Hayes
1416/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Headdock
1418/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Heaps
1419/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Henthorn
1420/2/002/O      Objection	Lynne Hey
1102/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Lesley Heyes
1421/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs S Heywood
1422/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Hicking
1103/2/002/O      Objection	David Hickling
1424/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Hicks
1429/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Michael Higgins
1104/2/002/O      Objection	Mr C Hill

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1109/2/002/O      Objection	Michael Hill
This objection was also made by the following people	
1431/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hill
1430/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Graham Hill
1432/2/002/O      Objection	Mr John Hindle
0690/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J.L. Hindle
1434/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J M Hobbs
0793/2/002/O      Objection	Ms E. Holmes
1437/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Roger P Hope
1436/2/002/O      Objection	Moirra Hope
1435/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mike Hope
1438/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mark Hopkins
1441/2/002/O      Objection	Ms B K Hopkinson
1446/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Edwin R Hopkinson
1448/2/002/O      Objection	N.C Hopwood
1449/2/002/O      Objection	Pamela Houghton
1450/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Howard
1451/2/002/O      Objection	D J Howard
1452/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Nick Howard
1453/2/002/O      Objection	Catherine Howard-Smith
1454/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Jane Howarth
1455/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Peter Howell
1456/2/002/O      Objection	Jenny Hudson
1457/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Richard Hudson
1113/2/002/O      Objection	Nicola Hughes
1777/2/005/O      Objection	Delph Community Association
1121/2/002/O      Objection	Kenneth Hulme
1115/2/002/O      Objection	Julie Hulme
1460/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M.G Hurst
1433/2/002/O      Objection	D J Hurst
1458/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alan Hurst
1459/2/002/O      Objection	J Hurst
1124/2/002/O      Objection	L Hussain
1461/2/002/O      Objection	Miss S.D Hutchinson
1935/2/002/O      Objection	Robert Hykington

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1462/2/002/O      Objection	V Hyncica
This objection was also made by the following people	
1463/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Ingrame
1128/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Moinul Islam
1465/2/002/O      Objection	P & B Jackson
1466/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Jackson
1129/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Jacques
1467/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs S Johnson
1571/2/002/O      Objection	Luke Jones
1468/2/002/O      Objection	Stefanie Jones
1470/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Jowle
1164/2/002/O      Objection	Kershaw Julie
1163/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M.A Kadir
1471/2/002/O      Objection	Hadi Kazemi
1473/2/002/O      Objection	Mr J Kennedy
1476/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs S Kennedy
1478/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs J Kenny
1479/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Kenny
1481/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Paul Kenyon
1488/2/002/O      Objection	Sylvia Kershaw
1491/2/002/O      Objection	Mr A J Kershaw
1484/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs A Kershaw
1165/2/002/O      Objection	Masood Khadem
1166/2/002/O      Objection	Victor Khadem
1494/2/002/O      Objection	E Khadem
1496/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Abraham Khadem
1499/2/002/O      Objection	Mr P Kilroy
1501/2/002/O      Objection	Mr John W King
1503/2/002/O      Objection	Rosanna Kitching
1504/2/002/O      Objection	Ms J M Knott
1507/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Maria Kostecky
1745/2/002/O      Objection	Dr M B Kostecky
1744/2/002/O      Objection	Frances Kostecky
1511/2/002/O      Objection	Louise Kweal
1472/2/002/O      Objection	Kelly L.A

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1512/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mathew Ladley
This objection was also made by the following people	
1167/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs. Lynda Laffin
1513/2/002/O      Objection	Anita Lamb
1514/2/002/O      Objection	H Lamb
1515/2/002/O      Objection	W & M Lamb
1168/2/002/O      Objection	Martin Lancaster
1516/2/002/O      Objection	H J Latimer
1518/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Mark Leaver
0160/2/002/O      Objection	Brian Lee
1519/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Joseph Leedham
1520/2/002/O      Objection	Ms R H Leeper
1171/2/002/O      Objection	Jack Lees
1521/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Clinton Leggett
1523/2/002/O      Objection	Sandra Letham
1522/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Ian Letham
0161/2/002/O      Objection	Anita Lilley
1524/2/002/O      Objection	P Lilley
1525/2/002/O      Objection	R Lilley
1526/2/002/O      Objection	S Lilley
1527/2/002/O      Objection	Dorothy Lindley
1528/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Littlewood
1181/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Lomas
1529/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Derek Lomax
1184/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L.S Lowe
1530/2/002/O      Objection	Ms K Lowe
1533/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs P Lowe
1532/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Maurice Lumb
1534/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Howard Lunn
1531/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Tim Lynch
1535/2/002/O      Objection	Joan Macdonald
1536/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Makin
1185/2/002/O      Objection	Herbert Mallalieu
1537/2/002/O      Objection	Sally Marland
1541/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Marsden

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1538/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Ivy Martindale
This objection was also made by the following people	
1540/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Mather
0714/2/002/O      Objection	Karen Mather
1543/2/002/O      Objection	Suzanne Mather
1544/2/002/O      Objection	Sandra Mavro-Michaels
1186/2/002/O      Objection	V Mayall
1545/2/002/O      Objection	Helen Mayall
1546/2/002/O      Objection	K A Mayer
1547/2/002/O      Objection	J F Mc cann
1548/2/002/O      Objection	Emily Mc clean
1549/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Mc clelland
1550/2/002/O      Objection	Lauren Mc loughlin
1551/2/002/O      Objection	Sue McDermott
1852/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M McEwan
1859/2/002/O      Objection	Mr B McEwan
1188/2/002/O      Objection	Sharon McGladdery
1552/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Paul McGladdery
1554/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Michael McKown
1553/2/002/O      Objection	Lilian McKown
1555/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alec McLintock
1556/2/002/O      Objection	Iris McLintock
1557/2/002/O      Objection	Malcolm McLintock
1559/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Louise McMahan
1191/2/002/O      Objection	Gavin McRae
1560/2/002/O      Objection	E J McRonald
1194/2/002/O      Objection	David Mellor
1561/2/002/O      Objection	G &S Metcalf & Fletcher
1562/2/002/O      Objection	Jacqueline Miles
1563/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Peter Miles
1566/2/002/O      Objection	J S Mills
1565/2/002/O      Objection	Beverley Mills
1564/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Mills
1567/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Daniel Mistry
1403/2/002/O      Objection	Mr N.P Mitchell

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1568/2/002/O      Objection	Asic Mohammed
This objection was also made by the following people	
1573/2/002/O      Objection	Carol Moir
1198/2/002/O      Objection	Michael Mooney
1569/2/002/O      Objection	J & E Mooney
1572/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew J Moores
1574/2/002/O      Objection	D.R Moores
1575/2/002/O      Objection	C. Moorhouse
1941/2/002/O      Objection	John R C Moss
1204/2/002/O      Objection	Florence Mulholland
1579/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Mullan
1580/2/002/O      Objection	Stuart Mullane
1200/2/002/O      Objection	M Murphy
1581/2/002/O      Objection	William Murray
1582/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Muskett
1583/2/002/O      Objection	John Mustoe
1584/2/002/O      Objection	Georgina Myott
1585/2/002/O      Objection	M & B Naden
1586/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Bessie Nelson
1587/2/002/O      Objection	Mr G Nelson
1206/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Newman
1588/2/002/O      Objection	Sandra Lee Newman
1207/2/002/O      Objection	Jane Newton
1590/2/002/O      Objection	Eppie Nicholls
1589/2/002/O      Objection	Harold Nield
1290/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs D Nolan
1208/2/002/O      Objection	Siobain O'Brien
1591/2/002/O      Objection	William O'Brien
1592/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P O'Dwyer
1593/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Lynne Osbourne
1211/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Padgett
1594/2/002/O      Objection	Dr A.L Parham
1210/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Parkin
1595/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Richard Parslow
1209/2/002/O      Objection	S Paulley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1596/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs K Paxton
This objection was also made by the following people	
1597/2/002/O      Objection	Joyce. I Pearson
1598/2/002/O      Objection	J.M. Peel
1599/2/002/O      Objection	Daniel Pennington
1600/2/002/O      Objection	Imogen Perry
1601/2/002/O      Objection	Ian B Perry
1602/2/002/O      Objection	J.R Perry
1603/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs I Pickering
1605/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Pickup
1604/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Pickup
1606/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A Plackett
1608/2/002/O      Objection	Miss T.M Platt
1607/2/002/O      Objection	Miss M B Platt
1609/2/002/O      Objection	Delia Plunkett
1610/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Poole
1611/2/002/O      Objection	M.T Porisse
1612/2/002/O      Objection	J Potts
1615/2/002/O      Objection	D.J Potts
1613/2/002/O      Objection	Mr B.E Prescott
1614/2/002/O      Objection	P.J Preston
1618/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs V Priestley
1617/2/002/O      Objection	Mr T Priestley
1619/2/002/O      Objection	Keith Prior
1620/2/002/O      Objection	Neil Pulman
1621/2/002/O      Objection	Sally Pulman
1212/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L Quinlan
1213/2/002/O      Objection	Elias Rahman
1216/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alaur Rahman
1622/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alan Ramsbottom
1219/2/002/O      Objection	M.W Reid
1624/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Richbell
1627/2/002/O      Objection	D Ridgeway
1625/2/002/O      Objection	Mr R Ridout
1220/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P Riedlsperger

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1626/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Chris Rigby
This objection was also made by the following people	
1223/2/002/O      Objection	K Riley
0543/2/019/O      Objection	Denshaw Community Association
1224/2/002/O      Objection	Kathryn M Roberts
1628/2/002/O      Objection	Hannah Roberts
1629/2/002/O      Objection	Karen Roberts
1631/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Richard Roberts
1632/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Leslie Roberts
1225/2/002/O      Objection	Natalie Robinson
1630/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Carl Robinson
1633/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Darrin Robinson
1634/2/002/O      Objection	G Robinson
1636/2/002/O      Objection	Lindsey Robinson
1637/2/002/O      Objection	P Robinson
1635/2/002/O      Objection	Mr James Robinson
1638/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Roche
1226/2/002/O      Objection	Adam Rogers
1228/2/002/O      Objection	Steve Roman
1230/2/002/O      Objection	Peter Rothery
1232/2/002/O      Objection	Tom Rothery
1234/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Rothwell
0243/2/010/O      Objection	Alan Roughley
1639/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs V Rudd
1236/2/002/O      Objection	Amy Runacre
1398/2/002/O      Objection	Emma Salloway
1640/2/002/O      Objection	Kim Salmon
1641/2/002/O      Objection	Pauline Salmon
1377/2/002/O      Objection	Jon Saxon
1642/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Stephen Scarsbrook
1379/2/002/O      Objection	Lesley Schofield
1643/2/002/O      Objection	M Schofield
1378/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Scholes
1644/2/002/O      Objection	Christine Scholes
1645/2/002/O      Objection	C E Scholes



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1646/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Scholes
This objection was also made by the following people	
1647/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Simon Searles
0162/2/003/O      Objection	Mr S.V. Sedgwick
1399/2/002/O      Objection	Melissa Selkon
1648/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Sellers
1649/2/002/O      Objection	Nicola Semple
1650/2/002/O      Objection	Derek Seville
1652/2/002/O      Objection	Robert Sharp
1651/2/002/O      Objection	Patricia Sharp
1380/2/002/O      Objection	David Shaw
1654/2/002/O      Objection	Dorothy Shaw
1655/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Shaw
1658/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L A Shaw
1659/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Raymond Shaw
1657/2/002/O      Objection	Felicity Shaw
1937/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara C Sheard
1660/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P Shepley
1661/2/002/O      Objection	Phillida Shipp
1381/2/002/O      Objection	Graham Sinclair
1662/2/002/O      Objection	Jean Sinclair
1663/2/002/O      Objection	Ms J L Smith
1664/2/002/O      Objection	Becky Smith
1665/2/002/O      Objection	Harry Smith
1382/2/002/O      Objection	Robert Southwick
1667/2/002/O      Objection	Marilyn Sterndale
1668/2/002/O      Objection	Maurice Sterndale
1669/2/002/O      Objection	Katherine Stevenson
1670/2/002/O      Objection	Mr P Stott
1671/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Peter Stott
1672/2/002/O      Objection	Sheila Stott
1680/2/002/O      Objection	Mr John Strange
1681/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Ronnan Strzala
1673/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Stubbs
1383/2/002/O      Objection	S Summerscales

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1676/2/002/O      Objection	Olivia Sunderland
This objection was also made by the following people	
1674/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs C Sunderland
1675/2/002/O      Objection	Mr C Sunderland
1677/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Ian Sutcliffe
1678/2/002/O      Objection	J Sutcliffe
1679/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Roy Sutcliffe
1682/2/002/O      Objection	Leslie Sykes
1385/2/002/O      Objection	Enid A Taylor
1384/2/002/O      Objection	David Taylor
1683/2/002/O      Objection	Bernard Taylor
1684/2/002/O      Objection	Charlotte Taylor
1685/2/002/O      Objection	Helen Taylor
1686/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Alan Teague
1687/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Teague
1688/2/002/O      Objection	Holly Teague
1689/2/002/O      Objection	Sally Teague
1690/2/002/O      Objection	J D Theakstone
1691/2/002/O      Objection	W H Theakstone
1693/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Thomas
1692/2/002/O      Objection	A Thomas
1694/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Gareth Thomas
1695/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Thompson
1696/2/002/O      Objection	J Thompson
1386/2/002/O      Objection	S Timmins
0661/2/004/O      Objection	Susan Travis
1697/2/002/O      Objection	D Travis
0658/2/004/O      Objection	Mrs G Travis
1698/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Phillip Travis
1700/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P Trueman
1701/2/002/O      Objection	R B Trueman
1702/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Turner
1703/2/002/O      Objection	Ruth Turner
0655/2/002/O      Objection	Barry Ulyatt
0654/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Ulyatt

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1704/2/002/O      Objection	Yvonne Unsworth
This objection was also made by the following people	
1705/2/002/O      Objection	Catherine Varnom
1387/2/002/O      Objection	Claire Walker
1388/2/002/O      Objection	Ted Walker
1706/2/002/O      Objection	E R Walker
1708/2/002/O      Objection	J S Walsh
1707/2/002/O      Objection	J Walsh
1709/2/002/O      Objection	B R Walton
1710/2/002/O      Objection	Pauline Walton
1389/2/002/O      Objection	Matthew Warburton
1712/2/002/O      Objection	Nicholas Ward
1711/2/002/O      Objection	Alistair Ward
1713/2/002/O      Objection	W Watson
1390/2/002/O      Objection	J.L Watt
1942/2/002/O      Objection	Janet Watts
1391/2/002/O      Objection	Eric W Watts
1715/2/002/O      Objection	Alistair Watts
1716/2/002/O      Objection	Norman Webb
1717/2/002/O      Objection	Joyce Webb
1718/2/002/O      Objection	Angela Webster
1719/2/002/O      Objection	Henry Webster
0639/2/004/O      Objection	Peter Webster
1720/2/002/O      Objection	K Weigh
1721/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Weigh
1722/2/002/O      Objection	F.K & H.R Wellens
1723/2/002/O      Objection	Amy Wells
1725/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs L C Whitaker
1724/2/002/O      Objection	A & J Whitmore
1726/2/002/O      Objection	Harrison Whittaker
1727/2/002/O      Objection	Patricia Whittaker
1392/2/002/O      Objection	Christine Widdall
1728/2/002/O      Objection	John A Widdall
1729/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs Wigley
1393/2/002/O      Objection	Kathryn Wilcox

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0677/2/004/O      Objection	Mr Eric Wild
This objection was also made by the following people	
1394/2/002/O      Objection	Sandra Wilkinson
1395/2/002/O      Objection	Edith Wilson
1730/2/002/O      Objection	Gemma Wilson
1731/2/002/O      Objection	Kate Wilson
1732/2/002/O      Objection	Katy Wilson
1733/2/002/O      Objection	Robert A Wilson
1734/2/002/O      Objection	R & K Winterbottom
1735/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P A Winterburn
1396/2/002/O      Objection	Doris Wood
1736/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Dennis Wood
1738/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Jack Wood
1397/2/002/O      Objection	Phaedra Woods
1739/2/002/O      Objection	M C Woolley
1740/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Wooton
0668/2/004/O      Objection	Bernard Wright
1741/2/002/O      Objection	Alastair Wright
1742/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Linda Wright
1743/2/002/O      Objection	Mr R I Wright
1840/2/003/O      Objection	Roy Bardsley
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in greenbelt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.	
0112/2/018/O      Objection	Mr G Bayley
Joint Case	Delete the areas of search for wind developments map. The policy is flawed. It should be more favourable to development outside the search area.
0786/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs J. Harrop
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.	
1902/2/001/O      Objection	Oliver Williams

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Object to wind farms. Joint petition submitted with Eleanor Hague and signed by forty-six people.
1901/2/001/O	Eleanor Hague Objection Object to wind farms. Joint petition submitted with Oliver Williams and signed by forty-six people.
1231/2/001/O	Mr G.H. Tibbot Objection Objects to area of search for wind developments in Saddleworth. Environmental return would be minimal. Potential danger of ice on blades being projected and injuring members of public.
0481/2/003/O	Cllr A.J. Dillon Objection Objects to areas identified on the areas of search for wind developments map, particularly in Shaw. Concerned about impacts on skyline and aviation routes. Dunwood Park site concerned about highways and residential impacts.
1882/2/001/O	J and M Quinn Objection Objects to areas of search for wind development identifying Shaw/Crompton Moor due to impacts on recreational activities, skyline, residential properties and wildlife. Redraw the Proposals Map.
1885/2/001/O	Tameside MBC Objection Objects to inclusion of areas on the border with Tameside near Hartshead Pike and Quick Edge, Mossley on the areas of search for wind development map due to impacts on visual, landscape and listed buildings.
1880/2/001/O Joint Case	Dr S P Rothery Objection Objects to land-based wind power schemes.
1843/2/001/O	Ann Walker Objection Objects to policy on wind developments which is seen to send out positive message to developers to site wind farms in Saddleworth area.
1842/2/001/O	Miss Helen McKerracher Objection Objects to policy on wind farms, particularly their location in Saddleworth area or where the green belt would be violated.
1890/2/001/O	Kathleen Watson Objection Objects to Saddleworth being identified as an area suitable for wind developments. Wind farms are intrusive visually and a noise pollutant. Remove the designation from Saddleworth.
1233/2/001/O	Cllr Ann Wingate Objection

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Cllr P Dillon
0519/2/003/O	Cllr P Dillon
0368/2/006/O	Dr David Atherton
0177/2/007/O	David Chadderton
1884/2/001/O	Mr & Mrs K Knight
0091/2/005/O	Thornham Area Neighbourhood Council
0754/2/002/O	Mrs S Andrew
1891/2/001/O	Ann Thorne
1889/2/001/O	Lawrence Pleszak
1881/2/001/O	Regina A Reeves
0040/2/031/O	Saddleworth Parish Council
Joint Case	Agent : Eagland Planning Associates

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Partially maintains original objection, but objects to lack of detail required for the environmental statement on noise and historical/archaeological interests. Refer to visual amenity in Green Belt and require six months testing for wind resources.
1899/2/005/O	Racefield Management Company Ltd
	Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
This objection was also made by the following people	
0974/2/002/O	Mr Lionel Gregory
Joint Case	
1140/2/002/O	B Manning
	Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
This objection was also made by the following people	
1265/2/002/O	Carol Rhodes
1235/2/001/O	Nigel Watson
	Saddleworth should not be designated as a wind farm development area. Visually intrusive and noisy. Unsited to Saddleworth, a popular tourist spot. Refer to map 13/18
1887/2/001/O	United Utilities Green Energy Ltd
	Agent : Atkins Planning Consultants
	Supports the areas of search for wind developments and the principle of policy, but reference should be made to landscape character, Draft PPS22 and national sustainability objectives, as well as to the benefits of renewable energy projects.
1883/2/002/S	Andrew Pennington
	Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.
1888/2/001/S	Dominic Wall
	Supports the proposal to allow development of wind farms on the areas identified on the Proposals Map.
0726/2/006/S	Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU)
	Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc
	Welcomes amendments to Policy NR3.2 permitting wind developments and paragraphs 13.81 to 13.91. Also welcome deletion of supporting policy criteria c) to e).

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Castleshaw Valley, Delph</b>	
1896/2/001/O      Objection	Saddleworth Hotel
Agent : Chorlton Planning	
Map Change Ref No. 13/18. Objects to inclusion of parts of the Castleshaw Valley map on the areas of search for wind developments map.	
<b>Tandle Hills Country Park</b>	
1229/2/001/O      Objection	Alan Reeves
Joint Case	
Tandle Hills country park was bequeathed to people of Royton for leisure, not commercial uses. Wind development would disrupt environment and distract drivers on A627(M). Reference map 13/18	
<b>NR3.2 (d)</b>	
0112/2/019/O      Objection	Mr G Bayley
Joint Case	
Amend NR3.2 (d) to ensure that proposed development would be at least 1.5 km from any sensitive existing land use, especially housing.	
<b>NR3.2 13.81</b>	
1227/2/001/O      Objection	Martin Arthur
Area of search is too close to Dovestone. Boundary should be drawn back to more remote areas where turbines would not be seen from valley areas. Turbines close to Dovestone would ruin views attractive recreational area.	
0243/2/019/O      Objection	Alan Roughley
Restore phrase "and nature conservation" to protect sites designated for their special biological interest from damage which wind farm would cause.	
0038/2/056/O      Objection	Greater Manchester Ecology Unit
Unit generally finds the proposed changes acceptable, but objects to deletion of words "and nature conservation" from paragraph 13.81 and objects to the inclusion of SBIs within the areas of search for wind development.	
<b>Crompton, Dunwood and Tandle Hill</b>	
1897/2/001/O      Objection	AT & AF Riley
Object to the removal of the phrase "and nature conservation". No reference is made to impacts on wildlife or habitat loss. Importance of "no net loss of habitat" should be addressed when dealing with developments.	



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>NR3.2 13.81-13.91</b> 1616/2/007/O	The National Trust
Objection Need an appropriate balance between renewable energy sources and environmental protection. Areas of search map is without basis, and fails to take account of landscape character and Peak National Park. Delete map and prepare SPG.	
<b>NR3.2 13.82</b> 0243/2/020/O	Alan Roughley
Objection 13.82 should state that the applicant will be expected to have collected 12 months average wind speed data at proposed site using an anemometer of same height as pillar of proposed turbine. Average must exceed 7.5m/sec.	
0112/2/020/O Joint Case	Mr G Bayley
Objection Areas of search map is contrary to Government policy. Delete the paragraph.	
0042/2/010/S	Shaw & Crompton Parish Council
Support Parish Council has no objections to the areas of search in principle, however due to the location of the sites any developments would have to be undertaken very sensitively with particular regard to visual impact.	
0243/2/026/O	Alan Roughley
Objection Withdraw areas of search maps as they are based on computerised estimates of wind speeds and take no account of the "valuable and sensitive" aspects of the environment. Smaller developments should also meet same criteria.	
<b>NR3.2 13.85</b> 1897/2/002/O	AT & AF Riley
Objection Object to the removal of statements in paragraph 13.85, due to their removal allowing developers far too much freedom of action in relation to the siting, scale and quantity of wind farms.	
0243/2/021/O	Alan Roughley
Objection Planning permission for pylons ancillary to turbines should be sought at the same time as the turbine application as they can themselves cause a major intrusion into green belt areas.	
<b>NR3.2 13.85 &amp; 13.87</b> 0165/2/006/O	Cllr Brian Lord
Objection	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Delete area of search map. Retain criteria d. but increase distance to at least half a mile. Retain last sentence in para 13.85. Para 13.87, and section generally, is too subjective. Should adopt similar policy to neighbouring authorities.
<b>NR3.2 13.86</b> 0112/2/021/O Joint Case	Mr G Bayley
	Amend paragraph so that developments will not be permitted within the Green Belt or adjacent to and detrimental to the Green Belt.
1900/2/003/O	Ramblers' Association
	Areas of search map is misleading on suitability of development locations by ignoring other relevant factors, i.e. sensitivity of a site. Require 12 months wind readings. Offer protection to areas where birds hunt and feed. Amend policy and text.
1840/2/004/O	Roy Bardsley
	Delete first two sentences in para 13.86. Possible minute contribution to reducing global carbon emissions should not in itself be used to justify the impact on the landscape arising from wind turbine developments.
0243/2/022/O	Alan Roughley
	Paragraph is meaningless - all its elements have been stated elsewhere. Council should not be commenting on the "desirability" of producing energy from renewable sources. Wind power unreliable - requires back up from other sources.
<b>NR3.2 13.87</b> 0243/2/024/O	Alan Roughley
	Delete para 13.87 - repetition of statements using slightly different wording weakens them.
1897/2/003/O	AT & AF Riley
	Object to lack of information on who will "weigh" the impact against the "desirability of producing energy from a clean, renewable source". Decisions need to be made by independent body in consultation with nature conservation bodies and made public.
1893/2/002/O	Mrs S R Lomax
	Policy is completely unacceptable for reasons relating to landscape, visibility, Green Belt and must have the loophole removed that may permit . Areas of search for wind developments map is seriously flawed and must be redrawn.
0836/2/002/O	L. Ainsley

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.	
This objection was also made by the following people	
0838/2/002/O      Objection	C. Ainsley
0839/2/002/O      Objection	Ian Ainsley
0840/2/002/O      Objection	Nelson Ainsley
0841/2/002/O      Objection	Georgina Allen
0842/2/002/O      Objection	Sheila Allen
0843/2/002/O      Objection	Suzanne Allen
0844/2/002/O      Objection	James Allen
0845/2/002/O      Objection	Mark Ashworth
0846/2/002/O      Objection	M. Atkins
0847/2/002/O      Objection	John Attree
Joint Case	
0848/2/002/O      Objection	Gregory Backhouse
0849/2/002/O      Objection	S. Bailey
0851/2/002/O      Objection	Jack Ball
0850/2/002/O      Objection	S. Ball
0852/2/002/O      Objection	Nathan Barber
0853/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Barnes
0854/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Ray Barnes
0855/2/002/O      Objection	Martin Barton
0856/2/002/O      Objection	Jane Bassett
0857/2/002/O      Objection	Colin Baxter
0858/2/002/O      Objection	A.S. Bentley
0859/2/002/O      Objection	J. Bentley
0860/2/002/O      Objection	Ben Bethel
0861/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Joan Bolton
0862/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M. Boone
0863/2/002/O      Objection	Sharron Bostock
0864/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Bostock
0865/2/002/O      Objection	K.H. Boulter
0866/2/002/O      Objection	Carl Bowker
0867/2/002/O      Objection	Carol Braithwaite
0868/2/002/O      Objection	Mr A Brereton

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0879/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Brereton
This objection was also made by the following people	
0905/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Bridges
0914/2/002/O      Objection	S Brownlee
0915/2/002/O      Objection	H B Buckley
0912/2/002/O      Objection	Jimmy Burns
0908/2/002/O      Objection	B Butterworth
0913/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Butterworth
0870/2/002/O      Objection	J Cartledge
0869/2/002/O      Objection	A Cartledge
0871/2/002/O      Objection	R Castle
0872/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew R Chadwick
0873/2/002/O      Objection	Janet Clarke
0874/2/002/O      Objection	John Cleary
0881/2/002/O      Objection	Dawn Clynes
0876/2/002/O      Objection	Chris Cordwell
0875/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Cordwell
0877/2/002/O      Objection	Marie Cordwell
0878/2/002/O      Objection	Patrick Cordwell
0882/2/002/O      Objection	Mr & Mrs E Cork
0883/2/002/O      Objection	Ben Crowther
0884/2/002/O      Objection	Denise Crowther
0885/2/002/O      Objection	Ian Crowther
0886/2/002/O      Objection	Pauline Crowther
0888/2/002/O      Objection	John H Crowther
0889/2/002/O      Objection	Ged Daly
0890/2/002/O      Objection	Catherine Daly
0892/2/002/O      Objection	Steven Davies
0891/2/002/O      Objection	G W Davis
0893/2/002/O      Objection	B J Deaville
0894/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Howard Debble
0895/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A Delaney
0896/2/002/O      Objection	Joyce Earle
0897/2/002/O      Objection	M A Edwards
0898/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J England

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0899/2/002/O      Objection	Lucy England
This objection was also made by the following people	
0900/2/002/O      Objection	Mr P England
0901/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs D Evans
0902/2/002/O      Objection	Mr D Evans
0904/2/002/O      Objection	Stuart Evans
0903/2/002/O      Objection	Julie Evans
0906/2/002/O      Objection	Mr D Fairclough
0907/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P R Fairclough
0926/2/002/O      Objection	Ms Susan Fogerty
0928/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Carlo Fontanarosa
0929/2/002/O      Objection	Mr R Ford
0930/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Ford
0931/2/002/O      Objection	Ms Sheila France
0932/2/002/O      Objection	H A Freear
0933/2/002/O      Objection	Ms Marta Gallastegui
0934/2/002/O      Objection	C Gardner
0953/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Garratt
0956/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Geldart
0957/2/002/O      Objection	Mr P Gidley
0958/2/002/O      Objection	Mr S Gidley
0960/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Julie Gittens
0961/2/002/O      Objection	Mr F Gouldbourn
0963/2/002/O      Objection	Neil Graham
0964/2/002/O      Objection	Matthew Graham
0966/2/002/O      Objection	Shirley Graham
0968/2/002/O      Objection	Elizabeth Green
0969/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Gregory
0977/2/002/O      Objection	H Hague
0978/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Hall
0979/2/002/O      Objection	Harry Hanson
0982/2/002/O      Objection	Vera Hanson
0984/2/002/O      Objection	James Harris
0985/2/002/O      Objection	Imelda K Harris
0986/2/002/O      Objection	Stephen Hartwell

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
0988/2/002/O      Objection	Anne Hayes
This objection was also made by the following people	
0989/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Hebburn
0990/2/002/O      Objection	Mr T W Hebburn
0991/2/002/O      Objection	Jimmy Hibbert
1107/2/002/O      Objection	Angela Hirst
1117/2/002/O      Objection	Mr John Hirst
1051/2/002/O      Objection	Michael Hobson
1052/2/002/O      Objection	Kristian Holt
1053/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Lisa Holt
1054/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Holt
1055/2/002/O      Objection	Tony Horrocks
1056/2/002/O      Objection	B Hughes
1057/2/002/O      Objection	J Hughes
1058/2/002/O      Objection	Rifle Range
1059/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs B Hutchinson
Joint Case	
1060/2/002/O      Objection	Ann Hynes
1061/2/002/O      Objection	Francis Ingham
1062/2/002/O      Objection	Hazel Ivell
1063/2/002/O      Objection	Stuart Ivell
1064/2/002/O      Objection	Hedley Jackson
1065/2/002/O      Objection	M Jackson
1066/2/002/O      Objection	Neil Jackson
1067/2/002/O      Objection	Kate Jelen
1073/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Jelton
1072/2/002/O      Objection	Margaret Jepson
1068/2/002/O      Objection	P Johnson
1069/2/002/O      Objection	Mr S Johnson
1070/2/002/O      Objection	Andy Jones
1071/2/002/O      Objection	Jack Jones
1084/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Betty Kay
1086/2/002/O      Objection	David Kay
1088/2/002/O      Objection	Michelle Kay
1089/2/002/O      Objection	Peter Kay

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
1090/2/002/O      Objection	W Kay
This objection was also made by the following people	
1092/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs K Keenan
1091/2/002/O      Objection	Anthony Keenan
1105/2/002/O      Objection	Elaine Kershaw
1108/2/002/O      Objection	Tracy Kewn
1110/2/002/O      Objection	Tahir Khan
1111/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs V A Killion
1112/2/002/O      Objection	P A Kirk
1114/2/002/O      Objection	June Knight
1116/2/002/O      Objection	Robert Knight
1118/2/002/O      Objection	Samantha Knight
1119/2/002/O      Objection	Andrew Langan
1120/2/002/O      Objection	T Langan
1122/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A Langan
1123/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Langan
1125/2/002/O      Objection	Jennifer Lee
1126/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Lee
1172/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs Ann Lees
1177/2/002/O      Objection	D Lees
1127/2/002/O      Objection	Lees
1150/2/002/O      Objection	Mr M Lees
1148/2/002/O      Objection	Mr David Litherland
1133/2/002/O      Objection	E Lowe
1134/2/002/O      Objection	M MacDonald
1137/2/002/O      Objection	Lilian Maders
1138/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs D Maguire
1139/2/002/O      Objection	Mr J Maguire
1141/2/002/O      Objection	North Chadderton Social & Bowling Club
1143/2/002/O      Objection	James S Marsh
1144/2/002/O      Objection	Mr Michael Martin
1202/2/002/O      Objection	Haydn Mason
1146/2/002/O      Objection	Joan Mason
1151/2/002/O      Objection	Alison McDonal
1152/2/002/O      Objection	Ben McDonal

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1153/2/002/O      Objection	D J McDonal
This objection was also made by the following people	
0955/2/002/O      Objection	Gaynor Mellor
1158/2/002/O      Objection	Jacqueline Milhench
1155/2/002/O      Objection	L W Miller
Joint Case	
1156/2/002/O      Objection	Miller Crompton Limited
1154/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Miller
Joint Case	
1161/2/002/O      Objection	Steve Mooney
1162/2/002/O      Objection	Mr N Moorhouse
1160/2/002/O      Objection	B Morgan
1136/2/002/O      Objection	C Morgan
1175/2/002/O      Objection	D Mottley
1173/2/002/O      Objection	Mr J Mottram
1176/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Murphy
1178/2/002/O      Objection	E Murphy
1180/2/002/O      Objection	Ray Murphy
1182/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Murphy
1205/2/002/O      Objection	Linda Newman
1238/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs P Newport
1215/2/002/O      Objection	David Norbury
1214/2/002/O      Objection	D Norton
1222/2/002/O      Objection	Liz O'Neill
1217/2/002/O      Objection	Peter O'Neill
1242/2/002/O      Objection	Mr K Parker
1244/2/002/O      Objection	Roger Parris
1243/2/002/O      Objection	D Partington
1249/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Peel
1247/2/002/O      Objection	Dr A T Peel
1250/2/002/O      Objection	J D Peet
1251/2/002/O      Objection	Joan Peet
1253/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs C Pickering
1254/2/002/O      Objection	Paul Pilling
1255/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs D Platt



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1256/2/002/O      Objection	Mary Power
This objection was also made by the following people	
1259/2/002/O      Objection	Tony Price
1258/2/002/O      Objection	Mr J Price
1262/2/002/O      Objection	Mr J Ramsbottom
1263/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs S Ramsbottom
1324/2/002/O      Objection	A Rhodes
1266/2/002/O      Objection	M Rhodes
1264/2/002/O      Objection	David Rhodes
1326/2/002/O      Objection	R Robertson
1329/2/002/O      Objection	John Roe
1331/2/002/O      Objection	Pat Roe
1328/2/002/O      Objection	Kathy Roe
1327/2/002/O      Objection	J D Roe
1334/2/002/O      Objection	Eric Saville
1336/2/002/O      Objection	J M Scholes
1338/2/002/O      Objection	S Simms
1337/2/002/O      Objection	M Simms
1339/2/002/O      Objection	Annie Smith
1340/2/002/O      Objection	Kevin Smith
1342/2/001/O      Objection	Barry Spence
1345/2/002/O      Objection	Howard Spinks
1347/2/002/O      Objection	Susan Stahr
1348/2/002/O      Objection	M Stone
1349/2/002/O      Objection	Maureen Stvzaker
1351/2/002/O      Objection	C Sweeney
1352/2/002/O      Objection	Marjorie Sykes
1360/2/002/O      Objection	Peter Sykes
1404/2/002/O      Objection	Mr T G Taylor
1406/2/002/O      Objection	W Taylor
1402/2/002/O      Objection	Jean Taylor
1400/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J Taylor
1401/2/002/O      Objection	M Taylor
1407/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs D Thomas
1408/2/002/O      Objection	Mr E Thomas

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
1426/2/002/O      Objection	Alan Thorpe
This objection was also made by the following people	
1428/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs G Thorpe
1440/2/002/O      Objection	B Viner
1439/2/002/O      Objection	D Viner
1442/2/002/O      Objection	E Wadsworth
1443/2/002/O      Objection	Angela Walker
1135/2/002/O      Objection	Lowe Walter
1444/2/002/O      Objection	W Watson
1445/2/002/O      Objection	Moraig Watt
1447/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs S E Watts
1474/2/002/O      Objection	Vera Whitehead
1475/2/002/O      Objection	J Whitworth
1477/2/002/O      Objection	P Whitworth
1480/2/002/O      Objection	Mark Whyatt
1498/2/002/O      Objection	Victoria P Wild
1489/2/002/O      Objection	J Wild
1490/2/002/O      Objection	Miss Jean Wild
1487/2/002/O      Objection	G Wild
1493/2/002/O      Objection	Pat Wild
1495/2/002/O      Objection	Rodney Wild
1497/2/002/O      Objection	Ross Wild
1492/2/002/O      Objection	P Wild
1482/2/002/O      Objection	A Wild
1483/2/002/O      Objection	Alice Wild
1485/2/002/O      Objection	Alison Wild
1486/2/002/O      Objection	Dean Wild
1500/2/002/O      Objection	M F Wilson
1502/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs J B Wilson
1505/2/002/O      Objection	Mr R T Wilson
1506/2/002/O      Objection	Dawn Wright
1508/2/002/O      Objection	Myra Yewdale
1510/2/002/O      Objection	E Young
0112/2/022/O      Objection	Mr G Bayley
Joint Case	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<p>Wind developments should only be permitted in non Green Belt areas. Wind developments would be visible from adjacent local authority areas and Peak National Park. Proposals in Oldham would impact on residents of other areas. Delete paragraph.</p>	
<p><b>NR3.2 13.88</b> 0243/2/015/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Add to para 13.88 requirement for developers to pay 7.5% of sales income per year into trust fund to ensure site restoration can be financed at end of development's commercial life. (in case of company going out of business)</p>	<p>Alan Roughley</p>
<p>0105/2/011/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Policy wording is open to interpretation. Paragraph 13.88 appears incomplete. Amend wording of policy and reasoned justification.</p>	<p>Dobcross Village Community</p>
<p><b>NR3.2 18.82</b> 0105/2/010/O</p> <p>Objection (FD Ref: 0105/1/008/O)</p> <p>Areas of search for wind developments map includes areas of recognised landscape value including Castleshaw Valley, Diggle Valley, land round Saddleworth Church and Dovestones. Exclude areas of recognised visual landscape value from the map.</p>	<p>Dobcross Village Community</p>
<p><b>NR3.2 d.</b> 0543/2/022/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Objects to the deletion of criterion (d) of the original policy. Wants to have the distance threshold re-instated and increased to 1.5 km from houses and significant buildings.</p>	<p>Denshaw Community Association</p>
<p><b>NR3.2, Map 13/18</b> 1937/2/005/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>In considering the location of any proposed wind generators it is vital to consider the visual impact which will be evident from the Pennine Way. The preservation of its scenic integrity should be included in the Council's criteria.</p>	<p>Barbara C Sheard</p>
<p><b>NR3.3</b> 0165/2/005/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Considers 10% is not high enough. A realistic total which requires energy generation is required.</p>	<p>Cllr Brian Lord</p>
<p>0040/2/032/O</p> <p>Objection</p> <p>Joint Case</p>	<p>Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates</p>

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
	Objects that the policy only applies to major developments and not those of nine or fewer properties. Passive solar designs could be applied to most forms of new development as a means of reducing reliance on wind developments in Saddleworth.
0042/2/011/O	Shaw & Crompton Parish Council Parish Council wants the target for renewable energy to be 20% and not 10%.
1661/2/005/S	Phillida Shipp Supports the addition of Policy NR3.3 as it is important to reduce CO2 output and the policy will help achieve that.
1883/2/003/S	Andrew Pennington Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.
1777/2/008/O	Delph Community Association The 10% target for renewable energy sources is not challenging enough. Increase the figure to 20%.
0726/2/007/S	Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU) Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc Welcomes the inclusion of Policy NR3.3 and paragraphs 13.92 to 13.95.
1661/2/006/O	Phillida Shipp Whilst supporting the purpose of this section, the percentage of energy requirements from renewable sources should be increased from 10% to 25%.
<b>NR3.3 13.92</b> 0112/2/023/O	Mr G Bayley Joint Case Objects to the first sentence of paragraph 13.92. The link between CO2 emissions and climate change is not proven. Amend first sentence of paragraph to read: "The Council is committed to encouraging a reduction in carbon dioxide."
<b>NR3.3 13.92/.94/.95.</b> 0243/2/023/O	Alan Roughley Objection Policy should allow the 10% equivalent to be saved by the installation of high levels of insulation in new developments. Could enable some developments to be viable compared to irregular energy production from renewables.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>NR3.3 13.92-13.94</b> 0828/2/034/O	Objection Saddleworth Civic Trust The 10% target for renewable energy sources is not challenging enough. Increase the figure to 20%.
<b>NR3.3 13.95</b> 0021/2/094/O	Objection Government Office for the North West Paragraph 13.95 should be moved from the RJ to the end of the policy in order to recognise that exceptions may be appropriate in certain circumstances.
<b>NR4.1</b> 0021/2/103/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/048/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/106/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/049/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws.
<b>NR4.2 13.102</b> 0021/2/107/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/050/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdraws. (Was paragraph 13.74, now 13.102)
<b>NR4.3</b> 0038/2/053/S	Support (FD Ref: 0038/1/032/O) Greater Manchester Ecology Unit CW
0149/2/029/S	Support English Nature English Natures welcomes and supports the addition of sub-paragraph (v) to Policy NR4.3(e) which refers to species protected by law and their habitats.
<b>Original NR3.1 Now Deleted</b> 0021/2/108/S	Support (FD Ref: 0021/1/045/O) Government Office for the North West Conditionally withdrawn.

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

**Policy, Paragraph, Site**

**Name**

---



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Late Transport</b>	
<b>T1.1 4.11</b> 1939/2/005/O	Objection Strategic Railway Authority New station at Diggle is dependent upon the restoration of the 4 tracks through the Standedge tunnel. No further work is being taken on this proposal by GMPTE until this is completed. Refer to SRA involvement in the station's proposals.
<b>T1.1 c. Diggle station</b>	
1939/2/001/S	Support Strategic Railway Authority The continuing reference to this station proposal is supported in the long term.
<b>T2.3</b> 1939/2/002/O	Objection Strategic Railway Authority Refers to First Deposit Draft Policy T2.3 and paragraph 4.47: Objects to deletion of this policy. Wants the original policy re-instated.
<b>T3.3</b> 1939/2/004/O	Objection Strategic Railway Authority Support revised text in paragraph 4.55. However, the policy does not reflect the fact that parking levels at rail stations should be determined by operators, Network Rail and SRA. Additional criterion suggested.



**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Late</b>	
<b>Business, Industry and the Local Economy</b>	
<b>B1.7</b>	
1939/2/003/O	Strategic Railway Authority
Objection	
The additional text referring to potential connection to rail network is welcomed. However, policy should be more supportive of the movement of goods by rail rather than by road. Additional wording suggested.	

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Late Open Environment</b>	
<b>OE1.10</b> 0336/2/002/S	Support (FD Ref: 0336/1/001/O) Conditionally Withdraws.
	MrSM&Mrs C Durr
<hr/>	
<b>LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft</b>	
0066/2/002/O	Objection (FD Ref: 0066/1/001/O) Wants the land to be protected against future development. Wants land to be Green Belt.
	Bernard Keeley
<hr/>	
<b>OE1.9 Hebron Street</b>	
1934/2/001/O	Objection Map Change Ref No. 5/12. Any future development could adversely affect existing amenities. Merge the land into the existing adjacent Local Green Gap (referred to as `Green Gap Belt` on objection form).
	Mr & Mrs McHugh
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.1</b>	
1933/2/001/O	Objection Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
	Mr A Bond
This objection was also made by the following people	
1929/2/001/O	Objection
	Judith Dawson
1930/2/001/O	Objection
	Steve Dawson
1932/2/001/O	Objection
	Mr N Haughton
1931/2/001/O	Objection
	Mrs M Haughton
<hr/>	
<b>OE2.3</b>	
1919/2/003/O	Objection Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
	Mrs E Ainscough
This objection was also made by the following people	
1910/2/003/O	Objection
	Clive A A Ball

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Late</b>	
1916/2/003/O      Objection	David Brock
This objection was also made by the following people	
1912/2/003/O      Objection	L and M Dean
1915/2/003/O      Objection	Muriel Henry
1920/2/003/O      Objection	Mr D Hobson
1923/2/003/O      Objection	M Hyncica
1925/2/003/O      Objection	Richard Lynch
1918/2/003/O      Objection	John Markham
1908/2/003/O      Objection	Joseph McGladdery
1922/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs M R Robson
1913/2/003/O      Objection	F H Schofield
1914/2/003/O      Objection	Mrs A M Spalding
1921/2/003/O      Objection	Barbara Squirrell
1909/2/003/O      Objection	D & M Taylor
1907/2/003/O      Objection	G Thompson
1906/2/003/O      Objection	Neil Tomlinson
1911/2/003/O      Objection	J and J Vickers
1924/2/003/O      Objection	J and H Waite
1928/2/003/O      Objection	C E Walker
1927/2/003/O      Objection	Iain Weston
1926/2/003/O      Objection	Juliet Williams
1917/2/003/O      Objection	M Wimpenny

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Late</b>	
<b>Conservation of the Historic Environment</b>	
<b>C1.1</b>	
1919/2/004/O	Objection Mrs E Ainscough The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
This objection was also made by the following people	
1910/2/004/O	Objection Clive A A Ball
1916/2/004/O	Objection David Brock
1912/2/004/O	Objection L and M Dean
1915/2/004/O	Objection Muriel Henry
1920/2/004/O	Objection Mr D Hobson
1923/2/004/O	Objection M Hyncica
1925/2/004/O	Objection Richard Lynch
1918/2/004/O	Objection John Markham
1908/2/004/O	Objection Joseph McGladdery
1922/2/004/O	Objection Mrs M R Robson
1913/2/004/O	Objection F H Schofield
1914/2/004/O	Objection Mrs A M Spalding
1921/2/004/O	Objection Barbara Squirrell
1909/2/004/O	Objection D & M Taylor
1907/2/004/O	Objection G Thompson
1906/2/004/O	Objection Neil Tomlinson
1911/2/004/O	Objection J and J Vickers
1924/2/004/O	Objection J and H Waite
1928/2/004/O	Objection C E Walker
1927/2/004/O	Objection Iain Weston
1926/2/004/O	Objection Juliet Williams
1917/2/004/O	Objection M Wimpenny

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

Policy, Paragraph, Site	Name
<b>Late</b>	
<b>Natural Resources and Environment Quality</b>	
<b>NR3.1</b>	
1919/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs E Ainscough
	More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
This objection was also made by the following people	
1910/2/001/O      Objection	Clive A A Ball
1916/2/001/O      Objection	David Brock
1912/2/001/O      Objection	L and M Dean
1915/2/001/O      Objection	Muriel Henry
1920/2/001/O      Objection	Mr D Hobson
1923/2/001/O      Objection	M Hyncica
1925/2/001/O      Objection	Richard Lynch
1918/2/001/O      Objection	John Markham
1908/2/001/O      Objection	Joseph McGladdery
1922/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs M R Robson
1913/2/001/O      Objection	F H Schofield
1914/2/001/O      Objection	Mrs A M Spalding
1921/2/001/O      Objection	Barbara Squirrell
1909/2/001/O      Objection	D & M Taylor
1907/2/001/O      Objection	G Thompson
1906/2/001/O      Objection	Neil Tomlinson
1911/2/001/O      Objection	J and J Vickers
1924/2/001/O      Objection	J and H Waite
1928/2/001/O      Objection	C E Walker
1927/2/001/O      Objection	Iain Weston
1926/2/001/O      Objection	Juliet Williams
1917/2/001/O      Objection	M Wimpenny
<b>NR3.2</b>	
1919/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs E Ainscough

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Late</b>	
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.	
This objection was also made by the following people	
1910/2/002/O      Objection	Clive A A Ball
1916/2/002/O      Objection	David Brock
1912/2/002/O      Objection	L and M Dean
1915/2/002/O      Objection	Muriel Henry
1920/2/002/O      Objection	Mr D Hobson
1923/2/002/O      Objection	M Hyncica
1925/2/002/O      Objection	Richard Lynch
1918/2/002/O      Objection	John Markham
1908/2/002/O      Objection	Joseph McGladdery
1922/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M R Robson
1913/2/002/O      Objection	F H Schofield
1914/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs A M Spalding
1921/2/002/O      Objection	Barbara Squirrell
1909/2/002/O      Objection	D & M Taylor
1907/2/002/O      Objection	G Thompson
1906/2/002/O      Objection	Neil Tomlinson
1911/2/002/O      Objection	J and J Vickers
1924/2/002/O      Objection	J and H Waite
1928/2/002/O      Objection	C E Walker
1927/2/002/O      Objection	Iain Weston
1926/2/002/O      Objection	Juliet Williams
1917/2/002/O      Objection	M Wimpenny
 <b>NR3.2 13.87</b>	
1933/2/002/O      Objection	Mr A Bond
Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.	
This objection was also made by the following people	
1930/2/002/O      Objection	Steve Dawson

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

<b>Policy, Paragraph, Site</b>	<b>Name</b>
<b>Late</b>	
1929/2/002/O      Objection	Judith Dawson
This objection was also made by the following people	
1932/2/002/O      Objection	Mr N Haughton
1931/2/002/O      Objection	Mrs M Haughton

**Schedule of Objections and Representations to  
Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003  
By Policy, Paragraph, Site or Section**

**Policy, Paragraph, Site**

**Name**

---