Schedule of Objections and Representations to Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003 By Name

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs C.S. Abbott

7 Luzley Brook Rd, Royton, Oldham OL2 6SQ

0207/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0207/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Mrs N. Abbott

38 Netherhouse Road, Higher Crompton, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7HY

0193/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0193/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

A.R. Ackroyd

25 Dorset Avenue, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5PL

0909/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

0909/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

0909/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

0909/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr P Ackroyd

2 Wham Cottage, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0943/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0943/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0943/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0943/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Heidy Ackroyd

2 Wham Cottage, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5TZ

0941/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0941/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0941/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0941/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Susan Ackroyd

25 Dorset Avenue, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5PL

0910/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0910/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0910/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0910/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Adamson

98 Oldham Road, Grasscroft, Saddleworth, Oldham OL4 4HU

0601/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0601/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Objects to any development due to possibility of flooding of properties down hill from site.

Adept Development & Management Ltd Agent : Cordingleys

Tamewater Mill, Delph New Road, Dobcross, Saddleworth, Oldham OL3 5BE

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0229/2/003/O Objection	B2.1 PEZ28 Tamewater Mill / Land adjacent to Mill Map Change No 5/25. PEZ boundary changes are incorrect and inappropriate. Amend boundaries to reflect current business operations. Allow residential uses on the PEZ in line with recent Government policy statements.
C. Ainsley	
45 Mills Hill Road, Middleton, Manchester	
0838/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0838/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Ian Ainsley	
12 Canisp Close, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0NZ	
0839/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0839/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
L. Ainsley	
1026 Middleton Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9RZ	
0836/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0836/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Nelson Ainsley	
32 Nowell Court, Middleton, Manchester M24 6EY	
0840/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0840/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mr D Alcock	
21 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX	
0911/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0911/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0911/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0911/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr & Mrs D Aldred

Lyndhurst, Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JQ

1814/2/001/O B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf

Objection

Joint Case

A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. Retain the mill. Remove reference to retail or limit the size.

S. E. Alexander

5 Range Lane, Denshaw, OL3 5R2

0916/2/001/O NR3.1

Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

0916/2/002/O NR3.2

Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

0916/2/003/O OE2.3

Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

0916/2/004/O C1.1

Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jean Alford

9 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham

0922/2/001/O NR3.1

Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0922/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0922/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0922/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Phillip Alford

9 Clifton Holm, Delph, Saddleworth

0918/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0918/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0918/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0918/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Ali

11 Armitage Close, Oldham, OL8 4LG

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1021/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1021/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1021/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1021/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr & Mrs G Allen

The Old Lodge, Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham

0923/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0923/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0923/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0923/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Georgina Allen

448 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6TS

0841/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0841/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

James Allen

7 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD

0844/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0844/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Sheila Allen

7 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD

0842/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0842/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Suzanne Allen

55 Chetwyn Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UJ

0843/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0843/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

L A Allsopp

15 Lovers Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4DP

1761/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mrs S Andrew

19 St. Mary's Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DT

0754/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Objects to wind developments in Saddleworth.

Mr A.H Armson

5 Hull Mill Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UR

0927/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0927/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0927/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0927/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Martin Arthur	
7 Oaklands Park, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4JY	
1227/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 13.81 Area of search is too close to Dovestone. Boundary should be drawn back to more remote areas where turbines would not be seen from valley areas. Turbines close to Dovestone would ruin views attractive recreational area.
1227/2/002/O Objection	OE1.8 11.43 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Site should be landscaped to form parkland extension to Peak National Park.
1227/2/003/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Retail development would adversely affect local shopping facilities in Greenfield centre. Remove reference to retail development from the site designation.
John Arthurs	
The Old Toll House, 7 Thurstons, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5LR	
0925/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0925/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0925/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0925/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Stephen M Ashurst

The Moorlands, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

0924/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0924/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0924/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0924/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mark Ashworth

5 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0845/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0845/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Michael B Ashworth

114 Wallhill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH

0935/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0935/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0935/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0935/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Debra Aspinall

Moor Cottage, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

0936/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0936/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0936/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0936/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr David Atherton

54A Manchester Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HH

0368/2/006/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to the emphasis only being on wind farms and the areas of search map.
0368/2/007/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Housing is not main priority. Industrial component has to be real. Ensure all components of an approved application are developed, not just housing element. Include something to benefit the village that has a dearth of social and other amenities.
0368/2/008/O Objection	OE1.8 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Policy is too vague and lacks imagination for development of this sensitive site. Need to provide facilities for local people.

M. Atkins

9 Thorp View, Oozewood, Royton OL2 5XT

0846/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0846/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

John Attree

33 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

0847/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0847/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

James M Auld

Broadhead, Delph, Oldham OL3 5LZ

0937/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0937/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0937/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0937/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Austin Timber Company Ltd (ref 4110) Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd

0109/2/010/O Objection FD Ref: 0109/1/002/O Joint Case	B2.2 Policy is too restrictive. Floorspace threshold and marketing requirements are unjustified. Range of uses is unspecified. UDP fails to recognise that some buildings are unsuited for modern business operations. (5.26-5.29)
0109/2/011/O Objection FD Ref: 0109/1/001/O Joint Case	H1 Objection to various aspects of the policy, including assumptions relating to clearance, exclusion of vacant dwellings and lack of commitment to a timetable for the publication of annual reports, and the publication of SPG's.

G & H.J Austin

27 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham Ol3 5UW

0940/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0940/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0940/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0940/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Gregory Backhouse

18 Turf Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 6BZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0848/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0848/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

BAE Systems Properties Ltd Agent : Fuller Peiser

Westcott Venture Park, Westcott, Aylesbury, Buckinghamshire HP18 0NP

0236/2/006/O Objection FD Ref: 0236/1/003/O	R1 Lancaster Sports and Social Club, Chadderton Change does not address the issue of redevelopment opportunities on sites not valuable to the community but allocated. There has been no consideration regarding the 'value' of this site, therefore it should not be protected as open space.
0236/2/007/O Objection	R1.1 Lancaster Sports and Social Club, Chadderton The need for applicant to demonstrate, through an independent assessment, that a site is not valued by the community. Facilities such as the Lancaster Sports and Social Club, that are not valued by the public, should be not be allocated open space.

Emma Bagshaw

11 Riverbank, Dobcross, Oldham

0939/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0939/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0939/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0939/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss C. Bailey

271 Rochdale Road, High Crompton, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7HT

0330/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0330/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr & Mrs Bailey

The Orchard, 19 Park Lane, Greenfield OL3 7DX

1762/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

S. Bailey

17 Fernlea Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QH

0849/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0849/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Anthony J Baker

Saddleworth Hotel, Delph, Oldham OL3 5LX

0938/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0938/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0938/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0938/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Scott Baker

The Saddleworth Hotel, Huddersfield Road, Delph, Oldham

0942/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0942/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0942/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0942/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs B Bakes

40 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1847/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt/ Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft, due to limited access to the land, loss of recreation and birdlife.
H & J Ball	
Horest Farm, Horest lane, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SU	
0944/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0944/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0944/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0944/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Jack Ball	
78 Chadderton Park Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0QB	
0851/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0851/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

S. Ball

87 Cathedral Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RG

0850/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0850/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs Barber

3 Summerhill View, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5TB

0945/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0945/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0945/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0945/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Nathan Barber

1179 Middleton Road, West Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0NN

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0852/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0852/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Roy Bardsley

52 Church Road, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6EJ

1840/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 13.77 The contribution of turbines to the reduction in global carbon emissions does not constitute the very special circumstances that would justify inappropirate development in the green belt.
1840/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP.
1840/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in greenbelt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1840/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.86 Delete first two sentences in para 13.86. Possible minute contribution to reducing global carbon emmisions should not in itself be used to justify the impact on the landscape arising from wind turbine developments.
1840/2/005/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally or regionally designated sites.

A. Barlow

373 Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6NZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0364/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0364/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

C Barnes

Hame, Buckstones Road, Oldham OL1 4SU

0948/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0948/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0948/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0948/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J & P Barnes

9 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SH

0946/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0946/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0946/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0946/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J.H Barnes

2 Nudger Green, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AW

0947/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0947/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0947/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0947/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Ray Barnes

92 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0854/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0854/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs M Barnes

92 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0853/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0853/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

C. Barnett

18 Longfield Park, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BU

0374/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0374/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

M. Barnett

18 Longfield Park, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BU

0523/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0523/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

A & C Barrass

6 Delph Lodge, Midgrove Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5ER

0949/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0949/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0949/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0949/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Neil Barrow

Carr Farm Cottage, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5ND

0950/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0950/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0950/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0950/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Martin Barton

14 Fairfields, Garden Suburbs, Oldham OL8 3AS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0855/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0855/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Jane Bassett

29 Norwich Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0BA

0856/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0856/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Heather Bates

14 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QE

0951/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0951/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0951/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0951/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Colin Baxter

65 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SP

0857/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0857/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr G Bayley

31, Stoneswood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DY

0112/2/015/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 Policy does not protect the interests of the Peak District National Park.
0112/2/016/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 Wind turbines should not be permitted closer to residential buildings than the minimum distance recommended by the manufacturer.
0112/2/017/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 (c) Objects to the lack of reference to protection of the Green Belt within the policy. Paragraph NR3.1 (c) of First Deposit Draft Replacement UDP should be retained.
0112/2/018/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Delete the areas of search for wind developments map. The policy is flawed. It should be more favourable to development outside the search area.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0112/2/019/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 (d) Amend NR3.2 (d) to ensure that proposed development would be at least 1.5 km from any sensitive existing land use, especially housing.
0112/2/020/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.82 Areas of search map is contrary to Government policy. Delete the paragraph.
0112/2/021/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.86 Amend paragraph so that developments will not be permitted within the Green Belt or adjacent to and detrimental to the Green Belt.
0112/2/022/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Wind developments should only be permitted in non Green Belt areas. Wind developments would be visible from adjacent local authority areas and Peak National Park. Proposals in Oldham would impact on residents of other areas. Delete paragraph.
0112/2/023/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.3 13.92 Objects to the first sentence of paragraph 13.92. The link between CO2 emissions and climate change is not proven. Amend first sentence of paragraph to read: "The Council is committed to encouraging a reduction in carbon dioxide."
0112/2/024/O Objection Joint Case	C1.1 The deletion of "including views in or out" from the policy reduces the protection of the environment of conservation areas. The wording should be reinstated.

M. H. Beaker

1 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham

1848/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

B A Beddows

31 Harvard Street, Rochdale, OL11 2HA

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1023/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1023/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1023/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1023/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Rebecca Beesley

Knarr Barn, Knarr Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5RH

0952/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0952/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0952/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0952/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Shahida Begum

103 Garforth Street, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 6RW

1025/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1025/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1025/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1025/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Colin Bell

1 Hamilton Close, Bury, BL8 1LA

1027/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1027/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1027/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1027/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Bellway Homes

The Beacons, Wellington Road, Birchwood, Warrington WA3 6GQ

0104/2/015/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.19 Andrew Mill, Greenfield Site constraints will lead to a lower capacity that the 30 dwellings indicated in the UDP. Reduce the capacity further.
0104/2/016/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.19 Andrew Mill, Greenfield The reference to affordable housing should be deleted - the site is not suitable for affordable housing.
0104/2/017/O Objection	NR2.2 The flood risk area around Andrew Mill, Greenfield (Map Change ref: 13/15) is relatively diagrammatic and could be misleading. The precise flood risk area should be agreed with the Environment Agency before inclusion on the final map.

Bellway Homes Agent : Chorlton Planning

The Beacons, Wellington Road, Birchwood, Warrington WA3 6GQ

0104/2/014/O Objection Joint Case	H1.4 Policy too restrictive in requiring higher density developments in areas with good/very good access to public transport options and a range of basic services. The advice in PPG3 on densities is adequate. Delete ref. to 45/50 dwellings per hectare.

Aaron Bennett

11 Melford Grove, Lees, Oldham OL4 3HH

0954/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0954/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0954/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0954/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss S. Bennett

21 Greencroft Meadow, Oldham, OL2 6LQ

0517/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0517/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr&Mrs J. Bennett

15 Netherhouse Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

0586/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0586/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

R & P Bennian & Reynolds

58 Hebron Street, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1849/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

A.S. Bentley

65 Highlands, Royton, Oldham OL2 5HL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0858/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0858/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

G Bentley

35 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0585/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0585/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

J. Bentley

65 Highlands, Royton, Oldham OL2 5HL

0859/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0859/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Bergin

7 Dacres Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HP

1028/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1028/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1028/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1028/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Helen Berry

27 Bracken Close, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4PB

0959/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0959/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0959/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0959/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Bescoby

5 Ammons Way, Delph, Oldham OL3 5US

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0962/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0962/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0962/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0962/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Jill Beswick	
50 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE	
0065/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0065/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Policy still allows possible buildings which would mean loss of woodland, wildlife and local amenity. Saddleworth already built up and roads are becoming overcrowded and dangerous.
Ben Bethel	
10 Earl Street, Heaton Park, Manchester M25 1GQ	
0860/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0860/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section	

G. Bickerstaffe

4 Kings Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BJ

0590/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0590/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw Land should be protected from building of any kind.

Gillian Bickerstaffe

4 Kings Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BJ

0587/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0587/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw Land should be protected from building of any kind.

V. Bickerstaffe

4 Kings Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BJ

0589/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0589/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw Land should be protected from building of any kind.

Mrs A.H. Billing

Top O'Th Fold, Old Tame, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SS

0971/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1	More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0971/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2	Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0971/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3	Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0971/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sheila P. Billingsley

9 Court Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6HD

0965/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0965/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0965/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0965/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Catherine Bingley

1 Delph Greave Barn, Delph Greave, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TY

0970/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0970/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0970/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0970/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ms A. Bintliff

6 Nudger Green, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AW

0967/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0967/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0967/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0967/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr T. Bithell

19 Denbigh Drive, Oldham, OL2 7EQ

0491/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0491/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

P. Blackshaw

7 New Tame, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0973/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0973/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0973/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0973/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

T. Blackshaw

7 New Tame, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TS

0972/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0972/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0972/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0972/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

N. Bocking

122 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH

0975/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0975/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0975/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0975/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sheila Bocking

405 Oldham Road, Lydgate, Oldham OL4 4DG

0980/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0980/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0980/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0980/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Joan Bolton

476 Burnley Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QT

0861/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0861/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr M. Boone

47 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0862/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0862/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

D Booth

19 Outram Mews, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BE

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0992/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0992/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0992/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0992/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ken Booth

Ceann, Friezland Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EU

0993/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0993/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0993/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0993/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Bostock

49 Albert Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0864/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0864/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Sharron Bostock

17 Colville Road, Oldham, OL1 2NX

0863/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0863/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J & J Bottomley

8 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

0994/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0994/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0994/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0994/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Carol A Bottrill

2 Moorland Avenue, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QF

0996/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0996/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0996/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0996/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss Jill Boughey

2 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0997/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0997/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0997/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0997/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

K.H. Boulter

31 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

0865/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0865/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

A. Bowater

18 Tavistock Drive, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RQ

0998/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0998/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0998/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0998/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs C Bowden

Causeway Sett Cottage, Castleshaw Valley, Delph, Oldham

0999/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0999/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0999/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0999/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

H.J. Bowes

39 Central Avenue, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DH

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1045/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1045/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1045/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1045/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Carl Bowker

1 Brooklands Street, Middleton, Manchester M24 2LF

0866/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0866/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Harry Bowker

11 Kings Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BJ

0220/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0220/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr&Mrs J. Bowker

9 Pennine Vale, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8DH

0393/2/002/S	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw
Support FD Ref: 0393/1/001/O	Conditionally withdraw	

Mr&Mrs M. Bowker

19 Palin Wood Rd, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

0157/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0157/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0157/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0157/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G Bowler

6 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL

1030/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1030/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1030/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1030/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs K Boyle

16 Peak Close, Moorside, Lancs OL4 2TH

1031/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1031/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1031/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1031/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Thomas R Boyle

16 Peak Close, Moorside, Oldham OL4 2TH

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1032/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1032/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1032/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1032/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Bradbury

21 Delph New Road, Dobcross, OLDHAM OL3 5BA

1807/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A supermarket would radically change the village. Cancel the supermarket and have smaller shops in keeping with Greenfield's village character.

Andrew Bradbury

26 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham 3 5SX

1000/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1000/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1000/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1000/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D L Bradbury

4 Bunkers, Tunstead Lane, Greenfield OL3 7NY

1763/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

B & J Bradley

39 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1001/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1001/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1001/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1001/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Jon Bradnum	
58 Wellington Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 78Q	
1764/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.
Carol Braithwaite	
1024 Middleton Road, Chadderton, OL9 9RZ	
0867/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0867/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Paul Braithwaite	
New Tame, Tame Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TT	
1002/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1002/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1002/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1002/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Vicky Bramley

1 Bealbank Close, Newhey, Rochdale

1033/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1033/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1033/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1033/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Doug Branson

4 Pickhill, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BN

1003/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1003/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1003/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1003/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

C.E. Brayzier

11 Alexandra Mill, Mill Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6NA

1004/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1004/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1004/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1004/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr A Brereton

2 Healds Close, Chadderton, OL1 2SP

0868/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0868/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs M Brereton

2 Healds Green, Chadderton, OL1 2SP

0879/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage
0879/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Leon Brett

16 Hough Close, Hathershaw, Oldham OL8 2NZ

0887/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0887/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists
0887/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0887/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
T Brewster	
6 North Rise, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7ED	
1772/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.
Mr David Bridges	
86 Wetherby Drive, , OL2 5TA	
0905/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage
0905/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
P & G Brisk	
145 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JN	
1765/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.
P. Brisk	
145 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7BN	
1005/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1005/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1005/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1005/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

British Rail Property Board Agent : Lambert Smith Hampton

C/o Agent, ,

1894/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	H1.1 H1.1.1 Hunt Lane, Chadderton Now deleted Premature to de-allocate this site - pre-judges the outcome of the, at the time of writing, current planning appeal into the refusal of planning permission for housing development.

Hugh Broadbent

Mill Barn, Denshaw Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5TL

1938/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1938/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1938/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1938/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1938/2/005/O Objection	NR3 Objects to deletion of the phrase: "which improve energy efficiency and conservation".

Joan Broadbent

102 Edge Lane Road, Oldham, OL1 3RH

1417/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.10 LGG8 Oldham Edge Objects to removal of land from Local Green Gap 8. Should remain as Local Green Gap. Area is an accident black spot. (Map change ref 11/28)

Broadhurst Engineering (UK) Ltd Agent : Medlock Group

Moorhey Street, Oldham, OL4 1JU

0046/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	B2.2 5.27 Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.

Mike Brogden

18 Church Road, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6EL

1006/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1006/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1006/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1006/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Alan Brooks

Knarr Mill, Off Oldham Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5RQ

1007/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1007/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1007/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1007/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

E.L. Brooks

140 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BT

1009/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1009/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1009/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1009/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

John Brooks

58 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DY

1034/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1034/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1034/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1034/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Karen Brooks

5 Walkers Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6PJ

1008/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1008/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1008/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1008/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kathy Brooks

58 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DY

1035/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1035/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1035/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1035/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

E Brophy

39 Burnstall Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 SRJ

1036/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1036/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1036/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1036/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Justin Brown

1 Intake Head, Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UT

1010/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1010/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1010/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1010/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

S Brownlee

23 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0914/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0914/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs Brown

Hill Crest, Buckstones Road, Grains Bar, Oldham OL1 4SU

1011/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1011/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1011/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1011/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ms A.M. Brown

11 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SP

1012/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1012/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1012/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1012/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

H B Buckley

10 Lower Hay Lane, Mossley, OL5 9DE

0915/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0915/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

H Buckley

148 Wallhill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BL

1746/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1746/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1746/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1746/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Buckley

9 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1846/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt / Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to safeguard from noise and traffic problems.
1846/2/002/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Mr M Buckley

42 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1841/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Support de-allocation from Business and Industry but would rather the land be designated Local Green Gap and not Land Reserved for Future Developoment in the interests of safeguarding the area from traffic impact.

Mr Mike Buckley

10 The Square, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AA

1016/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1016/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1016/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1016/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr V Buckley	
42 Hebron street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU	
1844/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry , but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.
Mrs Anne Buckley	
18 King Street, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DQ	
1014/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1014/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1014/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1014/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R.H. Buckley

6 Moorgate, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DE

1015/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1015/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1015/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1015/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sam Buckley

The Grange, Uppermill, Saddleworth

1037/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1037/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1037/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1037/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

L. Bulmer

8 West View, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TR

1017/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1017/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1017/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1017/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mark Burgin

Shore Mill, Lawton Square, Delph OL3 5DT

1018/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1018/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1018/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1018/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Dr Burke Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o Messrs North Ainley Halliwell Solcitors, 34-36 Clegg Street, OLDHAM OL1 1PS

1824/2/001/S Support	B1.3 M4 Huddersfield Road / Dunkerley Street, Oldham Support the mixed use allocation at Huddersfield Road District Centre

Mrs Irene Burke

Flat 3, Ward Court, Ward Lane, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5LD

1019/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1019/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1019/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1019/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Jimmy Burns	
5 Browfield Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 6DE	
0912/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0912/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Helen Burrill	
7 Mount View, Den Lane, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6OB	
1020/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1020/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1020/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1020/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr&Mrs B. Butterfield

24A Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0427/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0427/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

B Butterworth

277 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5SX

0908/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage
0908/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Dr A. Butterworth

7 Duncote Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LL

0218/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0218/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Objects to changed wording of Local Green Gap policy which could allow development on site.
0218/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.10 Objects to rewording of Local Green Gap policy which allows free development of all Green Gap areas in Borough.

Mrs A Butterworth

23 Greencroft Meadow, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LQ

0224/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0224/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mrs E Butterworth

277 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5SX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0913/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0913/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs K Butterworth

15 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EZ

1022/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1022/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1022/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1022/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr B. Byram

37 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0063/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0063/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs J. Byram

37 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0087/2/002/S OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft
Support
FD Ref: 0087/1/001/O

Conditionally withdraw

Mrs L.M. Byram

136 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BT

1013/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1013/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1013/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1013/2/004/O C1.1
Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr S Byrne

34 Elder Court, Huncoat, Accrington, Lancs BB5 6JP

1029/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1029/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1029/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1029/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sharon Byrne

18 Whitecroft Avenue, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8HY

1038/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1038/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1038/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1038/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alice Byrom

Lower Hill Top Farm, Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5RL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1026/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1026/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1026/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1026/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G Byrom

Lower Hill Top Farm, Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5RL

1024/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1024/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1024/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1024/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G A Bywater

9 Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JQ

1800/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites a food store development. Retail policy will not protect viability of local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops and fabric of village. Remove reference to retail. Refurbish the mill.

P A Bywater

9 Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JQ

1808/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf The mixed use designation opens the way for a supermarket which would profoundly change Uppermill and Greenfield. Remove retail element of the mixed use designation.

D. Cameron

24 Moorlands Caravan Park, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UN

1048/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1048/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1048/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1048/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Martin Capper

11 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

0748/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0748/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0748/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0748/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Janet Capper

51 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5VW

1240/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1240/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1240/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1240/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Eirian Carruthers

Tower House, Boarshurst Farm, Greenfield OL3 7EH

1039/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1039/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1039/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1039/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Cartledge

215 Turf Lane, Royton, Oldham OL2 6EX

0869/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0869/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Cartledge

215 Turf Lane, Royton, Oldham OL2 6ET

0870/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0870/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr M. Cassidy

11 Longfield Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7HD

0469/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Mr Mark Cassin

21 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

1241/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1241/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1241/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1241/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R Castle

9 Harrop Green, Diggle, Saddleworth OL3 5LW

0871/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0871/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

David Chadderton

7 Sharon Avenue, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HP

0177/2/004/S Support FD Ref: 0177/1/003/O	OE2.2 Lydgate Tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft Conditionally Withdraws. Map Change Number Ref: 11/18
0177/2/005/S Support FD Ref: 0177/1/002/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraws.
0177/2/006/S Support FD Ref: 0177/1/001/O Joint Case	NR3.2 Conditionally withdraws.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

0177/2/007/O NR3.2
Objection
Joint Case

Objects to the removal of the 500m cordon relating to distance a wind turbine development is from a sensitive existing land use. Re-instate idea of a cordon, but increase to 1000m. Introduce a policy for energy saving in the Borough.

Andrew R Chadwick

32 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5VZ

0872/2/001/O OE2.1
Objection
Joint Case

Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

0872/2/002/O NR3.2 13.87
Objection
Joint Case

Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs Chadwick

13 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0546/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0546/1/001/O

Conditionally withdraw

Chapman Saddleworth Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

10 Shade Avenue, Springhead, Oldham OL4 5SB

0123/2/004/O NR2.2 Victoria Works, Diggle
Objection

Objects to inclusion of site on the flood risk areas map.

Mrs B Chapman

36 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HA

1246/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1246/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1246/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1246/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr H Charles

Broadgate Farm, Broadgate, Dobcross Ol3 5BJ

1248/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1248/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1248/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1248/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
1248/2/005/S Support	OE1.10 Wall Hill Road Supports land at Wall Hill Road being designated as Local Green Gap. Will link to recreational route RR11.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1248/2/006/S Support	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Supports designation of land as Green Corridor and Link to provide link to recreational route RR11.

Chorlton Planning

Owl Business Centre, High St, Lees, Oldham OL4 3BN

1820/2/001/O Objection	B2.1 PEZ22 Shaw Map Change No. 7/4. Object to PEZ designation which is considered inappropriate and would restrict future re-development of the area. Remove PEZ designation and allocate as a mixed-use site or retain "permission for convenience retail" designation.

Andrew Clark

6 Hill End Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5JH

0527/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0527/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0527/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0527/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

C Clarke

28 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5GB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1257/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1257/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1257/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1257/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Janet Clarke

98 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0873/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0873/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Ian Clarke

55 Church Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6DY

1260/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1260/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1260/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1260/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jennifer Clark

6, Hill End Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5JA

0636/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0636/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0636/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0636/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Eric Clark

19 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1252/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1252/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1252/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1252/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs G Clark

8 High Street, Delph, Ol3 5DJ

0833/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0833/1/001/O	B2.1 PEZ29 Delph New Road, Delph Partially withdraws. Still concerned about traffic impacts of development on the A62.

Shirley Clayton

40 Delph Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RY

1042/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1042/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1042/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1042/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

John Cleary

120 Birch Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QU

0874/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0874/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs D Clegg

130 Wallhill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH

1261/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1261/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1261/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1261/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Clemison

18 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

1041/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1041/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1041/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1041/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dawn Clynes

90 Park View, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AJ

0881/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0881/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr & Ms Coates/Kerr

1 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1267/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1267/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1267/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1267/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

P.A. Coates

5 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SF

0659/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0659/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0659/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0659/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

C. Cochrane

12 Spring Vale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 6RU

0418/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0418/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Maintains support for the views originally projected by the Cowlishaw Action Group

Paul Collinge

14 Ainley Wood, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5HL

1043/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1043/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1043/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1043/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lisa Collins

42 Campania Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 6AP

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1044/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1044/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1044/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1044/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Frank Collins

18 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX

1268/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1268/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1268/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1268/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs K Collins

61 Henwood Road, Withinpton, Manchester M20 4XP

1046/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1046/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1046/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1046/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Julie Connell

3 Erica Avenue, Moorside, Oldham OL4 2TS

1270/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1270/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1270/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1270/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Jayne Connor

22 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1269/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1269/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1269/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1269/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Conway

1A rownlow Avenue, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1850/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt / Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to prevent harm to existing amenities.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Albert G Cook

41 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

1047/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1047/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1047/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1047/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M Cooke

93 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JJ

1767/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mrs E Cooke

11 Cheviots Rd, Shaw, Oldham

1049/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1049/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1049/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1049/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs A Cook

41 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0070/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0070/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Wish to keep site as public open space to protect wooded area.

Andrew Cooney

20 Delph Lane, Delph, Saddleworth

1050/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1050/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1050/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1050/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Joanna Coop

6 Sycamore Cottages, Treetops Close, Saddleworth OL3 5BT

1272/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1272/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1272/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1272/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs M. Corbett Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o Steve Ingram Assocs, Design Studio, The Heights, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TU

0034/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0034/1/002/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Barbara Cordwell

44 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0875/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0875/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Chris Cordwell

44 Alandale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

0876/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0876/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Marie Cordwell

44 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

0877/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0877/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Patrick Cordwell

44 Alandale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0878/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0878/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs E Cork

11 Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SX

0882/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0882/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Cornel

34 King Street, Delph, Saddleworth

1271/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1271/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1271/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1271/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Susan Cotter

36 Thomas Street, Lees, Oldham OL4 5BU

1074/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1074/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1074/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Susan Cotter

36 Thomas Street, Lees, Oldham OL4 5BU

1075/2/001/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Country Posies

75 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JG

1801/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to the change due to traffic problems that would be created. A food store would destroy local shops. Remove all reference to retail as part of the mixed use designation. Encourage retention of the mill.

Countryside Agency

North West Region, 7th Floor, Bridgewater House, Whitworth Street, Manchester M1 6LT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0008/2/034/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/021/O	Original GS7 Now Deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS7.
0008/2/035/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/020/O	T2 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS5.
0008/2/036/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/019/O	Original GS3 Now Deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS3.
0008/2/037/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/018/O	OE1 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy GS2.
0008/2/038/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/016/O	Introduction Original 1.10 now deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 1.10.
0008/2/039/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/017/O	GS0 2.3 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 2.1 (former General Strategy Section).
0008/2/040/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/015/O	Introduction 1.21, 1.25 Conditionally withdraw objections to first deposit draft paragraphs 1.12 and 1.13.
0008/2/041/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/033/O	Original T1.2 Now deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2 (Local park and ride).
0008/2/042/W Support FD Ref: 0008/1/009/O	B0 Unconditionally withdrawn.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0008/2/043/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/013/O	D1 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.
0008/2/044/S Support FD Ref: 0008/1/014/O	D1.1 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1.

R Cowen

116 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DB

1768/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mrs A.B. Cox

6 Glengarth, Uppermill, OLDHAM, OL3 6HU

1278/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1278/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1278/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1278/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Nicholas Cox

8 Saint Mary's Gate, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6AT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1277/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1277/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1277/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1277/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Florence Coyne

11 Thomas Street, Lees, Oldham

1276/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1276/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1276/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1276/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Charlotte Craig

16 Treetops Close, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AS

1274/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1274/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1274/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1274/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Crompton & Royton Golf Club

Highbarn, Royton, Oldham OL2 6RW

0560/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0560/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Betty Crossley

66 Manley Road, Coppice, Oldham OL8 1AU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1076/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1076/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1076/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1076/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J.M Crossley

15 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EY

1275/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1275/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1275/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1275/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ben Crowther

Woodside Farm, Cinder Hill Farm, Chadderton OL1 2SU

0883/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0883/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Denise Crowther

Woodside Farm, Cinder Hill Lane, Oldham OL1 2SU

0884/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0884/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ian Crowther

Woodside Farm, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton OL1 2SU

0885/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0885/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

John H Crowther

169 Chadderton Hall Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0QP

0888/2/001/O Objection	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0888/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Pauline Crowther

169 Chadderton Hall Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0QP

0886/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0886/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ms Debbie Cummaford

27 Min-y-mor, Pwllheli, Gwynedd LL53 5AN

1949/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1949/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1949/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites
1949/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

H.J D'Adamo

10 Summer-hill View, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5TB

1281/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1281/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1281/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1281/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Andrew Dainty

2 Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1817/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Opposed to a food-retail development. Local shops are only marginally viable and their closure would ruin the character of the village. Remove reference to retail in the mix of uses. Residential, business and leisure uses are acceptable.
Claire Dainty	
2 Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JG	
1802/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.
Catherine Daly	
Apartment 10, Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton OL1 2TB	
0890/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0890/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Ged Daly	
Apartment 10, Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton OL1 2TB	
0889/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0889/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr & Mrs Daniels

9 Higher Dale, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5HY

1282/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1282/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1282/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1282/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Scott Dargan

4 Slackcote Cottages, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TP

1283/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1283/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1283/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1283/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Elizabeth Dargen

4 Slackcote Cottages, Slackcote Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TP

1284/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1284/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1284/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1284/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Richard Darlington

1 The Woods, Grotton, OLDHAM OL4 4LP

1879/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 Wants the policy to have maximum flexibility for development of renewable energy sources of power incluidng water, wind, sun, geo-thermal, heat pumps, passive solar gain, methane extraction and biomass and new technologies.
1879/2/002/O Objection	T0 Ensure the old railway tracks are preserved for level footways and for the future possibility of the reintroduction of rail transport in future generations.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1879/2/003/S Support	NR3.2 Content for wind developments to be located in areas of the Borough that are less sensitive.

Mr Andrew Davies

11 Dale Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HY

1285/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1285/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1285/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1285/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Steven Davies

13 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

0892/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0892/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
T & I Davies	
4 Higham Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 6TQ	
0298/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0298/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 Conditionally withdraws
G W Davis	
2 Top O'Th' Meadows, Strinesdale, Waterhead OL4 3SF	
0891/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0891/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Yvonne Dawson	
132, Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH	
0824/2/002/S Support Joint Case	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Supports designation of LGG15 as Green Corridor and Link
0824/2/003/S Support Joint Case	OE1.10 Wall Hill Road Supports extension of LGG15
0824/2/004/S Support Joint Case	OE1.10 LGG15 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross Map Change Ref No. 11/6. Supports change.
0824/2/005/S Support Joint Case	OE2.2 LGG15 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross Map Ref Change No. 11/17. Supports change.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

G Deakin

5 Broadgate, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BJ

1375/2/001/S OE1.10 Wall Hill Road
Support
Supports designation as Local Green Gap

Graham Dean

111 High Street, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6BD

1803/2/001/O B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf
Objection
Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

Joan Dean

9 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SF

0660/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

0660/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

0660/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

0660/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

B J Deaville

26 Cotswold Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5HD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0893/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0893/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
0893/2/003/O Objection	OE2.2 Land at Birchinlee Mill, Royton Although supports the proposed changes to expand the boundary to protect the open land and habitat, the proposed boundary does not extend far enough.
Mr Howard Debble	
13 Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SX	
0894/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0894/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mrs A Delaney	
29 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ	
0895/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0895/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Delph Community Association

28 Sevenacres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1777/2/001/S Support	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Supports designation as Green Corridor & Link (Map change 11/16)
1777/2/002/O Objection	GS0 2.3 f. Delete the second and third sentences and replace with "Developments in the Green Belt will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances and the need to maintain the openness and visual amenity will be a priority."
1777/2/003/O Objection	Introduction 1.33 Objects to the sentiments and wording of this paragraph. No-one is likely to read the plan as a whole but will use it for guidance on specific issues. The paragraph should be deleted and extensive cross-referencing provided throughout the plan.
1777/2/004/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1777/2/005/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1777/2/006/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1777/2/007/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
1777/2/008/O Objection	NR3.3 The 10% target for renewable energy sources is not challenging enough. Increase the figure to 20%.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Denshaw Community Association

Brown Hill Naze Farm, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SN

0543/2/018/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0543/2/019/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0543/2/020/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0543/2/021/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
0543/2/022/O Objection	NR3.2 d. Objects to the deletion of criterion (d) of the original policy. Wants to have the distance threshold re-instated and increased to 1.5 km from houses and significant buildings.

Sue Devenney

Linfitts House, 80 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EU

1286/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1286/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1286/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1286/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Cllr A.J. Dillon

'Loughglynn',, 5A Underwood Way, Oldham OL2 8LF

0481/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0481/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw
0481/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to areas identified on the areas of search for wind developments map, particularly in Shaw. Concerned about impacts on skyline and aviation routes. Dunwood Park site concerned about highways and residential impacts.

Cllr P Dillon

'Loughglynn', 5A Underwood Way, Oldham OL2 8LF

0519/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0519/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw
0519/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to sites indicated at Crompton Moor and the rear of Dunwood Park as they do not appear to meet the wind development criteria. Impacts of powerlines, flight path for Manchester Airport, as well as highway and residential effects.

Andrew P Dixon

Holly House, Hollins Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7NR

1903/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Areas of search map is misleading and does not add anything to the policy. Re-instate original point (d) from First Deposit. Amend map to exclude area between Greenfield and Peak District. Strengthen wording to offer more environmental protection

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1903/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 13.77 Policy criteria are not specific enough. Mention should be made of openness and visual amenity of Green Belt, nature conservation areas, historical/archaeological interests and view into/out of Peak District. Amend paragraph 13.77.

Daniel Dixon

164 Mossley Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HA

1287/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1287/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1287/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1287/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Joanne Dobbs

1 Slackcote Cottages, Slackcote Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 STP

1077/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1077/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1077/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1077/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dobcross Village Community

Spring Brow Farm, Ladcastle Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5QT

0105/2/009/O Objection FD Ref: 0105/1/007/O	NR0 13.70 Revised wording still does not quantify the contribution it is envisaged Oldham could make to the NW Regional Assembly targets. Should include list of targets for Oldham's contribution to targets for each technology.
0105/2/010/O Objection FD Ref: 0105/1/008/O Joint Case	NR3.2 18.82 Areas of search for wind developments map includes areas of recognised landscape value including Castleshaw Valley, Diggle Valley, land round Saddleworth Church and Dovestones. Exclude areas of recognised visual landscape value from the map.
0105/2/011/O Objection	NR3.2 13.88 Policy wording is open to interpretation. Paragraph 13.88 appears incomplete. Amend wording of policy and reasoned justification.

Lucy Donkersley

7 Livingstone Street, Lees, Oldham

1288/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1288/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1288/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1288/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr M Donough

34 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1289/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1289/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1289/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1289/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr F. Dowd

110 Manchester Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7DD

0549/2/002/W Support FD Ref: 0549/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Unconditionally Withdrawn.

D Downes

28 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1845/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Margaret Downey

1 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UA

1291/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1291/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1291/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1291/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Brian Doyle

Cartref, Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SB

1292/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1292/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1292/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1292/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Mark Dronsfield

31 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LD

0778/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0778/1/001/O Joint Case	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted Although deallocated from housing, would still like to see allocated as a local green gap, as per original objection.

Carol Drury

Watersgate, Huddersfield Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5LX

1293/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1293/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1293/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1293/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sue Duty

Barcroft House, Platt Lane, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5QD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1294/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1294/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1294/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1294/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ashley Dyer

Thomas Barn, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1297/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1297/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1297/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1297/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Maris Dyer

Thomas Barn, Millcroft, Delph OL3 5UX

1296/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1296/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1296/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1296/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Peter Dyer

Thomas Barn, Millcroft Lane, Saddleworth OL3 5UX

1295/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1295/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1295/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1295/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Fiona Dyson

9 Saint Chads Cresent, Uppermill, Saddleworth

1298/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1298/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1298/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1298/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

S.& F. Eades

45 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0500/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0500/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Joyce Earle

23 Dryclough Walk, Royton, Oldham OL2 6HD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0896/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0896/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Early

Hillside, Standedge Foot Road, Delph OL3 5LU

1299/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1299/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1299/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1299/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

S Early

Hillstone, Standedge Foot Road, Delph OL3 5LU

1300/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1300/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1300/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1300/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J. & S. Earnshaw

29 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0563/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0563/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Object to any development of the area. Question the criteria in policy OE1.10.

E.M Easterby

2 Hawthorn View, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL3 5SU

1308/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1308/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1308/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1308/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Megan Eastwood

83 Church Road, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6DY

1301/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1301/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1301/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1301/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs E Eddison

43 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SS

0656/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0656/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0656/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0656/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

B.P. Edwards

36 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1866/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in order to protect amenity and avoid traffic and other amenity problems.

Charles Edwards

23 Church Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LQ

1079/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1079/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1079/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1079/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

M A Edwards

52 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

0897/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0897/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

S. Edwards

401 Old Walsall Road, Great Barr, Birmingham B42 1HX

1951/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1951/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1951/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1951/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

S Edwards

9 Moorland Avenue, Dobcross, Saddleworth

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1078/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1078/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1078/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1078/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr R Eglin Agent : Chorlton Planning

0621/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0621/1/002/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Mr & Mrs Elliage

Frierswood, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL3 5SW

1303/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1303/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1303/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1303/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs I. Ellis

33 Cowlishaw, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BX

0329/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0329/1/001/O	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Elizabeth Elwell

24 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EZ

1305/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1305/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1305/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1305/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Energy Technology Support Unit (ETSU) Agent : Terence O'Rourke plc

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0726/2/003/S Support	NR0 13.65 Welcomes reference to the DTI Energy White Paper and the CO2 reduction goals for 2020/2050.
0726/2/004/S Support	NR3 13.72 Welcomes amendments to Policy that make a clear distinction between `energy efficiency` and `energy generation`. Welcomes amendments to paragraph 13.72 which encourages major developments to incorporate renewables.
0726/2/005/S Support	NR3.1 Welcome deletion of original Policy NR3.1 and insertion of new policy NR3.1. Welcomes amendments to paragraphs 13.73-13.76 and insertion of paragraphs 13.77-13.80.
0726/2/006/S Support	NR3.2 Welcomes amendments to Policy NR3.2 permitting wind developments and paragraphs 13.81 to 13.91. Also welcome deletion of supporting policy criteria c) to e).
0726/2/007/S Support	NR3.3 Welcomes the inclusion of Policy NR3.3 and paragraphs 13.92 to 13.95.

Lucy England

11 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF

0899/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0899/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr P England

11 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0900/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0900/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs J England

11 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF

0898/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0898/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

English Nature

Pier House, Wallgate, Wigan WN3 4AL

0149/2/021/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/019/O	OE0 Changes meet original concern regarding management of features in the landscape important to flora and fauna.
0149/2/022/S Support	Original GS2 Now Deleted Concur that the protection of certain types of land from inappropriate development is now better covered by other policies in the revised deposit draft UDP, and therefore support the deletion of this policy.
0149/2/023/S Support	OE2 Support for policy as it attaches significant value to protecting and enhancing biodiversity, features of geological interest, green corridors and tree cover, when considering development proposals.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0149/2/024/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/018/O	OE2.3 The inclusion of a specific reference to the Rochdale canal cSAC is supported.
0149/2/025/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/020/O	OE2.4 The requirement for surveys and mitigation to be carried out on site prior to granting planning permission has been addressed specifically by cross referencing to Policy D4 and OE2.3 in para 11.107 of Policy OE2.4.
0149/2/026/W Support FD Ref: 0149/1/002/O	T1.4 Unconditional withdrawal of objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5, now revised deposit draft policy T1.4.
0149/2/027/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/001/O Joint Case	T1.4 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5 and paragraph 4.27, now revised deposit draft policy T1.4 (Canal Corridors) and paragraph 4.26.
0149/2/028/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/007/O Joint Case	Original B1.4 Now Deleted Cross reference to habitat and species protection in Open Environment policies is now included in paragraph 5.10 in respect of the Rochdale Canal
0149/2/029/S Support	NR4.3 English Natures welcomes and supports the addition of sub-paragraph (v) to Policy NR4.3(e) which refers to species protected by law and their habitats.
0149/2/030/W Support FD Ref: 0149/1/009/O Joint Case	Original GS7 Now Deleted Unconditionally withdraws. (Moved to new Policy NR1.1)
0149/2/031/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/017/O Joint Case	OE2.3 Candidate Special Areas of Conservation (cSACs) are omitted from Policy OE2.3. They should be included within the policy.
0149/2/032/S Support	W1.3 English Nature supports the inclusion of the protection for wildlife and geological sites, the protection of species and their habitats, and the biodiversity resource.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0149/2/033/S Support FD Ref: 0149/1/012/O Joint Case	NR3.2 Conditionally withdraws. English Nature accepts that issues in respect of the impacts that wind turbines may have on bird habitats or migratory patterns are now addressed through cross reference to Policy NR3.1, and now supports Policy NR3.2.
0149/2/034/S Support	OE2 English Nature strongly supports this policy as it attachs significant value to protecting and enhancing biodiversity, features of geological interest, green corridors and tree cover when considering development proposals.
0149/2/035/S Support	D1.6 Welcomes and supports this policy requiring habitat creation to be incorporated into landscaping schemes, which should be integral to new development.
0149/2/036/S Support	D1.5 Welcomes this policy which gives a good level of protection to existing trees from development, seeks adequate replanting of indigenous species for trees lost to development, and employs Section 106 Agreements to secure compensatory planting.
0149/2/037/S Support	D1.4 Strongly support this policy. Welcome the onus placed on developers to demonstrate that adverse impacts have been avoided where possible, and adequately mitigated for where harm is unavoidable.
0149/2/038/S Support	D1.1 Supports this policy, which seeks to ensure a range of benefits and safeguards to the nature conservation interests of the Borough through appropriate design considerations in all development.

English Partnerships

Arpley House, 110 Birchwood Boulevard, Warrington WA3 7QH

1839/2/001/S Support	GS0 2.11, Table 2.1 Table 2.1 is supported because it sets out the Council's monitoring regime. Monitoring will ensure that the delivery of new housing is controlled, thereby supporting housing market renewal.
1839/2/002/S Support	GS0 2.10 Paragraph 2.10 highlights the importance of monitoring to ensure that delivery is controlled.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1839/2/003/S Support	GS0 2.3 c. Paragraph 2.3 c. explains how the Housing Market Renewal Fund will sit alongside the delivery of housing through the planning system. Monitoring throughout the plan period will facilitate delivery.
1839/2/004/S Support	Introduction 1.15 English Partnerships welcomes the correlation between the promotion of housing renewal and the promotion of sustainable communities, as outlined in paragraph 1.15.
1839/2/005/S Support	Introduction 1.14 Welcome reference to improving the energy performance of dwellings through Housing Market Renewal. It reflects national planning guidance, sustainable construction ideas, & English Partnerships' commitment to best practice and improving standards.
1839/2/006/S Support	Introduction 1.13 Welcome the Council's recognition that the Housing Market Renewal Fund will assist in significantly improving the Borough's housing stock.
1839/2/007/S Support	H1 Supports proposed changes to this policy to take into account the increased emphasis of housing renewal. In particular it is noted that the proposed changes incorporate net clearance and aim to ensure that housing vacancies are reduced.
1839/2/008/S Support	H1 6.05(i) Supports the addition of a reference to the Housing Market Renewal Fund in the objectives of the housing chapter.
1839/2/009/S Support	H1 6.09 Supports the change which seeks to ensure that clearance replacement is not on a one to one basis, but is tailored to meet local demand.
1839/2/010/S Support	H1 6.13 The paragraph is welcomed as it provides clarity to the policy.
1839/2/011/S Support	H1 6.17 (d) The fact that the objectives and programmes of the Housing Market Renewal Fund have been integrated into planning propsals is welcomed. As such para. 6.17 (d) is supported.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1839/2/012/S Support	H1.1 6.27 The potential influence of the housing market renewal fund on vacancy rates is clearly outlined.
1839/2/013/S Support	H1 6.21 English Partnerships support the connection between the annual housing monitoring programme and the release of Phase 2 sites to assist the delivery of the objectives of the Housing Market Renewal Fund.
1839/2/014/S Support	Appendix B The detail contained in Appendix B, particularly in relation to the identification of the Housing Market Renewal Areas and will strengthen the plan's objectives.

Julie Evans

90 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0903/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0903/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr D Evans

Racefield Farm, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton OL1 2SU

0902/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0902/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Mrs D Evans	
Racefield Farm, Cinderhill Lane, Chadderton OL1 2SU	
0901/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0901/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Ms Ros Evans	
1 Hawthorn View, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL3 5SU	
1306/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1306/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1306/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1306/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
N R Evans	
2 Lower Frenches Drive, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JD	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1806/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A supermarket is not acceptable. The main road is too congested already to cope with extra traffic. Local shops would suffer and the quiet community would be destroyed. Housing, non-retail and leisure is acceptable.

Stuart Evans

90 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

0904/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0904/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Emma Ewart

The Croft, Oldhey, Delph OL3 5UX

1307/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1307/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1307/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1307/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Exors of G S Sherratt deceased Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o North Ainley Halliwell Solicitors, 34/36 Clegg Street, Oldham OL1 1PS

0750/2/003/S H1
Support
FD Ref: 0750/1/002/O

Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Dawn Fairclough

70 Carr Lane, GreenField, Oldham

1309/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1309/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1309/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1309/2/004/O C1.1
Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr D Fairclough

27 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

0906/2/001/O OE2.1
Objection
Joint Case

Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0906/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs P R Fairclough

27 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

0907/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0907/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs J Fallows

82 Buckstones Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8DN

1080/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1080/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1080/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1080/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Sharon Fanning

18 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX

1310/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1310/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1310/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1310/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Katie Farrand

2 Armit Raod, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LN

1311/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1311/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1311/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1311/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs E Farrar

5 Outram Mews, Buckley Wharf, Uppermill OL3 6BE

1312/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1312/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1312/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1312/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dan Faulkner

68 Edward Rd, Shaw,, Oldham OL2 7EY

0233/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0233/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Miss K. Faulkner

1 Greenway, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7PY

0234/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0234/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr A. Faulkner

68 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0235/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0235/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Mrs Beryl Faulkner

68 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0443/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0443/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Mrs L.M. Fawns

37 Cowlishaw, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BX

0426/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0426/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Mr Richard Filak

2A Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1313/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1313/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1313/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1313/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Ms Firth/Thomas

Higher Slack farm, Heights Lane, Saddleworth OL3 5TU

1314/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1314/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1314/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1314/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr David Fish

Oldfield Cottage, Denshaw Road, Delph OL3 5TS

1316/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1316/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1316/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1316/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Fish

Oldfield Cottage, Denshaw Road, Delph OL3 5TS

1317/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1317/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1317/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1317/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Judith Fitton

Ox Hey Farm, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UB

1318/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1318/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1318/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1318/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Fitton Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o Strutt and Parker, 13 Princes Square, Harrogate HG1 1LW

0409/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0409/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Maintain original objection that land should be included within an area where early development could be contemplated.
0409/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Object to allocation as Local Green Gap. Previously designated as potential future development land, few sites for residential development in this area, would still retain green gap between Shaw and Royton, greenfield sites still needed.

Sean Fitzpatrick

8 Dowry Road, Lees, Oldham OL4 3JZ

1319/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1319/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1319/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1319/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ernest Fleming

51 Bar Gap Road, Oldham, OL1 3RL

0800/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0800/1/002/O	R1 Land at Malby Street, Oldham Conditionally withdrawn.

Alan Fletcher

34 Burnedge Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EA

0588/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0588/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Local Green Gap designation could still permit small scale development.

Mr Jake Fletcher

48 Hollins Avenue, Lees, Oldham OL4 3PQ

1320/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1320/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1320/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1320/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Fletchers Newsagent	
125 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JJ	
1760/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.
Ms Susan Fogerty	
8 Melrose Street, Derker, Oldham OL1 4DG	
0926/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0926/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mr Carlo Fontanarosa	
42 Sands Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0NU	
0928/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0928/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr & Mrs Ford

Hearthy Hill Farm, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL3 5RP

1321/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1321/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1321/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1321/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr R Ford

4 Westbury Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5BH

0929/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0929/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs M Ford

4 Westbury Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5BH

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0930/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0930/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mike Fox

Grange School, Rochdale Road, Oldham OL9 6DY

1081/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1081/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1081/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1081/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ms Sheila France

3 York Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0PT

0931/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0931/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr D Frankland

4 The Sun Inn Mews, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

1323/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1323/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1323/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1323/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

B.G Franklin

Cale Hey Cottage, 3 Top O'th Meadows, Denshaw OL3 5SW

1322/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1322/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1322/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1322/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

H A Freear

1 Vicarage Way, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BA

0932/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0932/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Friends of the Wood

42 Montgomery Street, Hollinwood, Oldham OL8 3QA

0359/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0359/1/001/O Joint Case	B1.2 B1.2.6 Albert Street, Hollinwood Previously B.1.1.2 This is the last piece of green land between Failsworth and Hollinwood. It should be classified as either Recreational Open Space or Local Green Gap.

Frost (Exors. of late Mr R.) Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o North Ainley Halliwell Solicitors, 34/36 Clegg Street, Oldham OL1 1PS

0167/2/005/S Support FD Ref: 0167/1/004/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Christina Frost

84 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1759/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

G & L Frost

24 Hebron Street, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1851/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Jenny Frost

2 Laureates Place, Woodbrook Farm, Springhead

1325/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1325/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1325/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1325/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Paul Fryer

28 Link Road, Springhead, Saddleworth OL4 4SF

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1330/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1330/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1330/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1330/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Karen Gallagher

58 Wellington Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AQ

1758/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Ms Marta Gallastegui

1 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TY

0933/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0933/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

C Gardner

25 Hutchins Lane, Watersheddings, Oldham OL4 2RF

0934/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage
0934/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Janet Gardner

55 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3

1333/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1333/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1333/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1333/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Tony Gardner

55 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1332/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1332/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1332/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1332/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Barbara Garratt

37 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Lancs OL2 5UZ

0953/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0953/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

K Gartside

2 Brownhill Lane, Lower Brownhill, Uppermill OL3

1346/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1346/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1346/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1346/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Margaret Gartside

41 Nudger Green, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AW

1335/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1335/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1335/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1335/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs L Gartside

Hollin Greave Farm, Holly Grove, Dobcross OL3 5JQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1341/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1341/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1341/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1341/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

T Gaunt

3 Derwent Drive, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9JF

0684/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR2.2 The areas at risk of flooding shown on the map are not fully comprehensive.

Mrs K Gay

3 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX

1082/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1082/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1082/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1082/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Barbara Geldart

30 Wood Street, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7NE

0956/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0956/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Malcolm Gelder

6 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0090/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0090/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Objection would only be resolved if no development, or new buildings of any type, were permitted now or in the future. (Para 11.53 11.54)

B Gibson

The Dye House, 14 Corbett Way, Denshaw OL3 5SX

1350/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1350/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1350/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1350/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Carol Gibson

The Dyehouse, 14 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX

1083/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1083/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1083/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1083/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr P Gidley

22 Chetwyn Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UJ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0957/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0957/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr S Gidley

22 Chetwyn Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UJ

0958/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0958/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

David Gilchrist

55 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1085/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1085/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1085/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1085/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Robert Gilligan

Manor House Farm, Standedge, Delph OL3 5LU

1356/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1356/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1356/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1356/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Susan Gilligan

Manor House Farm, Standedge, Delph OL3 5LU

1355/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1355/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1355/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1355/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Stuart Gill

18 Grains Bar, Oldham, Ol4 2JY

1353/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1353/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1353/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1353/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr John E Gilroy

11 Noon Sun Close, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JA

1354/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1354/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1354/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1354/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss Janet Gipson

41 Montgomery Street, Hollinwood, Oldham OL8 3PR

0159/2/002/O Objection	B1.2 B1.1.2 Albert Street, Hollinwood Do not want to see development on the site.

Mrs Joan Gipson

41 Montgomery Street, Hollinwood, Oldham OL8 3PR

0154/2/002/O Objection	B1.2 B1.1.2 Albert Street, Hollinwood Do not want to see development on the site.

Mrs Julie Gittens

25 Hillside Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 6RF

0960/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0960/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

GL Hearn

230 Cygnet Court, Lakeside Drive, WARRINGTON WA1 1PD

1825/2/001/O S1 Dawn Mill, Shaw (Map Change No. 7/4)
Objection
Dawn Mill should be kept as a retail commitment and not designated as a PEZ site. Remove Dawn Mill from PEZ22.

Caroline Glennie

16 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0371/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0371/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Walter Glennie

16 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0372/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0372/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

GMPTE

9 Portland Street, Piccadilly Gardens, Manchester M60 1HX

0026/2/035/O T3.3 4.55
Objection
Object to inclusion of text at paragraph 4.55. Wording relating to park & ride should be included within the policy or as a separate policy. Appropriately developed P&R facilities can have a positive impact on car travel, congestion etc.

0026/2/021/S T1.5 4.30
Support
FD Ref: 0026/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.6 (Disused rail infrastructure) and paragraph 4.31, now revised deposit draft policy T1.5 and paragraph 4.30.

0026/2/022/W T1.1 b.
Support
FD Ref: 0026/1/003/O
Unconditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 clause b.

0026/2/023/O Original T1.2 Now Deleted
Objection
Object to deletion of policy T1.2 relating to Local park and ride.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0026/2/024/S Support	T0 4.1, 4.3 g. The amendments are supported as they add more clarity to the chapter with regard to the Council's position on the relationship between the location of new development and the transport network, better reflecting PPG13 and supporting the LTP.
0026/2/025/S Support	T1.1 4.11 The amended paragraph is supported, as it better reflects the current position with regard to any potential railway station at Diggle.
0026/2/026/W Support FD Ref: 0026/1/002/O	T0 Park & Ride in Green Belt Unconditionally withdraws
0026/2/027/W Support FD Ref: 0026/1/004/O Joint Case	B1.2 B1.2.1 Southlink Business Park Unconditionally withdraws.
0026/2/028/S Support FD Ref: 0026/1/005/O Joint Case	B1.1 B1.1.31 Union Street West/Oldham Way, Oldham Now Moved to B1.2 Conditionally withdraws.
0026/2/029/S Support FD Ref: 0026/1/006/O Joint Case	B1.2 B1.1.32 Oldham Way/Mumps, Oldham Conditionally withdraws.
0026/2/030/S Support FD Ref: 0026/1/007/O Joint Case	B1.2 5.14 B1.1.33 Primrose Street/Crossbank Street, Oldham Partially withdraws.
0026/2/031/S Support	T2 Previous supports for Policy GS5 (reference 0026/1/008/S) and Policy GS6 (reference 0026/1/009/S) of First Deposit Draft have been transferred to Policy T2 of Revised Deposit Draft.
0026/2/032/S Support	T3 Previous support (reference 0026/1/009/S) for Policy GS6 of First Deposit Draft has been transferred to Policy T3 of Revised Deposit Draft.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0026/2/033/S Support	T3.1 4.44 Previous support (reference 0026/1/017/S) for Policy T2.3 of First Deposit Draft has been transferred to Policy T3.1 para 4.44 of Revised Deposit Draft.
0026/2/034/S Support	T1.5 4.30 Supports the proposed change.

E Goddard

32 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1867/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in the interests of amenities.

Mrs Godwin

56 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DY

1357/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1357/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1357/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1357/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Gorst

White Rose Cottage, Heights Lane, Delph

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1358/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1358/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1358/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1358/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr F Gouldbourn

31 Fareholm, Royton, Oldham OL2 5BU

0961/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0961/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Government Office for the North West

Sunley Tower, Piccadilly Plaza, Manchester M1 4BE

0021/2/061/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/002/O	Original S1.2 Now deleted Conditionally Withdraws

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/062/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/003/O	S1.7 Conditionally Withdraws. But will be important that this policy is in line with any modifications made to Policy S1.
0021/2/063/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/004/O	Original S1.6 Now deleted Conditionally Withdraws.
0021/2/064/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/005/O	S1.7 Conditionally Withdraws.
0021/2/065/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/053/O	OE1.7 Conditionally withdraws
0021/2/066/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/054/O	OE1.1 Conditionally withdraws
0021/2/067/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/055/O	OE1.9 Conditionally withdraws
0021/2/068/O Objection FD Ref: 0021/1/056/O	OE1.10 Not appropriate to refer to green belt within a policy seeking to protect non-green belt land. Gives wrong message about the protected status of that land. Delete ref. to green belt in (a) and set out development which would be permissible.
0021/2/069/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/057/O	OE2.1 Conditionally withdraws
0021/2/070/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/021/O	T0 4.4 Conditionally withdraw.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/071/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/052/O	H2.3 Objection conditionally withdrawn.
0021/2/072/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/059/O	H1.2 Conditionally withdraw.
0021/2/073/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/058/O	H1.1 Conditional withdraw. Note inconsistency in site capacity for site ref. H1.1.21 between Phase 1 list of sites and site details in Appendix B.
0021/2/074/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/051/O	H2.1 Objector wishes to maintain that part of their original objection refering to the need to define "affordable" in line with Circular 6/98, but wishes to withdraw that part of the objection which sought clearer policy wording on eligibility criteria.
0021/2/075/O Objection	H1.3 Changes to the first paragraph of the policy do not read correctly when read in conjunction with criterion (e) of the policy.
0021/2/076/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/023/O	R2.1 10.61 Change is supported. The Policy recognises the need for open space, sport and recreation facilties may vary with the type of development, for example sheltered dwellings.
0021/2/077/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/033/O	Introduction Conditionally withdrawn.
0021/2/078/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/031/O	Original GS7 Now Deleted Conditionally withdrawn
0021/2/079/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/030/O	T3 and T2 Conditionally withdrawn.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/080/O Objection FD Ref: 0021/1/022/O	R2.1 Formerly Policy R2.2, in new residential developments, open space,sport and recreation facilities should only be required if as a result of the development, there results in a recognised deficiency in quality or quantity, not in every case as R2.1.
0021/2/081/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/029/O	OE1 Conditionally withdrawn, subject to desire to see reference to Green Belt removed from policy OE1.10 (formerly OE1.8 at first deposit). This is covered by a separate objection, reference 0021/1/056/O.
0021/2/082/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/028/O	OE1 Conditionally withdrawn.
0021/2/083/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/020/O	Introduction 1.2 Conditionally withdrawn.
0021/2/084/O Objection FD Ref: 0021/1/032/O	R2.1 Policy R2.1 seems to seek new provision (or commuted sum) in every case regardless of there being a recognised local deficiency. It should be clear that the policy will only apply in cases of a recognised local deficiency.
0021/2/085/O Objection	R2.1 10.58 New objection, in line with Circular 1/97, finances given to local authorities through development proposals under planning obligations should not be put in a general fund but should directly relate to individual proposals.
0021/2/086/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/027/O	B1.2 5.13 Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/087/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/024/O	Original B1.4 Now Deleted Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/088/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/012/O	Original T1.2 Now deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/089/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/013/O	T3.2 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.2, now revised deposit policy T3.2.
0021/2/090/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/014/O	T3.3 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.4, now revised deposit policy reference T3.3.
0021/2/091/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/015/O	T3.3 Original 4.48 Now Deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.4, now revised deposit draft policy reference T3.3, first deposit draft paragraph number 4.48 (deleted at revised deposit).
0021/2/092/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/009/O	T1.1 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1.
0021/2/093/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/010/O	T1.1 4.12 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 and paragraph 4.10.
0021/2/094/O Objection	NR3.3 13.95 Paragraph 13.95 should be moved from the RJ to the end of the policy in order to recognise that exceptions may be appropriate in certain circumstances.
0021/2/095/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/025/O	B1.5 Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/096/O Objection	B1.5 In criterion a. the words "within urban areas" should be inserted after "...major public transport interchanges" in order to more closely reflect Policy EC8 of RPG.
0021/2/097/O Objection	B1.5 The inclusion of the phrase "unless the applicant can justify an exception" should be deleted. Policy EC8 of RPG sets out the appraoch where capacity is not available in town or district centres and this should be reflected in Policy B1.5.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/098/O Objection	B1.5 Criterion b. should reflect the sequential approach set out in RPG Policy DP1.
0021/2/099/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/026/O	B2.3 Partially withdraws. The explanation of local circumstances which justify allowing development not provided for in PPG2 is noted. However, further explanation is required as to what constitutes a significant increase in the developed area of the site
0021/2/100/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/038/O	W1 Conditionally Withdrawn
0021/2/101/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/039/O	C1.3 Conditionally withdrawn
0021/2/102/O Objection FD Ref: 0002/1/011/O	D1.2 Policy ought to include criteria which must be met if planning permission is to be granted. (Supersedes objection ref. 0021/1/011/O to original policy D1.2 which has been deleted)
0021/2/103/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/048/O	NR4.1 Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/104/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/044/O	NR1.2 Conditionally withdraws.
0021/2/105/O Objection	NR1.2 There is a need for the types of development identified in the Air Quality Action Plan to be listed in either the reasoned justification or in a separate appendix. An appendix could set out the unacceptable levels of pollutants.
0021/2/106/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/049/O	NR4.1 Conditionally withdraws.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/107/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/050/O	NR4.2 13.102 Conditionally withdraws. (Was paragraph 13.74, now 13.102)
0021/2/108/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/045/O	Original NR3.1 Now Deleted Conditionally withdrawn.
0021/2/109/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/034/O	CF1.2 Conditionally withdraw.
0021/2/110/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/035/O	CF1.3 Conditionally withdraw.
0021/2/111/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/036/O	CF1.4 Conditionally withdraw.
0021/2/112/O Objection	CF1.5 9.18 Inconsistency between the policy and its definition of "significant" residential developments (50 dwellings or 1.5 ha and above) and para. 9.18 of the justification (100 dwellings or 3 hectares and above).
0021/2/113/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/016/O	D1.1 Conditionally withdraw objection to policy D1.1.
0021/2/114/O Objection	D1.1 The "etc." at the end of criterion b. in policy D1.1 should either be fully explained or deleted.
0021/2/115/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/040/O	C1.7 Conditionally withdraws objection to C1.7.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0021/2/116/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/041/O	C1.10 12.49 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy C1.10 and paragraph 12.49.
0021/2/117/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/042/O	C1.2 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy C1.2.
0021/2/118/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/017/O	D1.3 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.3.
0021/2/119/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/018/O	D1.6 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.6.
0021/2/120/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/019/O	D1.12 3.90 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.12 and paragraph 3.75.(now 3.90)
0021/2/121/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/007/O	TC1.4 Conditionally withdraw objection to policy TC1.4, which was policy TC1.5 at first deposit stage.
0021/2/122/S Support FD Ref: 0021/1/008/O	TC1.5 Conditionally withdraw objection to policy TC1.5, which was policy TC1.6 at first deposit.

R Grabowski

15 Leesbrook Park, Salem, Oldham OL4 3AZ

0140/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0140/1/001/O	B2.1 PEZ17 Wellyhole Street, Lees Conditionally withdraws.

Matthew Graham

20 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5SZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0964/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0964/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Neil Graham

20 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5SZ

0963/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0963/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Shirley Graham

20 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham

0966/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0966/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Greater Manchester Ecology Unit

Council Offices, Wellington Road,, ASHTON-UNDER-LYNE, OL6 6DL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0038/2/035/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/005/O	OE2.3 11.94 Conditionally withdraws given proposed changes to Proposals Map and changes to para. 11.94.
0038/2/036/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/003/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraws.
0038/2/037/W Objection FD Ref: 0038/1/034/O	H1.2 H1.2.4 Medlock Road, Woodhouses SBI boundary has been amended and now does not impinge on the site boundary.
0038/2/038/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/006/O	OE1.10 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/039/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/011/O	OE2 Conditionally withdraws - Additions to part B of OE2 addresses previous objection
0038/2/040/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/026/O	OE2.4 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/041/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/025/O	OE2.3 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/042/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/021/O	OE1.10 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/043/O Objection FD Ref: 0038/1/033/O	R1 Formerly under R1.1, the types of open spaces and facilities to be protected are now listed in R1. Without particulary an additional category in Appendix E, the word 'urban' under R1 category B is inconstant with it and PPG 17.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0038/2/044/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/021/O	OE1.2 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/045/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/018/O	OE1.1 Conditionally withdraws
0038/2/046/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/007/O	B1 Given the changes to other policies (see 0038/1/004/O) and the additional wording in paragraph 5.4 "there are circumstances where proposals for employment uses must be weighed against other UDP objectives..." the Unit withdraws its objection.
0038/2/047/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/001/O	T1.5 Conditional withdrawal of objection to first deposit draft policy T1.5, now revised deposit draft reference T1.4.
0038/2/048/O Objection	B1.1 5.10 B1.1.1 Tweedale Way, Hollinwood Given the changes to Policy B1.4 it is difficult to relate these to the original objection. The addition of paragraph 5.10 is welcome, although as site B1.1.1 also appears to be adjacent to the Rochdale Canal it should be included in the paragraph.
0038/2/049/S Support	NR2.3 The Unit supports Policy NR2.3.
0038/2/050/S Support	NR2.1 The Unit supports Policy NR2.1
0038/2/051/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/028/O	NR2 13.39 Conditionally withdraws. Given the change to paragraph 13.31 of Policy NR2, the Unit withdraws its objection.
0038/2/052/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/009/O	NR2.1 13.47 Inclusion of paragraph 13.47 welcomed. Changes to policies NR2.1 and OE2.3 go a long way to addressing need for a specific policy relating to development adjacent Rochdale Canal, but SPG would still be helpful.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0038/2/053/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/032/O	NR4.3 CW
0038/2/054/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/027/O	W1.3 CW
0038/2/055/O Objection	NR3.1 Unit previously supported Policy NR3.1, but now objects to the proposed changes, particularly the exclusion of "sites designated for their nature conservation value" from the list of criteria.
0038/2/056/O Objection	NR3.2 13.81 Unit generally finds the proposed changes acceptable, but objects to deletion of words "and nature conservation" from paragraph 13.81 and objects to the inclusion of SBIs within the areas of search for wind development.
0038/2/057/S Support	OE2 11.71 Welcomes changes to part 'B' of the policy and to para. 11.71
0038/2/058/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/002/O	D1.12 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.12.
0038/2/059/O Objection	D1.6 Object to the inclusion of the wording "where appropriate" in policy D1.6, since landscape design should be an integral part of all new development. This objection supersedes support reference 0038/1/016/S.
0038/2/060/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/015/O	D1.5 3.50 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.5 and paragraph 3.50, which was paragraph 3.40 at first deposit stage.
0038/2/061/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/013/O	D1.1 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1, given the changes to policy D1.1 criterion g.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0038/2/062/O Objection	D1.4 Object to the inclusion of the words "insofar as is reasonably practicable", since it is unnecessary and weakens the policy.
0038/2/063/S Support FD Ref: 0038/1/014/O	D1.4 Conditionally withdraws objection and welcomes the change to D1.4 to "features of substantive nature conservation value", and the changes to paragraphs 3.45, 3.47 and 3.48.

Greater Manchester Police, ALU

Chester House, Boyer Street, Manchester M16 0RE

0270/2/002/O Objection	D1.7 3.68 Support the change but require additional wording: "In the development of buildings to minimise the impact of crime, buildings should be designed to meet the standards of the Police Secured By Design award."

Andrew Greaves

6 West View, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TR

1087/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1087/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1087/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1087/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Elizabeth Green

1 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SP

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0968/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0968/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Gilbert Green

46 Arundel Close, Carbrook, Staybridge

1359/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1359/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1359/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1359/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Howard Greenwood

21 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7LD

1804/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Change to include retail development within the mix threatens local shops and jobs. Remove the retail element of the mix.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

P.M Greenwood

57 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1093/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1093/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1093/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1093/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R and B Greenwood

10 Spurn Lane, Diggle, OLDHAM OL3 5QP

1936/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1936/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1936/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1936/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Lionel Gregory

4 Racefield Hamlet, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton OL1 1TB

0974/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0974/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
0974/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Objects to lack of protection offered to birds in the Green Belt outside of designated nature conservation areas. Amend policy to offer protection to birds and other wildlife.
0974/2/004/O Objection	NR3.1 Objects to the wording of the policy and the requirements placed upon developers. Amend policy to strengthen the requirements of the detailed statement. Applicants should be required to provide a map showing developments in proximity to proposals.
0974/2/005/S Support	NR3 Supports the Council's aim to encourage renewable energy sources and its Policy NR3.

Mrs M Gregory

4 Racefield Hamlet, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

0969/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0969/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Rachel Grimshaw

13 Brocklehurst Street, Moston, Manchester M9 4WQ

1094/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1094/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1094/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1094/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Groundwork Oldham & Rochdale

Environment Centre, Shaw Road, Higginshaw, Oldham OL1 4AW

0184/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	T1.1 4.10 Derker Metrolink stop park and ride Objects to the identification on the Proposals Map of a possible strategic park and ride site, associated with Derker Metrolink stop, between the railway line and Shaw Road.

Mrs Asha Gulati

37 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0369/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0369/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Amanda Hadfield

Running Hill House, Running Hill Lane, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5JS

1095/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1095/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1095/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1095/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David Hadfield

12 Wharmton View, Hey Farm Estate, Greenfield, Oldham OL5 9DY

1811/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A supermarket development is not in keeping with the village and would result in squeezing out the local shops. Traffic congestion has exceeded the infrastructure capacity. Remove the supermarket and permit smaller shops.

Dennis Hadfield

Running Hill House, Running Hill Lane, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5JS

1096/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1096/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1096/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1096/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Eleanor Hague

Cramond, 1 Range Lane, Denshaw

1901/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Object to wind farms. Joint petition submitted with Oliver Williams and signed by forty-six people.

H Hague

222 Rochdale Road, High Crompton, Shaw

0977/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0977/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

I Hague

5 Moorlands Park, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1097/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1097/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1097/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1097/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A & P Haines

21 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, OL2 6LU

1868/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

David O Haines

Park Cottage, Beech Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EP

0776/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0776/1/001/O Joint Case	OE2.2 Lydgate Tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Graham Halkyard

5 Wibsey Cottages, Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SJ

1098/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1098/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1098/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1098/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Ms Hall & Masterson

Moorbank, Ryefields Drive, Uppermill OL3 6BX

1361/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1361/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1361/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1361/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Fiona Hall

14 Edward Road, Shaw, OLDHAM, OL2 7EY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0339/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0339/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mrs P.A Halliey

100 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH

1367/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1367/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1367/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1367/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

N J Halliley

100 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BH

0734/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0734/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0734/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0734/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Messrs Halliwell & Douglas Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o Strutt and Parker, 13 Princes Square, Harrogate HG1 1LW

0169/2/003/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Object to de - allocation from Business and Industry to redesignation as Land Reserved for Future Development, due to uncertainty that this creates.

P Halliwell

12 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1368/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1368/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1368/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1368/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mary Hall

Model Farm, Tame Lane, Denshaw Road

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1363/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1363/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1363/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1363/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr David Hall

Cherry Clough Farm, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UE

1362/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1362/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1362/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1362/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mrs M Hall	
34 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ	
0978/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0978/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Norma Hall	
Boothstead Farm, Rochdale Road, Denshaw OL3 5UE	
1364/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1364/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1364/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1364/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Stephen T Hall

11 North Rise, Greenfield, OLDHAM, OL3 7ED

1365/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1365/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1365/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1365/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R.C. Hambleton

The Coach House, Woodbrow, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SP

1099/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1099/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1099/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1099/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M. Hambley

34 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0482/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0482/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Harry Hamer

66 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0212/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0212/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Janet Hannah

26 Wellington Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AQ

1770/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Harry Hanson

639 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UY

0979/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0979/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr & Mrs K Hanson

Marsh Farm, Rochdale Road, Denshaw OL3 5UE

1369/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1369/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1369/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1369/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Vera Hanson

639 Rochdale Road, Oldham, OL2 5UT

0982/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0982/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

E. Hardie

26 Sevenacres, Delph,, OLDHAM, OL3 5HU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1370/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1370/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1370/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1370/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G Hardy

22 Hebron Street, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1853/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Mr I Hargreaves

23 Sevenacres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1371/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1371/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1371/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1371/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J & M Harratt

5 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SL

1100/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1100/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1100/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1100/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Imelda K Harris

24 Brookfield Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5PL

0985/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0985/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

James Harris

24 Brookfield Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5PL

0984/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0984/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

D.J.W Harrison

15 The Grove, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5RR

1372/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1372/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1372/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1372/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1372/2/005/O Objection	T1.5 4.30 Oldham Loop Line The Oldham loop line between Werneth and Mumps should be protected for future heavy rail use.
1372/2/006/O Objection	B1.2 5.14 B1.2.3, B1.2.4 Objects to the allocations for business use without measures being taken to protecting the rail corridors in expectation that a possible reversion to rail use, if Metrolink do not use it, will be required in the future.

M.J Harrison

20 Helmshore Avenue, Moorside, Oldham OL4 2QB

1373/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1373/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1373/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1373/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Pat Harrison

15 The Grove, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5RR

1374/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1374/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1374/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1374/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr K. Harrop

20 Dumfries Avenue, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SQ

0787/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0787/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0787/2/004/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0787/2/005/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs J. Harrop

20 Dumfries Avenue, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0786/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0786/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0786/2/004/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0786/2/005/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Doreen Hartley

1 King Street, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DL

1411/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1411/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1411/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1411/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

W.B Hartley

43 Pawn Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1405/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1405/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1405/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1405/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Stephen Hartwell

14 Winchester Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RH

0986/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0986/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

J.A. Hassan

1 Brackenwood Drive, Cheadle, Cheshire SK8 1JX

0373/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0373/1/001/O

Conditionally withdraw

Pauline Hatzebruch

9 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

1412/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1412/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1412/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1412/2/004/O C1.1
Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Rebecca Haworth

7 Victoria Street, Haslingden, Rossendale BB4 SDL

1101/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1101/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1101/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1101/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Anne Hayes

10 Edge View, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TR

0988/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0988/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Paul Hayes

28 Meadow Close, Hey Farm Estate, Mossley

1414/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1414/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1414/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1414/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alan Headdock

17 Sparrowfield Close, Carrbrook, Stalybridge, Cheshire SK15 3NN

1416/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1416/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1416/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1416/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Paul Heaps

28a Woods Lane, Dobcross, Saddleworth

1418/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1418/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1418/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1418/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs J. Heather

31 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0327/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0327/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr T W Hebburn

Apartment E, Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

0990/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0990/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs M Hebburn

Apartment E, Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0989/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0989/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs J Henthorn

Hey House Farm, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5UN

1419/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1419/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1419/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1419/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Lesley Heyes

74 Oldham Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HU

1102/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1102/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1102/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1102/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lynne Hey

The Millhouse, Millwright Close, Marsden HD7 6EQ

1420/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1420/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1420/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1420/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs S Heywood

15 Travistock Drive, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1421/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1421/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1421/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1421/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jimmy Hibbert

32 Park Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AL

0991/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0991/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Paul Hicking

Ladbrook House, Standedge Road, Diggle OL3 5QN

1422/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1422/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1422/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1422/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David Hickling

Ladbrook, Standedge Road, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5QN

1103/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1103/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1103/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1103/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Elizabeth Hicks

Wellcroft, Bleak Hey Nook, Delph OL3 5LY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1424/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1424/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1424/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1424/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Michael Higgins

76 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EU

1429/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1429/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1429/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1429/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Highways Agency

Room 908, Sunley Tower, Piccadilly Plaza, Manchester M1 4BE

0006/2/019/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/018/O	OE1.9 Conditionally withdraws
0006/2/020/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/001/O	Original S1.4.c) Now Deleted Conditionally Withdraws.
0006/2/021/S Support	H1.1 H1.1.1 Hunt Lane, Chadderton Now deleted In view of the recent detailed transport assessment undertaken for a housing development on the site in question the agency welcomes the removal of this allocation from the Plan.
0006/2/022/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/011/O	B1.7 5.20 Conditionally withdraws.
0006/2/023/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/012/O	B2.2 Conditionally withdraws.
0006/2/024/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/013/O	GS0 Original 2.2 Now Deleted Conditionally withdrawn objection to first deposit paragraph 2.2.
0006/2/025/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/014/O	T2 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit policy GS5.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0006/2/026/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/015/O	T3 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit policy GS6.
0006/2/027/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/016/O	T3.1 4.38 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit paragraph 2.27 under former policy GS6.
0006/2/028/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/017/O	Original GS7 Now Deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft UDP policy GS7.
0006/2/029/S Support	T2.1 4.35,4.36 The increased emphasis placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means is welcomed.
0006/2/030/S Support	T2 The increased emphasis now placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means, including walking and cycling, is welcomed.
0006/2/031/S Support	T0 4.3 The increased emphasis now placed on accessibility by a choice of transport means is welcomed.
0006/2/032/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/007/O	T3.2 4.47 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.2 (Developments with significant transport implications) and paragraph 4.43, now revised deposit draft policy reference T3.2 and paragraph 4.47.
0006/2/033/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/002/O	T1 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1 (the transport network).
0006/2/034/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/003/O	T1.1 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 (transport infrastructure).

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0006/2/035/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/004/O	T1.2 4.16 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.3 (the road network) and paragraph 4.17, now revised deposit draft policy T1.2 and paragraph 4.16.
0006/2/036/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/005/O	T3 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2 (transport and developments), now revised deposit draft policy T3.
0006/2/037/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/006/O	T3.1 4.39 Partially withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T2.1 (Access to new developments) paragraph 4.34, but wish to see references in revised deposit draft policy T3.1 paragraphs 4.38 and 4.39 amended from "DLTR" to "DTLR" (factual inaccuracy).
0006/2/038/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/008/O	D1.11 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.11.
0006/2/039/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/009/O	D1.1 k) 3.16, 3.21 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.1 criterion k. and paragraphs 3.15 and 3.20.
0006/2/040/S Support FD Ref: 0006/1/010/O	D1.9 Conditionally withdraws objection to policy D1.9.

M.G. Hill

1 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0215/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0215/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Classify as Green Belt. If not, a loophole is provided through which the already reduced areas may be eroded even further.

Michael Hill

5 Ten Houses, Alt Lane, Oldham

1109/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1109/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1109/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1109/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Alan Hill

11 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UP

1431/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1431/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1431/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1431/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr C Hill

10 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1104/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1104/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1104/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1104/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Graham Hill

Arbor Lodge, Ryefields Drive, Uppermill OL3 6BX

1430/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1430/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1430/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1430/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Michael Hilton

56 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0599/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0599/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally Withdraws.

Pamela Hilton

56 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0068/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0068/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Land should never be used for building work. Revised policy falls short of this.

Cllr Roger Hindle

9, Glaisdale, Clarksfield, Oldham Ol4 3AS

1822/2/001/S Support	H1.1 H1.1.22 Vulcan Street, Oldham Supports the allocation of this site for residential development - an ideal opportunity for the land to be put to good use for the benefit of the people of Derker.

Mr John Hindle

25 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham

1432/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1432/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1432/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1432/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs J.L. Hindle

Kirkdale, Rumbles Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EL

0690/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0690/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0690/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0690/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
0690/2/008/S Support	H1.1 H1.1.15 Bailey Mill, Oldham Road Supports allocation for residential development - but as a conversion scheme for apartments and ground floor parking.

Angela Hirst

5 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, OL1 2TB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1107/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1107/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr John Hirst

85 Adelaide Road, Egerton, Stockport SK3 9LP

1117/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1117/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs J A Hirst

50 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DA

1787/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf No retail development is acceptable. Keep the mill.

Mrs J M Hobbs

8 Outram Mews, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BE

1434/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1434/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1434/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1434/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Michael Hobson

107 Lord Lane, Failsworth, Manchester M35 0RZ

1051/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1051/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

D. Hollins

40 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0826/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0826/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Ms E. Holmes

4 Dumfries Avenue, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0793/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0793/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0793/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0793/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Holroy Developments

572 Middleton Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0HF

0126/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	H1.2 H1.2.14 Sandy Mill, Royton The site should be allocated for Phase 1 housing development. The site is available, strong local demand, the development would allow affordable houses to be built, the site previously developed, clearance levels will be higher than estimated.
0126/2/007/O Objection	H1.1 Reduction in supply within phase 1 gives only 2.5 years supply. Local authorities should provide at least 10 years. Council have overestimated the windfall supply and underestimated clearance. Should move most sustainable Phase 2 sites to Phase 1.
0126/2/008/O Objection	H1.2 Reduction in supply within phase 1 gives only 2.5 years supply. Local authorities should provide at least 10 years. Council have overestimated the windfall supply and underestimated clearance. Should move most sustainable Phase 2 sites to Phase 1.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0126/2/009/O Objection	H1 6.13 Unrealistic approach to properties which have been vacant for more than 6 months. These dwellings should count towards clearance

Holroy Developments Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd

572 Middleton Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0HF

0126/2/005/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.20 Rose Mill, Chadderton Objects to the bringing forward of this site (from Phase 2 to Phase 1) when a more sustainable option is available at Parkside Farm (H1.2.1).
0126/2/006/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.21 Springhey Mill, Huddersfield Road, Oldham Objects to the bringing forward of this site (from Phase 2 to Phase 1) when a more sustainable option is available at Parkside Farm (H1.2.1).

Kristian Holt

1 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF

1052/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1052/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr David Holt

1 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF

1054/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1054/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Mrs Lisa Holt	
1 Brantwood Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TF	
1053/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1053/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Moira Hope	
15 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL	
1436/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1436/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1436/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1436/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr Mike Hope	
16 Station Road, Holmfirth, HD9 1AB	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1435/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1435/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1435/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1435/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Roger P Hope

15 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL

1437/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1437/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1437/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1437/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Mark Hopkins

Slackfield Farm, Knott Hill Lane, Delph OL3 5DY

1438/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1438/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1438/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1438/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

F & W Hopkinson

Hawthorn House, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BY

0522/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0522/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr Edwin R Hopkinson

12 School Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6HB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1446/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1446/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1446/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1446/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ms B K Hopkinson

63 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham

1441/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1441/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1441/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1441/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

N.C Hopwood

10 Slackcote Cottages, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TW

1448/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1448/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1448/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1448/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Tony Horrocks

10 Verity Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 5DG

1055/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1055/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Pamela Houghton

10 Sevenacres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1449/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1449/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1449/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1449/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Barbara Howard

Oxhey Farm, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UB

1450/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1450/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1450/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1450/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D J Howard

1 Eagle Court, Denshaw Road, Delph OL3 5HN

1451/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1451/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1451/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1451/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Nick Howard

1 The Park, Greenfield, Saddleworth

1452/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1452/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1452/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1452/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Catherine Howard-Smith

217 Huddersfield Road, Diggle, Saddleworth OL3 5PQ

1453/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1453/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1453/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1453/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr S. Howarth Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o North Ainley Halliwell Solicitors, 34/36 Clegg Street, Oldham OL1 1PS

0095/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.10 LR10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill Objects to whole site being allocated as LGG. Whole of new LG16 should be allocated for residential development.

Mrs Jane Howarth

23 Sam Road, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5PU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1454/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1454/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1454/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1454/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

John B Howcroft

Ridings, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7NR

1816/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

Mr Peter Howell

3 King Street, Delph, Oldham OL

1455/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1455/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1455/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1455/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jenny Hudson

24 Winsor Road, Leavenshulme, Manchester M19 2CB

1456/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1456/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1456/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1456/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Richard Hudson

24 Winsor Road, Leavenshulme, Manchester M19 2EB

1457/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1457/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1457/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1457/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Anne Hughes

4 Burnedge Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4DX

0058/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0058/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

B Hughes

40 Parkfield, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AS

1056/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1056/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Hughes

4 Carnforth Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9DN

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1057/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1057/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Nicola Hughes

130 Huddersfield Road, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5NU

1113/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1113/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1113/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1113/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Julie Hulme

36 Borrowdale Close, Royton, Oldham OL2 6TN

1115/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1115/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1115/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1115/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kenneth Hulme

28 Sevenacres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1121/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1121/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1121/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1121/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D J Hurst

Wood Farm, Castleshaw, Delph OL3 5LZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1433/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1433/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1433/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1433/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Hurst

43 Wellmeadow Lane, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DU

1459/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1459/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1459/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1459/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Alan Hurst

43 Wellmeadow Lane, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DU

1458/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1458/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1458/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1458/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr M.G Hurst

3 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham OL

1460/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1460/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1460/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1460/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

L Hussain

99 Belgrave Road, Hathershaw, Oldham OL8 1LT

1124/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1124/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1124/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1124/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss S.D Hutchinson

2 Hawthorn View, Horset Lane, Denshaw OL3 5SU

1461/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1461/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1461/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1461/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs B Hutchinson

52 Radcliffe Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 6QL

1059/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1059/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Robert Hykington

Fair Banks Farm, Diggle Lee Cross, OLDHAM OL3 5JX

1935/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1935/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1935/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1935/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

V Hyncica

Higher Broad Meadow Farm, Ox-Hey Lane, Denshaw OL3 5UB

1462/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1462/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1462/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1462/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ann Hynes

7 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1060/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1060/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Francis Ingham

35 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1061/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1061/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs Ingrame

Printers Cottage, 30 Corbett Way, Denshaw OL3 5SX

1463/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1463/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1463/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1463/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Mr Moinul Islam	
19 Raven Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 8PP	
1128/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1128/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1128/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1128/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Hazel Ivell	
4 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD	
1062/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1062/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Stuart Ivell	
4 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1063/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1063/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J W Buckley

High Street, Uppermill, Oldham

1776/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A large supermarket would destroy local shops. Only permit small size retail units.

J W Buckleys

HIgh Street, Uppermill, Oldham

1775/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A large supermarket would destroy existing village shops. Wants only a small retail allowed on the site.

Barbara Jackson

18 Harrop Green, Diggle, Saddleworth OL3 5LW

1466/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1466/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1466/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1466/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Hedley Jackson

219 Rochdale Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7JD

1064/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1064/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J.M. Jackson

37 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0064/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0064/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Keith Jackson

4 The Crescent, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BP

0357/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0357/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

M Jackson

45 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1065/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1065/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Neil Jackson

15 Chadderton Fold, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2RR

1066/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1066/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

P & B Jackson

11 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EZ

1465/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1465/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1465/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1465/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Susan Jackson

4 The Crescent, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BP

0358/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0358/1/001/O	OE1.10	LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Elizabeth Jacques

15 Lord Lane, Failsworth, Manchester M35 9GB

1129/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1129/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1129/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1129/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kate Jelen

66 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6QJ

1067/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1067/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Paul Jelton

66 Rochdale Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6QJ

1073/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1073/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Margaret Jepson

13 Ash Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 6TJ

1072/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1072/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

John Saxon Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

Friarmere Dell, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DF

0099/2/005/O Objection FD Ref: 0099/1/002/O Joint Case	B1.3 M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph Objects to the restriction of residential development to 50% of the net developable area. This is too low to justify the economic development of the site. The percentage should be deleted.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0099/2/006/O Objection Joint Case	H1.1 M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph Revised capacity of 20 dwellings is too low to allow the economic development of the site. The capacity should be substantially increased.
0099/2/007/O Objection Joint Case	H2.1 M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph Accepts the provision of affordable housing in principle, however, as with objection to policy H1.1, the site capacity should be increased. The number is too low to allow for the economic development of the site and provision of affordable houses.
0099/2/008/O Objection	Appendix B M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph Objects to the limit on housing development to 50% of the net total developable area. Also objects to the reference to an "out of date" planning brief for the site. Requests deletion of reference to 50% and the planning brief.

Marjorie Johnson

20 Dale Square, Royton, Oldham OL2 6PA

0561/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0561/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Objects to wording of revised LGG policy which could leave loophole for some development of site.

Mr & Mrs S Johnson

12 Rochdale Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SE

1467/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1467/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1467/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1467/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr S Johnson

50 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1069/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1069/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

P Johnson

27 Tandelwood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1068/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1068/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Andy Jones

39 Tamworth Street, Werneth, Oldham OL9 7QY

1070/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1070/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Jack Jones	
128 Fields New Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 8NH	
1071/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1071/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Luke Jones	
16 Claytons Close, Springhead, Oldham	
1571/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1571/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1571/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1571/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Peter Jones

21 Heather Avenue, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8HL

0581/2/002/S R1 Oldham Way and Crompton Circuit

Support
FD Ref: 0581/1/001/O

Supports map change 10/2(a) showing correct route of Oldham Way Recreational Route 3, and Crompton Circuit added to list shown as RR12, not listed in R1.2 of First Deposit Draft.

Stefanie Jones

6 Alderwood Fold, Lees, Oldham

1468/2/001/O NR3.1

Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1468/2/002/O NR3.2

Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1468/2/003/O OE2.3

Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1468/2/004/O C1.1

Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr David Jowle

14 Buckley Drive, Denshaw, Oldham

1470/2/001/O NR3.1

Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1470/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1470/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1470/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kershaw Julie

16 Springwood, Delph, Oldham OL3 SHQ

1164/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1164/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1164/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1164/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr M.A Kadir

10 Palace Street, Oldham, OL9 6LA

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1163/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1163/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1163/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1163/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David Kay

14 Chadderton Fold, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2PR

1086/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1086/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Michelle Kay

14 Chadderton Fold, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2RR

1088/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1088/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs Betty Kay

38 Springfield Lane, Royton, Oldham OL2 6XN

1084/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1084/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Peter Kay

7 St James Close, Thornham, OL16 4SJ

1089/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1089/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

W Kay

7 Wells Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0BB

1090/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1090/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Hadi Kazemi

51 The Parchments, Newton Le Wiilows, Merseyside WA1 2DX

1471/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1471/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1471/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1471/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr S. Keba

62 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0081/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0081/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Land should be protected as Local Green Gap but objects to changes to policy wording since First Deposit version

Anthony Keenan

42 AlandaleDrive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1091/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1091/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs K Keenan

42 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

1092/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1092/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr J Kennedy

49 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EY

1473/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1473/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1473/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1473/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs R. Kennedy

12 Longfield Park, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BU

0305/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0305/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Maintain original objection to any building/development of the site.

Mrs S Kennedy

Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EY

1476/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1476/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1476/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1476/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs J Kenny

22 Eagle Court, Denshaw Road, Delph OL3 5HN

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1478/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1478/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1478/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1478/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Kenny

17 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1479/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1479/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1479/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1479/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr H. Kenyon

26 Netherhouse Rd, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7HY

0428/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0428/1/001/O	OE1.10 Conditionally withdraw	LR1 Cowlishaw

Mr Paul Kenyon

15 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham

1481/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1481/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1481/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1481/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Elaine Kershaw

5 Haggate, Royton, Oldham OL2 5DU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1105/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1105/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs A Kershaw

3 Bagnel Close, Uppermill, Oldham

1484/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1484/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1484/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1484/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr A J Kershaw

3 Bagnall Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DW

1491/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1491/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1491/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1491/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sylvia Kershaw

1 Hill View, Delph, Oldham OL3 5JE

1488/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1488/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1488/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1488/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Tracy Kewn

22 Milton Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 6RD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1108/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1108/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

E Khadem

7 Haddon Road, Worsley, Manchester M28 2YP

1494/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1494/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1494/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1494/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Masood Khadem

10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

1165/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1165/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1165/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1165/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Abraham Khadem

10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

1496/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1496/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1496/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1496/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Victor Khadem

10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1166/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1166/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1166/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1166/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Tahir Khan	
9 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ	
1110/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1110/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mr & Mrs H Kidd	
50 Wellington Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AQ	
1771/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Gill Kilgour

4 Ladhill Lane, Greenfield, Oldham Ol3 7JW

1766/2/001/O
Objection

B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf

Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mrs V A Killion

40 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1111/2/001/O
Objection
Joint Case

OE2.1

Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

1111/2/002/O
Objection
Joint Case

NR3.2 13.87

Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr P Kilroy

140 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RP

1499/2/001/O
Objection

NR3.1

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1499/2/002/O
Objection

NR3.2

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1499/2/003/O
Objection

OE2.3

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1499/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr John W King

Hanson House, Grains Road, Saddleworth OL3 5RM

1501/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1501/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1501/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1501/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

P A Kirk

22 Netherhey Street, Oldham, OL8 2BU

1112/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1112/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Kirstail Properties Agent : Chorlton Planning

32 Clegg Street, Oldham, OL1 1RW

0097/2/003/S H1
Support
FD Ref: 0097/1/002/O
Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Rosanna Kitching

38 Grove Road, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6JL

1503/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1503/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1503/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1503/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Klynes Brothers Ltd

Rose Mill, Coalshaw Green Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 8JT

0751/2/002/O H1.1 H1.1.20 Rose Mill, Chadderton
Objection
Welcomes allocation - phase 1 housing development. Objects to reduction in density. Requests that indicative density be increased to 40-50 dwellings per hectare & additional text stating that none of the sites have been subject to detailed appraisal

0751/2/003/S H1.2 H1.2.2 Rose Mill, Chadderton Now deleted
Support
FD Ref: 0751/1/001/O
Conditional withdrawal (although see new objection to indicative capacity/density assumptions for this site).

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
June Knight	
31 Linkside Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 6YZ	
1114/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1114/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mr & Mrs K Knight	
94 Wetherby Drive, Royton, OLDHAM OL2 5TA	
1884/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Objects to use of public leisure parks for wind turbines. Tandle Hill should not be considered suitable for such development as it was left for the people of Oldham.
Robert Knight	
2 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD	
1116/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1116/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Samantha Knight	
2 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD	
1118/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1118/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Knoll Mill Campaign Group

c/o 9 Steadway, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DY

0347/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0347/1/001/O	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Campaign Group opposes a supermarket and concerned about the significant impact this would have on the character of the village. Allow a maximum unit size of 400 sqm for tourism-related developments. Limit retail to 10% of total development.

Ms J M Knott

51 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1504/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1504/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1504/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1504/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Cllr R. Knowles

4 Alphin Close, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1780/2/001/O Objection	OE1.8 11.43 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Replace 'unique' in subsection iii) with 'special site of sub regional importance', and correct reservoir name to 'Dove Stone'. (alternative wording suggested)
1780/2/002/O Objection	OE1.8 11.48 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Add statement about the 'hydraulically inadequate' sewers downstream of this site that would/might require enhancement by a developer of the site.
1780/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete area of search map so that all proposals are judged by the same criteria. Criteria should be in line with other SCOSPA councils to protect landscape and population.
1780/2/004/O Objection	B1.3 Mixed use developments must be protected from "cherry picking" by the developer by securing appropriate phasing arrangements as part of the grant of planning permission.

Mr &Mrs Kobyra

48 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0362/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0362/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mrs J. Korny

30 Longfield Park, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BU

0446/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0446/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Dr M B Kostecky

Holly Grove Farm Cottage, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5JW

1745/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1745/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1745/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1745/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Frances Kostecky

Holly Grove Farm Cottage, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5JW

1744/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1744/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1744/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1744/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Maria Kostecky

12 Outram Mews, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BE

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1507/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1507/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1507/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1507/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Louise Kweal

43 Platt Lane, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AD

1511/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1511/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1511/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1511/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kelly L.A

Cherry Top Farm, Rochdale Road, Denshaw OL3 5UE

1472/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1472/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1472/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1472/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Mathew Ladley

The Paddock, Ambrose Cresent, Diggle OL

1512/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1512/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1512/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1512/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs. Lynda Laffin

The Coach House, Woodbrow, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SP

1167/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1167/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1167/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1167/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Anita Lamb

1B Pickhill, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BN

1513/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1513/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1513/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1513/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

H Lamb

1B Pickhill, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BN

1514/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1514/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1514/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1514/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

W & M Lamb

6 Lee Street, Uppermill, Saddleworth

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1515/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1515/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1515/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1515/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Martin Lancaster

32 Garnet Street, Derker, Oldham OL1 4BG

1168/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1168/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1168/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1168/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Andrew Langan

5 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1119/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1119/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs A Langan

5 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1122/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1122/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Paul Langan

5 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1123/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1123/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

T Langan

5 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1120/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1120/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Langtree Property Group Ltd Agent : Sedgwick Associates

0572/2/004/O Objection	H1 6.09-6.14 (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Simplistic approach to housing clearance. Policy does not accord with Regional Planning Guidance. Should replace cleared dwellings on a one-to-one basis. Should still replace long term vacant dwellings.
0572/2/005/O Objection	Appendix B (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Objects to the description of the site as having "relatively poor access to both local services and the bus network". Considers this misrepresents the site's suitability for housing compared with similar sites.
0572/2/006/O Objection	H1 6.27 (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Objects to policy of counting re-occupation of long-term vacant dwellings against the RPG housing requirement. RPG does not suggest such an approach, & already takes account of reoccupation.
0572/2/007/O Objection	H1.1 6.23 (Now Wainhomes North West Ltd). Use of SPG to defer the phased development of a site would be acceptable only in very restricted circumstances. Shouldn't be a vehicle to implement revised strategies emerging from Housing Mkt Renewal Fund..

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

H J Latimer

10 Wool Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5NS

1516/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1516/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1516/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1516/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D.W. Laws

39 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0489/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0489/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Mr Mark Leaver

24 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DY

1518/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1518/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1518/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1518/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alan Lee

Westhill, 151 Rochdale Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7JT

1126/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1126/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Brian Lee

Ryefields Lodge, High Street, Uppermill OL3 6BT

0160/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0160/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0160/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0160/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
0160/2/006/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.10 LR10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill Supports re-designation as LGG but objects to revised wording of policy

Mr Joseph Leedham

8 Sevenacres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1519/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1519/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1519/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1519/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jennifer Lee

Westhill, 151 Rochdale Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7JT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1125/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1125/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ms R H Leeper

Broadmeadow Farm, Slackcote Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1520/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1520/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1520/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1520/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lees

33 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SP

1127/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1127/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

D Lees

33 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SP

1177/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1177/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Jack Lees

22 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HA

1171/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1171/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1171/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1171/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Mr A.H. Lees

31 Moor Street, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7BE

0452/2/002/O OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Objection
FD Ref: 0452/1/001/O
Joint Case

Maintains original objection - feels revised policy will allow development.

Mr J Lees Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

Park Farm, Walmersley, Bury BL9 5NP

0103/2/002/O H1
Objection

The revised housing figure of 270 dwellings per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be allocated.

0103/2/003/O H1
Objection

Housing clearance strategy unclear. Greater clarification needed to establish number of dwellings it will provide.If clearance programme involves greening older urban areas, or development of public open space, additional housing land required.

0103/2/004/O H1 6.13
Objection

Innaccurate reflection of windfall development by including development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites. Unlikely that development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites will result in more dwellings. Cleared sites allowance needed.

0103/2/005/O H1.1
Objection

No guarantee that additional sites listed will come forward during life of UDP. Some sites constrained by environmental issues; previously developed sites should come forward before greenfield allocations in H1.1 - therefore shortfall in provision.

0103/2/006/O H1.1 6.27
Objection

Strategy for reoccupation of vacant dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against housing requirement, as this results in double counting. Additional housing land should be allocated.

0103/2/007/O H1.5
Objection

Notion of achieving mix of housing types is supported. Threshold is too low, however. Policy should not apply to all sites. Greater emphasis should be given to providing housing for local needs, with threshold raised to 25 and sites of 1h or over.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0103/2/008/O Objection	H2.1 Proposed changes do not account for local variations in affordable housing needs. Policy should be reworded to account for variations in local housing markets needs and trends.
0103/2/009/O Objection	H1.2 Doubt as to whether additional sites will come forward.
0103/2/010/O Objection	H1.1 6.27 Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.

Mr J Lees Agent : Chorlton Planning

Wham Farm, Wham Lane, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UA

0033/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0033/1/002/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Mr M Lees

24 Kensington Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5YF

1150/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1150/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Peter R Lees

30 Princess Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7AZ

0388/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0388/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs Ann Lees

24 Kensington Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5YF

1172/2/001/O
Objection
Joint Case

OE2.1

Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

1172/2/002/O
Objection
Joint Case

NR3.2 13.87

Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Lees-Newsome Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

Ashley Mill, Ashley Street, OLDHAM OL9 6LS

1828/2/001/S
Support

B2.1 PEZ11 Busk, Chadderton

Map Change Ref No. 5/20. The removal of the site from PEZ is supported.
(Ashley Mill)

Mr Clinton Leggett

4 Brook Hill Close, Diggle, Saddleworth OL3 5NH

1521/2/001/O
Objection

NR3.1

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1521/2/002/O
Objection

NR3.2

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1521/2/003/O
Objection

OE2.3

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1521/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Ian Letham

45 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1522/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1522/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1522/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1522/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sandra Letham

45 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1523/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1523/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1523/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1523/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Anita Lilley

14 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BX

0161/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0161/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0161/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0161/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

P Lilley

14 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

1524/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1524/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1524/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1524/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R Lilley

14 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

1525/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1525/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1525/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1525/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

S Lilley

14 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1526/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1526/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1526/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1526/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dorothy Lindley

18 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1527/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1527/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1527/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1527/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr G. Lindsay

391Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6NZ

0570/2/003/O Objection FD Ref: 0570/1/002/O	R1 Luzley Brook allotments, Royton These allotments, like others shown on the Proposals Map should have equal status and it is still unclear as to their designation being distinguished from other recreation grounds.
0570/2/004/O Objection FD Ref: 0570/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw The change of wording is not acceptable. Wants Green Belt status for the land.

Mr David Litherland

21 Skipton Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 OQA

1148/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1148/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs J Littlewood

9 Hudderfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SD

1528/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1528/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1528/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1528/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs J Lomas

4 Dacres Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HP

1181/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1181/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1181/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1181/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Derek Lomax

76 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SP

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1529/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1529/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1529/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1529/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs S R Lomax

40 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7LE

1893/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Supports the objectives set out in the policy, but objects to a loophole in the criteria that allows developments to be permitted where the benefits of the development outweigh the benefits of conserving the landscape.
1893/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Policy is completely unacceptable for reasons relating to landscape, visibility, Green Belt and must have the loophole removed that may permit . Areas of search for wind developments map is seriously flawed and must be redrawn.

London Law & Land Agent : Forge Architects

8/10 Lant Street, London, SE1 1QR

0294/2/003/S Support	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Welcome the mixed use designation.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Mrs A.I. Long

24 Edward Rd, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0241/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0241/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Lookers PLC Agent : Robert Turley Associates

776 Chester Road, Stretford, Manchester M32 0QH

0019/2/005/O B2.1 criterion (a)
Objection
Joint Case
Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".

0019/2/006/O B1.5
Objection
Joint Case
Criterion a. Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".

0019/2/007/O B1.5
Objection
Joint Case
Para 5.18. Object to cross reference to Policy B1.5a. Many office occupiers may not want or be able to afford central locations. Apply a 1000 sqm threshold before implementing the policy. Amend definition of "near a public interchange".

Cllr Brian Lord

9 Fern Close, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4NS

0165/2/005/O NR3.3
Objection
Considers 10% is not high enough. A realistic total which requires energy generation is required.

0165/2/006/O NR3.2 13.85 & 13.87
Objection
Delete area of search map. Retain criteria d. but increase distance to at least half a mile. Retain last sentence in para 13.85. Para 13.87, and section generally, is too subjective. Should adopt similar policy to neighbouring authorities.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0165/2/007/O Objection	NR3.1 13.78 & 13.79 Policy should require removal of concrete footings where these will damage environment.. Para 13.78 & 13.79 - use 'require' rather than 'expect'. Wording too subjective. Adopt similar approach to neighbouring authorities.
0165/2/008/O Objection	B2.2 criterion (c) State terms. Often sites can be sold off in parts (eg mill building, other buildings, land etc).
0165/2/009/O Objection	H1.1 M1 Frenches Wharf/Wellington Road, Greenfield Considers the indicative density to be too high and unlikely in Saddleworth.
0165/2/010/O Objection	B1.3 M2 Lumb Mill, Huddersfield Road, Delph Objects to the deletion of the site's greenfield status.

Mr Paul Lowcock

82 High Street, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6AX

1799/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

E Lowe

1B Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

1133/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1133/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Keith Lowe Agent : Power Supply Properties

6 Alton Close, Ashton Under Lyne, OL6 8XG

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0013/2/003/O Objection FD Ref: 0013/1/002/O Joint Case	B2.1 Object to cross-reference to local needs shopping policy S2.3 in that it makes this policy unduly restrictive. Local needs retailing may be different in PEZs. Raise the threshold to 400 sqm and delete the cross-reference.
0013/2/004/O Objection FD Ref: 0013/1/001/O Joint Case	S2.3 The local needs retailing threshold is too low and the new criteria (c) and (d) are unduly restrictive. The threshold should relate to retail floorspace and not gross floorspace. Increase threshold to 400 sqm.

Mr & Mrs P Lowe

Causeway Sett Farm, Huddersfield Road, Delph Oldham

1533/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1533/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1533/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1533/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs L.S Lowe

8 Dacres Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HP

1184/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1184/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1184/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1184/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ms K Lowe

2 Delph Greave Barn, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TY

1530/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1530/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1530/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1530/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Maurice Lumb

Ryefields Cottage, Ryefields Drive, Uppermill OL3 6BY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1532/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1532/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1532/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1532/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs BJ Lund

5 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0299/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0299/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Mr Howard Lunn

85 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

1534/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1534/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1534/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1534/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Tim Lynch

The Beeches, Wall Hill, Dobcross OL3 5BN

1531/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1531/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1531/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1531/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Joan Macdonald

10 Church Road, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BH

1535/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1535/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1535/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1535/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M MacDonald

43 Park Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AL

1134/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1134/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Dr&Mrs K S MacKenzie

64 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0591/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0591/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft The site has amenity and ecological value. The site is unsuitable on geological and poor drainage grounds. Maintain full Local Green Gap status. (Para 11.53 & 11.54)

Lilian Maders

Lowerfield Farm, Warren Lane, Oldham OL8 2HY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1137/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1137/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Miss A. Maguire

10 Netherhouse Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

0245/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0245/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraws

Mr J Maguire

96 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

1139/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1139/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs D Maguire

96 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TA

1138/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1138/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr David Makin

11 Brook Lane, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5BQ

1536/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1536/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1536/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1536/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
1536/2/005/O Objection	The 10% target for renewable energy sources is not challenging enough. Increase the figure to 20%.

Herbert Mallalieu

7 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL

1185/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1185/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1185/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1185/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

B Manning

89 Fir Tree Avenue, Fitton Hill, Oldham OL8 2QS

1140/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1140/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Sally Marland

32 Grains Bar, Grains Bar, Oldham OL4 2JY

1537/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1537/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1537/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1537/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Anne Marrington

78 Fisher Street, Oldham, OL1 3RJ

0801/2/004/S Support FD Ref: 0801/1/002/O Joint Case	R1 Land at Malby Street, Oldham Support change which sees land designated as recreational open space.
0801/2/005/O Objection FD Ref: 0801/1/001/O	OE1.10 Land at Malby Street, Oldham Maintain view that the land should be protected by local green gap policy and object to the change which still does not include the site within the local green gap.

Alan Marsden

3 Lower Frenches Drive, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JD

1805/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mixed use designation opens way for a food store that is not supported locally, and which would attract shoppers away from Oldham. Retain PEZ designation. Limit retail to local needs Encourage sports/leisure/business opportunities.

Mrs J Marsden

142 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RP

1541/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1541/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1541/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1541/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr D.C. Marshall

4 Broadgate, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BJ

0738/2/001/S Support	OE1.10 Wall Hill Road Supports addition of land to LGG15 as Local Green Gap.
0738/2/002/S Support	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Supports designation as Green Corridor and Link to provide additional protection to LGG15

James S Marsh

20 Kidderminster Way, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RJ

1143/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1143/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs Ivy Martindale

35 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

1538/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1538/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1538/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1538/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Michael Martin

5 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

1144/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1144/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Haydn Mason

29 Alandale Drive, OLDHAM, OL2 5TU

1202/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1202/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Joan Mason	
29 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU	
1146/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1146/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Karen Mather	
19 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS	
0714/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0714/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0714/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0714/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr & Mrs Mather	
Highor House, Thurston Clough, Dobcross OL3 5RE	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1540/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1540/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1540/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1540/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Suzanne Mather

Millstone Cottage, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL3 5SU

1543/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1543/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1543/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1543/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs L A Matthews

20 Lower Frenches Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JD

1757/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Sandra Mavro-Michaels

35 Armit Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LN

1544/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1544/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1544/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1544/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Helen Mayall

7 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5ST

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1545/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1545/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1545/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1545/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

V Mayall

7 Corbett Way, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX

1186/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1186/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1186/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1186/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

K A Mayer

Spring House Farm, Huddersfield Road, Delph OL

1546/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1546/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1546/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1546/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr/Mrs P J Mayhew

10 Brownlow Avenue, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LX

1856/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Local Green Gap designation merged onto adjacent, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

J F Mc cann

31A Wool Road, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5NS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1547/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1547/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1547/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1547/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Emily Mc clean

4 Brooklands Drive, Grotton, Oldham OL4 4LD

1548/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1548/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1548/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1548/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Margaret Mc clelland

3 West View, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5TR

1549/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1549/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1549/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1549/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lauren Mc loughlin

Glen Royd, Huddersfield Road, Delph OL

1550/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1550/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1550/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1550/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

McCarthy & Stone (Development) Ltd

Homecliffe House, 26 - 32 Oxford Road, Bournemouth BH8 8EZ

0582/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0582/1/001/O	R2.1 The development company supports the change / revised Policy, re - numbered R2.1 from R2.2, which recognises that the need or requirement for open space / facilities will vary according to the type of development, for example sheltered dwellings.

Sue McDermott

18 Buckton Close, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5NE

1551/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1551/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1551/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1551/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Alison McDonal	
2 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB	
1151/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1151/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Ben McDonal	
2 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB	
1152/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1152/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
D J McDonal	
2 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB	
1153/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1153/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mr B McEwan	
12 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1859/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1859/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1859/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally or regionally designated sites.
1859/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words 'views in or out' of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs M McEwan

12 Ainley Wood, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HL

1852/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1852/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1852/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally or regionally designated sites.
1852/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words 'views in or out' of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr Paul McGladdery

Junction House, 2 Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5SH

1552/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1552/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1552/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1552/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sharon McGladdery

Junction House, 2 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SH

1188/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1188/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1188/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1188/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dorothy McHugh

16 Hebron Street, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1854/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de -allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Miss Helen McKerracher

9 Waterside, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DP

1842/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to policy on wind farms, particularly their location in Saddleworth area or where the green belt would be violated.

Lilian McKown

17 Clipsley Cresent, Moorside, Oldham OL4 2TG

1553/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1553/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1553/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1553/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr Michael McKown

17 Clipsley Cresent, Moorside, Oldham OL4 2TG

1554/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1554/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1554/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1554/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Iris McLintock

6 New Tame, Tame Lane, Delph OL3 5TS

1556/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1556/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1556/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1556/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Malcolm McLintock

7 Dumfries Drive, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SG

1557/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1557/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1557/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1557/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Alec McLintock

6 New Tame, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TS

1555/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1555/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1555/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1555/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr J. McLintock Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o Steve Ingram Assoc Design Studio, The Heights, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TU

0650/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0650/1/002/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Mrs Louise McMahon

2 Sun Inn Mews, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

1559/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1559/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1559/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1559/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D. McNeeney

24 Chew Vale, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EQ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0607/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

K.A. McNeeney

24 Chew Vale, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EQ

0608/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Retail element of the mix will displace activity to part of the village already under traffic pressure. Greenfield and Uppermill will merge. Domination of a retail unit would change character of the village. Refer to the site as a green space.

Mr & Mrs McNeeney

24 Chew Vale, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EQ

1737/2/001/O Objection	NR2.1 13.51 Strengthen wording to require developers to contact United Utilities Plc to discuss the adequacy of the public sewerage network to accept flows generated by propsed developments and inform OMBC Planning Dept of the result.

Gavin McRae

1 Brookside Terrace, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EW

1191/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1191/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1191/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1191/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

E J McRonald

Lyndale, 14 Moorlands Caravan Park, Denshaw OL3 5UN

1560/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1560/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1560/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1560/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Medlock Limited Agent : Robert Turley Associates

Constance House, 5, Missouri Avenue, Salford M5 2NP

0617/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	B2.2 5.27 Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.

David Mellor

25 Carlton Road, Ashton-Under-Lyne,

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1194/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1194/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1194/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1194/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Gaynor Mellor

8 Parklands, Royton, Oldham OL2 5YN

0955/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
0955/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr B. Mellor

35 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0510/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0510/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Mrs P. Mellor

35 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0514/2/002/S OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Support
FD Ref: 0514/1/001/O
Conditionally withdraw

Meridian Development Company Ltd Agent : Inside Out Design

Hollinwood Business Centre, Albert Street, Hollinwood, Oldham OL8 3QL

0251/2/004/O B1.2 B1.2.3 Union Street West/Oldham Way, Oldham
Objection
FD Ref: 0251/1/001/O
Joint Case
This is a key site on one of the main approaches to the Town Centre. It is highly visible and a more flexible approach to uses for the site needs to be taken. Reallocate the site for mixed use development.

0251/2/005/O B1.2 B1.2.5 Primrose Street/Crossbank Street, Oldham Previously B1.1.33
Objection
FD Ref: 0251/1/002/O
Joint Case
Map Change Ref No. 5/11. This is a key site on one of the main approaches to the Town Centre. It is highly visible and a more flexible approach to uses for the site needs to be taken. Reallocate the site for mixed use development.

G &S Metcalf & Fletcher

37 Grains Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DS

1561/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1561/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1561/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1561/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Jacqueline Miles

Hey Farm, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1562/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1562/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1562/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1562/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Peter Miles

Hey Farm, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1563/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1563/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1563/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1563/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jacqueline Milhench

3 Coverdale Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UD

1158/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1158/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Miller Crompton Limited

Middlewood Court, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9SR

1156/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1156/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

A Miller

25, Hebron Street, Royton Oldham

1858/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designationand extension of Bullcote Park, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft in interests of amenity .

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Alan Miller	
6 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB	
1154/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1154/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
L W Miller	
6 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB	
1155/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1155/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Beverley Mills	
23 Lee Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AE	
1565/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1565/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1565/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1565/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
E & R Mills	
4 Brownlow Avenue, Heyside , Royton, Oldham OL2 6LX	
1860/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like the land merged into the existing adjacent Local Green Gap not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft for amenity reasons.
J S Mills	
4 Edge End, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5QJ	
1566/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1566/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1566/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1566/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs Betty Mills

1 Grosvenor Square, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6DG

1564/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1564/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1564/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1564/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Daniel Mistry

Maple Lodge, Hartshed Street, Lees OL4 5EE

1567/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1567/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1567/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1567/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr N.P Mitchell

20 Ponyfield Close, Birkby, Huddersfield, West Yorkshire

1403/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1403/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1403/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1403/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mobile Operators Association Agent : Mono Consultants Limited

As agent's., ,

1945/2/001/S Support	D1.12 3.87 3.90 Support the changes to these paragraphs, as they are minor in nature.
1945/2/002/S Support	D1.12 Support the deletion of the imposition of a planning condition to remove redundant equipment, as this is covered under separate regulations.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1945/2/003/O Objection	D1.12 Object to the addition to policy D1.12 criterion c. i) of the words "and, where it is established that there are no such locations;" because it replicates what criterion c. already says, is therefore unnecessary and makes the policy more cumbersome.

Asic Mohammed

3 Sun Inn Mews, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

1568/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1568/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1568/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1568/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Carol Moir

11 Broadgate, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5BJ

1573/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1573/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1573/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1573/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J & E Mooney

3 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

1569/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1569/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1569/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1569/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Michael Mooney

4 Ashlea Grove, Grotton, Saddleworth

1198/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1198/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1198/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1198/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Steve Mooney

25 Hutchins Lane, Watersheddings, Oldham OL4 2RF

1161/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1161/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Andrew J Moores

3 Delph Slack Farm, Slackgate Farm, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1572/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1572/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1572/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1572/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D.R Moores

132 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RP

1574/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1574/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1574/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1574/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

C. Moorhouse

4 Delph Greaves, Delph, OLDHAM, OL3 5TY

1575/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1575/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1575/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1575/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr N Moorhouse	
1 Fold Cottages, Woods Lane, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AU	
1162/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1162/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
B Morgan	
38 Birchinlee Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5EL	
1160/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1160/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
C Morgan	
3 The Stables, Frederick Street, Oldham OL8 4DA	
1136/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1136/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mrs G Morris	
13 Brownlow Avenue, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LX	
1863/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but land the land should be merged into the adjacent Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft, for amenity reasons.
John R C Moss	
Heys Cottage, off Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5RL	
1941/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1941/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1941/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1941/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr E. Moss

13 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0071/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0071/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

D Mottley

135 Chadderton Hall Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0QP

1175/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1175/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr J Mottram

50 Chadderton Fold, Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1

1173/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1173/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Florence Mulholland

45 Huddersfield Road, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5NT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1204/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1204/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1204/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1204/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Stuart Mullane

9 Waterside, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DP

1580/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1580/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1580/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1580/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs E Mullan

1 Corbett Way, Denshaw Vale, Denshaw OL3 5SX

1579/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1579/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1579/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1579/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

E Murphy

12 Halliwell Avenue, Hathershaw, Oldham OL8 3DL

1178/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1178/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

M Murphy

16 Gilderdale Close, Shaw, Oldham

1200/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1200/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1200/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1200/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs J Murphy

38 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1176/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1176/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Paul Murphy

8 Springfield Close, Failsworth, Manchester M35 0GB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1182/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1182/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ray Murphy

12 Halliwell Avenue, Hathershaw, Oldham OL8 3DL

1180/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1180/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

William Murray

6 Dumfries Drive, Denshaw, Saddleworth

1581/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1581/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1581/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1581/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Muskett

6 Walkers Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DJ

1582/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1582/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1582/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1582/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

John Mustoe

10 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

1583/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1583/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1583/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1583/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Georgina Myott

37 Walkers Lane, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4QD

1584/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1584/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1584/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1584/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M & B Naden

4 New Tame, Tame Lane, Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TS

1585/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1585/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1585/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1585/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ian Nadin

172 Middleton Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5LS

0577/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0577/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Objects to policy which could permit any development on the site.

National Grid Agent : Malcolm Judd and Partners

National Grid House, Kirby Corner Road, Coventry CV4 8JY

0145/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0145/1/001/O Joint Case	B2.1 Conditionally withdraws. We would like to express our support for the additional text added to paragraph 5.23.

Mr G Nelson

134 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BT

1587/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1587/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1587/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1587/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Bessie Nelson

134 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BT

1586/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1586/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1586/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1586/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Linda Newman

23 Selham Close, Ifield, Crawley RH11 OEH

1205/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1205/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs D Newman

The Cottage, Woodbrow Farm, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL3 5SP

1206/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1206/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1206/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1206/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sandra Lee Newman

The Saddleworth Hotel, Huddersfield Road, Delph, Saddleworth, Oldham OL3 5LX

1588/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1588/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1588/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1588/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs P Newport

26 Skipton Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 OQA

1238/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1238/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Jane Newton

2 Dacres Drive, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HP

1207/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1207/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1207/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1207/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Eppie Nicholls

3 Station Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7EL

1590/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1590/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1590/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1590/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Harold Nield

3 Grosvenor Square, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DG

1589/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1589/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1589/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1589/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr D. Nield

20 Luzley Brook Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6SQ

0194/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0194/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr & Mrs D Nolan

45 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1290/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1290/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1290/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1290/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David Norbury

230 Broadway, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9JE

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1215/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1215/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

North Chadderton Social & Bowling Club

Broadway, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0EL

1141/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1141/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

North West Regional Assembly

North West Assembly House, Coops Building,, Dorning Street, Wigan WN1 1HJ

0740/2/038/S Support	Original GS1 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/039/S Support	Original GS2 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/040/S Support	Original GS3 Now Deleted Support deletion.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0740/2/041/S Support	Original GS4 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/042/S Support	Original GS5 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/043/S Support	Original GS6 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/044/S Support	Original GS7 Now Deleted Support deletion.
0740/2/045/S Support	D1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/046/S Support	T2 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/047/S Support	B1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/048/S Support	B2 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/049/S Support	H1 Support proposed changes.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0740/2/050/S Support	S1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/051/S Support	TC1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/052/S Support	R1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/053/S Support	R2 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/054/S Support	OE1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/055/S Support	OE2 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/056/S Support	NR1 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/057/S Support	NR2 Support proposed changes.
0740/2/058/S Support	NR3 Support proposed changes.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0740/2/059/S Support	W1 Support proposed changes.

D Norton

6 Hanover House, Royton, Oldham

1214/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1214/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Siobain O'Brien

72 Swift Road, Sholver, Oldham OL1 4QT

1208/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1208/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1208/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1208/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

William O'Brien

Woodrow House, Oldham Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SP

1591/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1591/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1591/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1591/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs P O'Dwyer

1 Boarshurst Farm, Boarshurst Lane, Greenfield, Saddleworth OL3 7EA

1592/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1592/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1592/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1592/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M & E Ogden

12 Brownlow Avenue, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LX

1864/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but leave land as it is and merge it in with adjacent Local green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Oldham Property Partnerships Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates

c/o Agent, ,

1829/2/001/O Objection	B2.2 The requirement for extensive marketing of former employment sites is unduly onerous and does not accord with emerging Government policy on supporting the delivery of new housing. Policy B2.2 should be deleted.

Derek T. Oldham

28 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0487/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0487/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Objects to any change to the land

Liz O'Neill

29 Goodwood Drive, Oldham,

1222/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1222/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

Peter O'Neill

15 Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SX

1217/2/001/O
Objection
Joint Case

OE2.1

Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

1217/2/002/O
Objection
Joint Case

NR3.2 13.87

Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

OPP Westhulme Limited Agent : Alyn Nicholls & Associates

, ,

1837/2/001/O
Objection

R1

The Policy protects land presupposed to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value, and so protects at the expense of other policy objectives such as sustainability or regeneration.

1837/2/002/O
Objection

R1.1

The Policy protects land presupposed to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value, and so protects at the expense of other policy objectives such as sustainability or regeneration.

1837/2/003/O
Objection

Appendix E

Appendix E presupposes land within the category "Amenity Greenspace" to have amenity value, some of which may have little amenity value.

Mrs Lynne Osbourne

2 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1593/2/001/O
Objection

NR3.1

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1593/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1593/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1593/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Oxley Threads Ltd

Guide Mill, South Street, Ashton-u-Lyne OL7 0PJ

0261/2/006/O Objection Joint Case	B2.1 Objects to threshold restriction on leisure facilities.

Oxley Threads Ltd Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd

Guide Mill, South Street, Ashton-u-Lyne OL7 0PJ

0261/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	S2.4 The 500 sq.m. limit on leisure facilities is too small. It does not assist in enabling the best use to be made of existing land and buildings. Delete reference to a limit of 500 sq.m.
0261/2/005/O Objection FD Ref: 0261/1/003/O Joint Case	B2.2 Policy is too restrictive. Floorspace threshold and marketing requirements are unjustified. Range of uses is unspecified. UDP fails to recognise that some buildings are unsuited for modern business operations.(5.26-5.28)

P & D Northern Steels Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

0166/2/006/O Objection FD Ref: 0166/1/002/O	OE1.10 LGG10 Shawside, Shaw (Moss Hey) Map Change Ref No. 11/4. Object to the change in designation from "Land Reserved for Future Development" to "Local Green Gap". Re-allocate the site for residential development.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Barbara Padgett

12 Annisfield Avenue, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DJ

1211/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1211/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1211/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1211/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr A.L Parham

Charity Farm, Millcroft Lane, Delph

1594/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1594/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1594/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1594/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Audrey Park

1 Pickhill, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6BN

1798/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

Mr K Parker

2 Alpine Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5HB

1242/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1242/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr & Mrs Parkin

'Four Winds' Brownhill, Off Oldham Road,, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SL

1210/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1210/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1210/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1210/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Barry Parkin

47 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0060/2/002/W Support FD Ref: 0060/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Unconditionally Withdrawn.

Roger Parris

12A Alma Street, Eccles, Manchester M30 0EX

1244/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1244/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Richard Parslow

852 Ripponden Road, Oldham, OL4 2JZ

1595/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1595/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1595/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1595/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D Partington

11 Cecil Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 5NE

1243/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1243/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J & A Patterson

0702/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0702/1/001/O Joint Case	OE2.2 Land at Malby Street, Oldham Conditionally withdraws
0702/2/004/S Support FD Ref: 0702/1/002/O	R1 Land at Malby Street, Oldham Support land being added to recreational open space designation

S Paulley

25 Clarksfield Street, Oldham, OL4 3AW

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1209/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1209/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1209/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1209/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs K Paxton

20 Rochdale Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SE

1596/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1596/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1596/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1596/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Peak District National Park

Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell, Derbyshire DE45 1AE

0036/2/007/O Objection FD Ref: 0036/1/005/O Joint Case	OE2 11.70 Wording of policy does not adequately reflect the duty of authorities to have regard to National Park purposes when undertaking work which affects land in a National Park. (para 11.70)
0036/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 11.75 Wording of policy does not adequately reflect the duty of authorities to have regard to National Park purposes when undertaking work which affects land in a National Park.
0036/2/009/S Support FD Ref: 0036/1/002/O	Introduction 1.25 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 1.13 (revised paragprah reference 1.25).
0036/2/010/S Support FD Ref: 0036/1/006/O	T0 4.4 i Unconditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft paragraph 4.5 i., now revised draft paragraph 4.4 i.
0036/2/012/S Support FD Ref: 0036/1/001/O	T1.2 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.3 and paragraph 4.16, now revised deposit draft policy T1.2 (The Road Network) and paragraph 4.15.

Joyce. I Pearson

44 Delph Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RY

1597/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1597/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1597/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1597/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr A T Peel

210 Turf Lane, Oldham, OL2 6EU

1247/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1247/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J.M. Peel

72 Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EU

1598/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1598/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1598/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1598/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs M Peel

210 Turf Lane, Royton, Oldham OL2 6EU

1249/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1249/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J D Peet

132 Birch Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QU

1250/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1250/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Joan Peet

130 Birch Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1251/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1251/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Louanne J Pellowe-Bailey

8 Park Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DX

1756/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

John H Pellowe

Dyehouse Cottage, 8 Higher Kinders, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7BH

1790/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Support the change, but the supermarket dominates. Shopping should reflect the tone of Greenfield.

Cllr Val Pemberton

37 Princess Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7AZ

0468/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0468/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Policy may allow development in future - would like area to be green belt.

Andrew Pennington

2 Treetops Close, Dobcross, OLDHAM OL3 5AS

1883/2/001/S Support	NR3.1 Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1883/2/002/S Support	NR3.2 Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.
1883/2/003/S Support	NR3.3 Supports the policy because of need to exploit alternative renewable energy sources.

Daniel Pennington

24 Rivington Road, Springhead, Oldham

1599/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1599/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1599/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1599/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ian B Perry

Wellfield Cottage, 10 Pickhill Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth

1601/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1601/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1601/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1601/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Imogen Perry

Wellfield Cottage, 10 Pickhill Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth

1600/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1600/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1600/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1600/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J.R Perry

Wellfield Cottage, 10 Pickhill Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1602/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1602/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1602/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1602/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs I Pickering

3 Old Tame Cottage, Horest Lane, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5ST

1603/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1603/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1603/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1603/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs C Pickering

4 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SP

1253/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1253/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Alan Pickup

39 Wool Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5NS

1604/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1604/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1604/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1604/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs E Pickup

39 Wool Road, Dobcross, Saddleworth OL3 5NS

1605/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1605/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1605/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1605/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Paul Pilling

15 Thornham Lane, Slattocks, Middleton, Manchester M24 2RE

1254/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1254/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs A Plackett

Deneholme, 147 Manchester Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HJ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1606/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1606/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1606/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1606/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss M B Platt

6 Pickhill Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth, Oldham

1607/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1607/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1607/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1607/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Miss T.M Platt

122 Broadway, Royton, Oldham OL2 5BP

1608/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1608/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1608/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1608/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs D Platt

55 Pennine View, Royton, Oldham OL2 6RT

1255/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1255/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Pamela Platt

84 Rishworth Rise, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7PZ

0440/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0440/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Local Green Gap policy does not provide real protection and could allow area to be developed.

Lawrence Pleszak

18 Thornbank, Castle Lane, Carr Brook, Stalybridge SK15 3NZ

1889/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to wind developments on Denshaw / Castleshaw Moor due to visual impacts.

Delia Plunkett

70 Queensway, Greenfield, Saddleworth OL3 7AH

1609/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1609/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1609/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1609/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David R Pollitt

52 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0593/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0593/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Mr & Mrs Poole

17 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1610/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1610/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1610/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1610/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M.T Porisse

Oaklands House, Oaklands Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HN

1611/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1611/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1611/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1611/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D.J Potts

164 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BL

1615/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1615/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1615/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1615/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Potts

164 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BL

1612/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1612/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1612/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1612/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Power Supply Properties Ltd

14 B Wynford Square, West Ashton Street, Salford M5 2SN

1873/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	S2.3 Criteria (c) and (d) are unduly restrictive by being so prescriptive and will not allow for any flexibility to reflect specific circumstances. Delete criteria (c) and (d) from Policy S2.3.
1873/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	B2.1 criterion (a) Policy requires offices to be located in or adjoining to town or district centres or near major public transport interchanges. This may preclude offices from PEZs. Delete reference to Policy B1.5 from criterion (a) of Policy B2.1.
1873/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	B2.1 criterion (i) Changes fail to account for fact that retailing needs may be different in PEZs and the need to apply sequential test within 400m fails to take account that the site may be more sustainable. Reinstate original text.

Mary Power

1091 MiddletonRoad, Chadderton, Oldham

1256/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1256/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Norman Preece

27 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0252/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0252/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Land should remain green belt to protect from development

Mr B.E Prescott

5 Leefields Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6LA

1613/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1613/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1613/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1613/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

P.J Preston

62 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1614/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1614/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1614/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1614/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr J Price

13 Healds Green, Chadderton, Oldham

1258/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1258/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Tony Price

41 Park Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AL

1259/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1259/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr T Priestley

24 Serlby Road, Newthorpe, Nottingham NG16 3QD

1617/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1617/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1617/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1617/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs V Priestley

24 Selby Road, Newthorpe, Nottingham NG16 3QD

1618/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1618/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1618/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1618/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Keith Prior

70 Carr Lane, Greenfield, Saddleworth, Oldham

1619/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1619/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1619/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1619/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs M. Pritchard

47 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0328/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0328/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraws

Neil Pulman

61 Denshaw Road, Delph, Saddleworth, Oldham OL3 SLY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1620/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1620/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1620/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1620/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sally Pulman

Linfitts Cottage, Denshaw Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EU

1621/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1621/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1621/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1621/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs L Quinlan

26 Pierce Street, Watersheddings, Oldham OL1 4JH

1212/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1212/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1212/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1212/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J and M Quinn

Dog Hill House, off Buckstones Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8LD

1882/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to areas of search for wind development identifying Shaw/Crompton Moor due to impacts on recreational activities, skyline, residential properties and wildlife. Redraw the Proposals Map.

Racefield Management Company Ltd

c/o 4 Racefield Hamlet, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton OL1 2TB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1899/2/001/O Objection	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1899/2/002/O Objection	OE2.3 Objects to lack of protection offered to birds in the Green Belt outside of designated nature conservation areas. Amend policy to offer protection to birds and other wildlife.
1899/2/003/S Support	NR3 Supports the Council's aim to encourage renewable energy sources and its Policy NR3.
1899/2/004/O Objection	NR3.1 Objects to the wording of the policy and the requirements placed upon developers. Amend policy to strengthen the requirements of the detailed statement. Applicants should be required to provide a map showing developments in proximity to proposals.
1899/2/005/O Objection	NR3.2 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs L. Radcliffe

64 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham Ol2 7EY

0366/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0366/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Elias Rahman

6 Lucerne Close, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9AF

1213/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1213/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1213/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1213/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Alaur Rahman

12 Villages Drive, Coppice, Oldham OL8 1ED

1216/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1216/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1216/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1216/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ramblers' Association

10 Pendle Close, BACUP, Lancashire OL13 9JT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1900/2/001/O Objection	C1.1 Re-insert the words "views in or out of". The setting of a conservation area would be seriously comprised by the presence of wind turbines.
1900/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 13.77 Specific mention should be made of the amenities that require protection. Protection should be afforded to views within and without the Peak District. Delete the last two sentences in paragraph 13.77.
1900/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 13.86 Areas of search map is misleading on suitability of development locations by ignoring other relevant factors, i.e. sensitivity of a site. Require 12 months wind readings. Offer protection to areas where birds hunt and feed. Amend policy and text.
1900/2/004/O Objection	OE2.3 Offer protection to areas where birds hunt and feed. Amend policy and text.

Mr Alan Ramsbottom

18 Rochdale Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SE

1622/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1622/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1622/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1622/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr J Ramsbottom

110 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham

1262/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1262/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs S Ramsbottom

110 Wetherby Drive, Royton, Oldham

1263/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1263/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ransome

Friarmere, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1623/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1623/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1623/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1623/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr M. Ratcliff

47A Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LD

0767/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0767/1/001/O	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted Conditionally Withdraw.

Ravenstone Catering Services

Waterside Mill, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7NH

1812/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf The site currently forms part of our customer base. The proposed mixed development would take away any expansion opportunities. Develop the site for employment opportunities.

B & J Read

3 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0603/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0603/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Object to policy which would permit any building on the site.

Alan Reeves

27 Harewood Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TZ

1229/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Tandle Hills Country Park Tandle Hills country park was bequeathed to people of Royton for leisure, not commercial uses. Wind development would disrupt environment and distract drivers on A627(M). Reference map 13/18

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1229/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	H1.2 Holden Fold Lane, Royton Site boundary includes a pond which is a natural habitat. Pond has recently been reinstated followiing a previous housing develompment and it would be foolish to allow it to be built on.

Regina A Reeves

27 Harewood Drive, Royton, OLDHAM OL2 5TZ

1881/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Objects to wind farms.

M.W Reid

33 Palin Wood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1219/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1219/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1219/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1219/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Rhodes

12 Calderdale Court, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1324/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1324/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Carol Rhodes

31 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

1265/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1265/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

David Rhodes

3 Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

1264/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1264/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

M Rhodes

12 Calderdale Court, Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TJ

1266/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1266/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Richardsons Commercial (Oldham) Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

Greenacres Garage, Wellyhole Street, Oldham OL4 3BF

0133/2/003/S Support	B2.1 PEZ17 Wellyhole Street, Lees Map Change No. 5/22. Support the addition of this land into PEZ17.
0133/2/004/O Objection FD Ref: 0133/1/001/O	B2.1 PEZ17 Wellyhole Street, Lees Map Change No. 5/22. Object to the removal of this land from PEZ17.

Margaret Richbell

33 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1624/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1624/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1624/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1624/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

D Ridgeway

150 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5BL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1627/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1627/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1627/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1627/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr R Ridout

14 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL

1625/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1625/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1625/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1625/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs P Riedlsperger

1 Gatehead House, Gatehead Lane, Delph

1220/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1220/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1220/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1220/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Rifle Range

372 Burnley Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QP

1058/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1058/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

D.N&T.P. Rigby

90 Oldham Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HU

0089/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0089/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Change does not address original objection. Further development on Oldham Road will add to problems of congestion.

Mr Chris Rigby

13 Clifton Holm, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EZ

1626/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1626/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1626/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1626/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

AT & AF Riley

24 Greenhill Avenue, High Crompton, OLDHAM OL2 7NZ

1897/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.81 Crompton, Dunwood and Tandle Hill Object to the removal of the phrase "and nature conservation". No reference is made to impacts on wildlife or habitat loss. Importance of "no net loss of habitat" should be addressed when dealing with developments.
1897/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.85 Object to the removal of statements in paragraph 13.85, due to their removal allowing developers far too much freedom of action in relation to the siting, scale and quantity of wind farms.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1897/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Object to lack of information on who will "weigh" the impact against the "desirability of producing energy from a clean, renewable source". Decisions need to be made by independent body in consultation with nature conservation bodies and made public.

K Riley

Grange School, Rochdale Road, Oldham

1223/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1223/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1223/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1223/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs V. Riley

284, Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6NZ

0814/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0814/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Do not want any development on site.

Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Agent : J10 Planning

0709/2/002/O Objection	R2.1 An expectation for all contributions to be provided on site could thwart redevelopment given the amount of land that would be required on some sites. Some exceptions should be made.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0709/2/003/O Objection	H2.1 With regards to criteria (b) and (c) the Council should provide an example of where this might be the case within the reasoned justification, i.e. tackling sites that are blighted. Policy should refer to "development appraisals".
0709/2/004/O Objection	B2.2 Floorspace threshold is arbitrary. Delete phrase "which was originally designed as a dwelling". Re-instate six-month marketing test. Include reference to alternative uses if the premises have been empty a year. Add phrase "or for rent/lease".
0709/2/005/O Objection	NR2.2 Chew Valley Flood Risk Area is based on a perceived threat; it should be based on known areas that have flooded or are at risk. The blanket approach may jeopardise redevelopment of blighted site. Policy is over cautious and reactive.
0709/2/006/O Objection	OE1.8 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Larger floorspace than the mill building is required for re-development purposes. Need to take account of other infrastructure on the site. "Net developable area" restriction and mix of uses are inappropriate. Residential development is wanted.

Hannah Roberts

28 Seven Acres, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HU

1628/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1628/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1628/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1628/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Karen Roberts

Standedge Foot Farm, Delph, Oldham Ol3 5LU

1629/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1629/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1629/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1629/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kathryn M Roberts

Brown Hill Naze Farm, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SN

1224/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1224/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1224/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1224/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Leslie Roberts

Standedge Foot Farm, Delph, OL3 5LU

1632/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1632/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1632/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1632/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Richard Roberts

Stonewood House, Oldham Road, Delph OL3 5EB

1631/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1631/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1631/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1631/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Brenda Robertson

2 Shawcroft Close, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7DA

0503/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0503/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

R Robertson

68 Chadderton Park Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0QB

1326/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1326/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

G Robinson

1 Halls Barn, Greenfield, Oldham OL

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1634/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1634/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1634/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1634/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lindsey Robinson

1 Halls Barn, Greenfield, Oldham OL

1636/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1636/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1636/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1636/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Carl Robinson

1 Haus Barn, Greenfield, Saddleworth OL3 7BS

1630/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1630/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1630/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1630/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Darrin Robinson

44 Carr Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AX

1633/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1633/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1633/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1633/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr James Robinson

44 Carr Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AX

1635/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1635/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1635/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1635/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Natalie Robinson

48 Glen Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 5SY

1225/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1225/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1225/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1225/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

P Robinson

1 Halls Barn, Greenfield, Oldham OL

1637/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1637/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1637/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1637/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Roche

83 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1638/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1638/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1638/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1638/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J D Roe

7 Leaside Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham

1327/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1327/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

John Roe

16 St Matthews Drive, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2RB

1329/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1329/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Kathy Roe

7 Leaside Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham

1328/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1328/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Pat Roe

16 St Matthews Drive, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2RB

1331/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1331/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Adam Rogers

15 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SH

1226/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1226/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1226/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1226/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Bolton Emery Partnership

Globe Square, Dukinfield,

0113/2/021/S Support FD Ref: 0113/1/003/O	Original D1.2 Now Deleted Original 3.24 Now Deleted Changes satisfy original objection.
0113/2/022/S Support FD Ref: 0113/1/002/O Joint Case	D1.5 Note changes proposed which are supported but comment that the mechanism for providing trees elsewhere needs a better explanation.
0113/2/024/S Support FD Ref: 0113/1/017/O	H2.1 Support changes, but feel that there is some dislocation between criteria 1-3 and criteria (a) - (d). It appears that there is double testing proposed. The wording of the policy should be rationalised.
0113/2/025/O Objection FD Ref: 0113/1/018/O Joint Case	OE1.6 PPG1 does not suggest that the condition of the property is relevant. New para. 11.36 gives a misleading definition of "substantially intact dwelling".
0113/2/027/S Support FD Ref: 0113/1/014/O Joint Case	H1.3 Support changes but request reinstatement of some cross-referencing to affordable housing.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0113/2/030/S Support FD Ref: 0113/1/016/O	H1.4 Conditional withdrawal.
0113/2/031/W Support FD Ref: 0113/1/011/O	Original GS3 Now Deleted Unconditional withdrawal.
0113/2/033/O Objection FD Ref: 0113/1/013/O Joint Case	GS0 2.4 To have to wait for monitoring and partial alteration to the plan could result in potential development sites remaining vacant contrary to the advice in PPG1, PPG3, PPG4 and emerging reviews of the same.

Roland Bardsley Homes Ltd Agent : Emery Planning Partnership Ltd

Globe Square, Dukinfield,

0113/2/023/O Objection Joint Case	B2.2 Any current employment site must be assessed as to its contribution to the local economy. Policy even more restrictive than previously, esp ctiterion (a). In respect of B2.2c question whether the Council has the expertise & may not be impartial.
0113/2/026/O Objection Joint Case	R2.1 Regarding requirement for on - site provision for larger residential developments, this should not be required if adequate provision, even after completion of the development, is available adjacent to the development site.
0113/2/028/O Objection Joint Case	R1 Object to criterion (g) - urban farms can provide excellent redevelopment potential.
0113/2/029/O Objection Joint Case	OE1 11.8 Objects to the policy being aimed at "predominantly undeveloped land"
0113/2/032/S Support	GS0 2.5 Supports proposed changes.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Steve Roman

8 Slackcote Cottages, Delph,, OLDHAM, OL3 5TW

1228/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1228/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1228/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1228/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dr S P Rothery

Flat 21 Alexandra Mill, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6NA

1880/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Objects to land-based wind power schemes.

Peter Rothery

24 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BX

1230/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1230/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1230/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1230/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Tom Rothery

Treetops, North Rise, Greenfield OL3 7ED

1232/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1232/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1232/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1232/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Rothwell

Woodbrow Farm, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL3 5SD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1234/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1234/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1234/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1234/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alan Roughley

Bowk House, Denshaw, OL3 5UN

0243/2/009/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0243/2/010/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0243/2/011/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0243/2/012/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
0243/2/013/O Objection	NR3 Objects to removal of words "which improve energy efficiency and conservation" from policy. Energy saving would allow Council to stand up to Government pressure to install wind turbines which are seen as destructive and inefficient .
0243/2/014/O Objection	NR3.1 13.78 Add to para 13.78 requirement for developers to pay 7.5% of sales income per year into trust fund to ensure site restoration can be financed at end of development's comercial life (in case of company going out of business).
0243/2/015/O Objection	NR3.2 13.88 Add to para 13.88 requirement for developers to pay 7.5% of sales income per year into trust fund to ensure site restoration can be financed at end of development's comercial life. (in case of company going out of business)
0243/2/016/O Objection	NR3.1 13.79 The Environmental Impact Assessment cannot be expected to give a balanced view on benefits of the scheme as it is paid for by the applicant and that is not its function. Role of SPG, is queried in relation to this issue.
0243/2/017/O Objection	NR3.1 13.77 Remove para 13.77. Too complex and repetitive.
0243/2/018/O Objection FD Ref: 0243/1/005/O	NR3.2 Criteria d. should be restored, and distance increased to at least 1500m to protect the quality of life of local residents. "express agreement of relevant parties" should be removed as they do not express the opinions of wider community.
0243/2/019/O Objection	NR3.2 13.81 Restore phrase "and nature conservation" to protect sites designated for their special biological interest from damage which wind farm would cause.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0243/2/020/O Objection	NR3.2 13.82 13.82 should state that the applicant will be expected to have collected 12 months average wind speed data at proposed site using an anemometer of same height as pillar of proposed turbine. Average must exceed 7.5m/sec.
0243/2/021/O Objection	NR3.2 13.85 Planning permission for pylons ancillary to turbines should be sought at the same time as the turbine application as they can themselves cause a major intrusion into green belt areas.
0243/2/022/O Objection	NR3.2 13.86 Paragraph is meaningless - all its elements have been stated elsewhere. Council should not be commenting on the "desirability" of producing energy from renewable sources. Wind power unreliable - requires back up from other sources.
0243/2/023/O Objection	NR3.3 13.92/.94/.95. Policy should allow the 10% equivalent to be saved by the installation of high levels of insulation in new developments. Could enable some developments to be viable compared to irregular energy production from renewables.
0243/2/024/O Objection	NR3.2 13.87 Delete para 13.87 - repetition of statements using slightly different wording weakens them.
0243/2/025/O Objection FD Ref: 0243/1/002/O	T2.1 4.36 Policy implies more housing development which goes against public transport policy this section refers to. Although public transport is not good in parts of Saddleworth increased job opportunities would reduce need to travel.
0243/2/026/O Objection	NR3.2 13.82 Withdraw areas of search maps as they are based on computerised estimates of wind speeds and take no account of the "valuable and sensitive" aspects of the environment. Smaller developments should also meet same criteria.
0243/2/027/O Objection	CF1.5 SPG should be made open to public comment & published at the same time as the UDP. The policy still does not make it clear that sums should go to the nearest school as requested in the original objection.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs V Rudd

41 Wellmeadow Lane, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DU

1639/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1639/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1639/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1639/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Amy Runacre

29 Springwood Estate, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HQ

1236/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1236/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1236/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1236/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J & S Rushton

11 Brownlow Avenue, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LX

1865/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like land merging with adajcent Local Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Saddleworth Civic Trust

10 The Square, Dobcross, Saddleworth, Oldham OL3 5AA

0828/2/036/S Support FD Ref: 0828/1/002/O	OE1.10 LGG19 Ainsley Wood, Delph Conditionally withdraws
0828/2/019/S Support	OE1.10 Wall Hill Road Supports extension to LGG15
0828/2/020/S Support	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Supports proposed designation as green corridor and link
0828/2/021/S Support FD Ref: 0828/1/005/O	OE1.10 LR10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill Conditionally withdraws
0828/2/022/S Support FD Ref: 0828/1/008/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraws
0828/2/023/S Support	OE2.2 Lydgate Tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft Supports designation as Green Corridor & Link

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0828/2/024/S Support	OE2.2 Wall Hill Road Support designation as Green Corridor & Link
0828/2/025/O Objection	GS0 2.3 f. The wording implies that the strong protection of the Green Belt may be relaxed in the case of certain developments. It should emphasise that development will only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. New wording suggested.
0828/2/026/O Objection	Introduction 1.33 This paragraph should be deleted and extensive cross-referencing provided throughout the plan, as no one will read the entire document.
0828/2/027/W Support FD Ref: 0828/1/014/O	OE1.10 Land in vicinity of Prospect Farm Unconditionally withdraws - accept green belt designation.
0828/2/028/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.15 Bailey Mill, Oldham Road As housing has been allowed on the adjacent land, the mill should be designated for mixed development & the route of the obsolete railway line designated a green corridor and link, recreation route or recreational open space.
0828/2/029/O Objection	C1.1 Objects to the changes to the wording of policy C1.1, because the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to or in view of it.
0828/2/030/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0828/2/031/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0828/2/032/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0828/2/033/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
0828/2/034/O Objection	NR3.3 13.92-13.94 The 10% target for renewable energy sources is not challenging enough. Increase the figure to 20%.
0828/2/035/O Objection FD Ref: 0828/1/018/O	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf As at first deposit stage, whilst not objecting to mixed use at the site, this usage should be conditional upon maintaining the distinctive character of the site by retaining the bulk of the historic mill buildings.

Saddleworth Hotel Agent : Chorlton Planning

Hudderfield Road, Delph, OLDHAM

1896/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Castleshaw Valley, Delph Map Change Ref No. 13/18. Objects to inclusion of parts of the Castleshaw Valley map on the areas of search for wind developments map.

Saddleworth Parish Council Agent : Eagland Planning Associates

Civic Hall, Lee Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AE

0040/2/033/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/009/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LGG15 Wall Hill Road, Dobcross Conditionally withdraws
0040/2/018/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/006/O Joint Case	OE1.1 Conditionally withdraw
0040/2/019/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/015/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0040/2/020/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/012/O Joint Case	OE2.2 Lydgate tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw
0040/2/021/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/011/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LGG19 Ainsley Wood, Delph Conditionally withdraw
0040/2/022/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/016/O	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted Conditional Withdrawl.
0040/2/023/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/003/O Joint Case	B1.3 Conditionally withdraws.
0040/2/024/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/017/O Joint Case	B2.3 Conditionally withdraws.
0040/2/025/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/001/O	Original T1.2 Now deleted Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.2 (Local park and ride).
0040/2/026/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/002/O	T1.5 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.6 (Disused rail infrastructure), now revised deposit draft policy T1.5.
0040/2/027/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/008/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraws
0040/2/028/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/004/O	W1.3 CW

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0040/2/029/S Support FD Ref: 0040/1/005/O	W1.4 14.2 CW
0040/2/030/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.1 The policy should be absolutely clear in "requiring" wind developments to be accompanied by a detailed statement of environmental effects.
0040/2/031/O Objection FD Ref: 0040/1/014/O Joint Case	NR3.2 Partially maintains original objection, but objects to lack of detail required for the environmental statement on noise and historical/archaeological interests. Refer to visual amenity in Green Belt and require six months testing for wind resources.
0040/2/032/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.3 Objects that the policy only applies to major developments and not those of nine or fewer properties. Passive solar designs could be applied to most forms of new development as a means of reducing reliance on wind developments in Saddleworth.

Ms K. Sage

27 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LD

0764/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0764/1/001/O Joint Case	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted Supports the change, but is concerned that the site may still be developed in the future.

Emma Salloway

128 Rochdale Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7SB

1398/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1398/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1398/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1398/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kim Salmon

3 Walkers Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DJ

1640/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1640/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1640/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1640/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Pauline Salmon

3 Walkers Close, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6DJ

1641/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1641/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1641/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1641/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Eric Saville

36 Harewood Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TZ

1334/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1334/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

James Saville

1 Kenton Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7ES

0449/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0449/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Jon Saxon

9 Dacres Drive, Greenfield, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1377/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1377/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1377/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1377/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Andrew Sayers

42 Chew Brook Drive, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7PD

1788/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf There is no need for a supermarket and there are no improvements for services for young people. Allow mixed business units and small retail units to encourage new business opportunities. Tie any development planning gain in to youth facilities.

Liz Sayers

42 Chew Brook Drive, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7PD

1789/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf No retail at Frenches Wharf. Remove the reference to retail.

Mr Stephen Scarsbrook

41 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1642/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1642/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1642/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1642/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lesley Schofield

10 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL3 6BX

1379/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1379/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1379/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1379/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M Schofield

Buckley Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BP

1643/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1643/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1643/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1643/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

C E Scholes

Lockwood Hey Farm, Broad Lane Heights, Delph OL3 5TX

1645/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1645/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1645/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1645/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Christine Scholes

82 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

1644/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1644/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1644/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1644/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J M Scholes

42 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1336/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1336/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr David Scholes

Lockwood Hay Farm, Broad Lane, Delph OL3 5TX

1646/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1646/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1646/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1646/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Paul Scholes

82 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

1378/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1378/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1378/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1378/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Timothy Scott

4 The Spinney, Scouthead, OLDHAM OL4 4BE

1809/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Not in favour of a supermarket and concerned about the traffic implications of any supermarket development.

Mr Simon Searles

40 Quail Street, Salem, Oldham OL4 5HD

1647/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1647/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1647/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1647/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr S.V. Sedgwick

Ryefields, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0162/2/002/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0162/2/003/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0162/2/004/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0162/2/005/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Melissa Selkon

231 The Fairway, New Mosten, Manchester M40 3WA

1399/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1399/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1399/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1399/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Margaret Sellers

Old Tame Cottage, Old Tame, Denshaw OL3 5SS

1648/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1648/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1648/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1648/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Nicola Semple

3 Fleet Street, Clarksfield, Oldham OL4 1NH

1649/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1649/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1649/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1649/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Derek Seville

10 Brownhill Lane, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 6BZ

1650/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1650/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1650/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1650/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Peter Sharpe

16 Oak View Road, Greenfield, Oldham Ol3 7EP

1755/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Patricia Sharp

70 Stonewood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DY

1651/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1651/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1651/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1651/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Robert Sharp

70 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DY

1652/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1652/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1652/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1652/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Shaw & Crompton Parish Council

Council Offices, 23 High Street, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8RF

0042/2/005/S Objection FD Ref: 0042/1/003/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Welcomes Local Green Gap allocation but would like areas west and south of Newbarn school, which have been wooded, to be allocated as recreational space.
0042/2/006/S Support FD Ref: 0042/1/002/O	R1 Crompton Circuit Support inclusion of Crompton Circuit in list of recreational routes, previously omitted in draft Policy R1.1 in first deposit.
0042/2/007/S Support FD Ref: 0042/1/001/O	T1.1 4.13 Conditionally withdraw objection to first deposit draft policy T1.1 (Transport Infrastructure) and paragraph 4.11, now revised deposit draft policy T1.1 and paragraph 4.13.
0042/2/008/O Objection FD Ref: 0042/1/004/O	H1.2 H1.2.6 Lilac View Close Proposed change (reduction in indicative capacity) does not meet concerns - wishes the site to to be allocated as green belt.
0042/2/009/O Objection	CF1.5 The Parish Council disagrees with the 50+ dwelling threshold before this policy is applied. The threshold should be 10 dwellings or more in line with other policies & take account of the cumulative impact of small infill and brownfield developments.
0042/2/010/S Support	NR3.2 13.82 Parish Council has no objections to the areas of search in principle, however due to the location of the sites any developments would have to be undertaken very sensitively with particular regard to visual impact.
0042/2/011/O Objection	NR3.3 Parish Council wants the target for renewable energy to be 20% and not 10%.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Carol L Shaw

47 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Saddleworth OL3 7NY

1754/2/001/O B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf
Objection

Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

David Shaw

4 Delph Slack Farm, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1380/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1380/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1380/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1380/2/004/O C1.1
Objection

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dorothy Shaw

6 Ryefields Drive, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BX

1654/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1654/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1654/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1654/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Felicity Shaw

4 Delph Slack Farm, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1657/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1657/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1657/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1657/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Shaw

3 Buckley Drive, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5RT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1655/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1655/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1655/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1655/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Raymond Shaw

5 Delph Slack Farm, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1659/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1659/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1659/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1659/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs L A Shaw

13 Delph Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RY

1658/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1658/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1658/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1658/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Barbara C Sheard

56 Wellmeadow Lane, Uppermill, OLDHAM

1937/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1937/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1937/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1937/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
1937/2/005/O Objection	NR3.2,Map 13/18 In considering the location of any proposed wind generators it is vital to consider the visual impact which will be evident from the Pennine Way. The preservation of its scenic integrity should be included in the Council's criteria.

David Shenton

4a New Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AU

1782/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf A major supermarket would close most small food retailers and the quality of life would suffer.

Mrs P Shepley

9 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1660/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1660/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1660/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1660/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Phillida Shipp

6 Dale Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HY

1661/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1661/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1661/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1661/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
1661/2/005/S Support	NR3.3 Supports the addition of Policy NR3.3 as it is important to reduce CO2 output and the policy will help achieve that.
1661/2/006/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.3 Whilst supporting the purpose of this section, the percentage of energy requirements from renewable sources should be increased from 10% to 25%.

M Simms

21 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1337/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1337/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

S Simms

21 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

1338/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1338/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Graham Sinclair

31 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

1381/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1381/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1381/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1381/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Jean Sinclair

31 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

1662/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1662/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1662/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1662/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G and K Slater

Ferndown, Kinders Crescent, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JQ

1797/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. Remove reference to retail or limit the size.

Annie Smith

22 Mill Street, Royton, Oldham OL2 5QW

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1339/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1339/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Becky Smith

47 Wellmeadow Lane, Uppermill, Saddleworth OL

1664/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1664/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1664/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1664/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Brian R. Smith

11 Beech Hill Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4DR

0595/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0595/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Harry Smith

Hollinbank Cottage, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RP

1665/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1665/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1665/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1665/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kevin Smith

475 Burnley Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0BW

1340/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1340/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ms J L Smith

2 The Barracks, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5SR

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1663/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1663/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1663/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1663/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Somerfield Stores Ltd. Agent : Roger Tym and Partners

Somerfield House, Whitchurch Lane, Bristol BS14 0TJ

0011/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0011/1/001/O Joint Case	S1 Conditionally withdraws.
0011/2/004/S Support FD Ref: 0011/1/002/O Joint Case	Original S1.6 Now deleted Conditionally withdraws.

John Southern

11 Netherhouse Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

0584/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0584/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Ian South

137 Chew Valley Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7JN

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1769/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Robert Southwick

237 Dialstone Lane, Offerton, Stockport SK2 7LD

1382/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1382/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1382/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1382/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Barry Spence

86 Cathedral Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0RG

1342/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1342/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Howard Spinks

127 Denbydale Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TE

1345/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1345/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Sport England

Astley House, Quay Street, Manchester M3 4AE

0495/2/036/S Support	R1.3 New policy R1.3 explicitly supports protection of playing fields.
0495/2/034/O Objection Joint Case	R1 The policy could relate more closely to PPG17 typologies and indicate the different types of open space or facility on the Proposals Map.
0495/2/014/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/012/O	R0 Revised 10.7 Support change to wording in paragraph 10.5 of First Deposit, now expressed in para 10.7 to give due regard to the term 'sport'.
0495/2/015/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/010/O	R0 Revised 10.3 Support reference to 'sport', not refered to formerly in paragraph 10.2 of First Deposit Draft
0495/2/016/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/009/O	R2.1 The Policy (re -numbered from R2.2) now refers to the requirement to provide sport facilities in addtion to open space and recreation facilities as a consequence of all residential development.
0495/2/017/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/007/O	R2 Support amendment to Policy, making reference to 'sport' when providing new and /or improving provison.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0495/2/018/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/008/O	R2 The Policy now refers to 'sport' when refering to the requirement for new and improved facilities through new developments.
0495/2/019/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/006/O	R1.1 The deletion of paragragh 10.13 removes the potential for incremental loss of playing fields.
0495/2/020/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/003/O	R0 Revised 10.1 The introductory paragraph now makes reference to 'sport'.
0495/2/021/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/002/O	R0 Support change to title of Chapter to 'Open Space, Sport and Recreation Facilities' from 'Recreation and Open Space', whcih gives due regard to sport, and relects PPG17.
0495/2/022/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/011/O	R1 Original 10.9 Now Deleted, new text added Support deletion of paragraph 10.9 of the First Deposit Draft which refers to targets based on national standards, no longer recommended by the Government in lieu of expected local standards of provisions as advised in PPG17.
0495/2/024/O Objection Joint Case	R1.1 10.33 In asessing whether replacement or improvement is most appropriate in lieu of facilites allowed for alternative uses, reference should be made also to the Council's other existing strategies for guidance, eg Community and Greenspace Strategies.
0495/2/025/O Objection Joint Case	R1.1 10.38 / 10.39 Another exception should be added to the policy - that being where (The Council will permit the loss of a facility...provided that among other things) one of the local strategies of the Council is in place that dictates otherwise.
0495/2/026/O Objection Joint Case	R1.1 The change does not include an exception to the Policy, that being that reference should be made to any other local strategies of the Council to guide whether or not development will be permitted which would result in the loss of a facility.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0495/2/027/O Objection Joint Case	R1.2 Local strategies of the Council should also be referred to, to determine whether or not proposals for the the redevelopment or change of use of buildings currently or most recently used for sport or recreation as in Policy R1, should be refused.
0495/2/028/O Objection Joint Case	R1.3 The new Policy does not make reference to the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy which should be referred to as an exception in determining whether playing fields should be allowed for development for alternative uses.
0495/2/029/O Objection Joint Case	R2 10.52 Reference to 'sport' has been omitted, and should be referred to in the paragraph as it has been in the policy to which it relates, (Policy R2).
0495/2/030/O Objection Joint Case	R2.1 10.56 Reference should be made to the Council's Greenspace Strategy as a guide when negotiating with developers on the requirement for new or improved provision in new residential developments, as paragraph 10.58 does.
0495/2/031/O Objection Joint Case	R2.1 The amended policy does not make reference to new or improved provision arising from new residential developments being guided by the findings of a local strategy or assessment.
0495/2/032/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/005/O Joint Case	R1.1 Policy now ensures replacement but size of it needs referring to and reference to local strategies when replacement is involved to compensate for the loss of open space.
0495/2/033/S Support FD Ref: 0495/1/004/O Joint Case	R1 Policy R1 satisfies the original objection and includes the word sport as requested.
0495/2/035/S Support	CF1.4 The change in emphasis directly relates to the proposed development.

Stafregent Limited Agent : Berg & Co.

Sandy Mill, Royton, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1819/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	H1.2 H1.2.14 Sandy Mill, Royton The site should be allocated for Phase 1 housing development. It is immediately available, in a poor state of repair, an eyesore and detracts from the visual amenity of the area. There are no other phase 1 allocations in Royton.

Susan Stahr

10 Cavendish Way, Royton, Oldham OL2 5DQ

1347/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1347/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Standeaven Trading Ltd Agent : Medlock Group

19B Park Lane, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7DX

1876/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B2.2 5.27 Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.

Standedge Limited

9 Retiro Street, Oldham, OL1 1SA

0018/2/003/S Support FD Ref: 0018/1/002/O	H1.1 H1.1.8 Land at Redgrave Street, Oldham Now Deleted Conditional withdrawl.

Mr & Mrs J Stanford

2 Wharmton View, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7JU

1796/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Not in favour of a retail development due to impacts on traffic and local shops.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr&Mrs Stead

14 Greencroft Meadow, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LQ

0454/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0454/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Wish to see land protected as green belt to prevent any building on land.

Marilyn Sterndale

5 WestView, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TR

1667/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1667/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1667/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1667/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Maurice Sterndale

5 Westview, Delph, Oldham OL3 5TR

1668/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1668/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1668/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1668/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Katherine Stevenson

45 Hudderfield Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SB

1669/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1669/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1669/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1669/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr P. Stevenson

53 Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7LD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0761/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0761/1/001/O	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted Supports the deletion of this housing allocation.

Neil D Stewart

37A Chew Brook Drive, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7PD

1791/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Mix invites threat of food store. Retail policy offers limited protection to local shops. A proposed food store will destroy existing Greenfield shops. There is enough in Oldham Town. Remove reference to retail or limit the size. Retain the mill.

M Stone

40 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU

1348/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1348/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr P Stott

7 Pickhill Mews, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6LY

1670/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1670/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1670/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1670/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Peter Stott

45 Oldham Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EB

1671/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1671/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1671/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1671/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs B Stott

3 Hebron Street, Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1861/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation / Local Green Gap, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Sheila Stott

45 Oldham Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5EB

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1672/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1672/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1672/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1672/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr John Strange

Birches Farm, Gellfield Lane, Uppermill OL3 6LH

1680/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1680/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1680/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1680/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Ronnan Strzala

1 Saint Anns Square, Delph, Oldham OL3 5JD

1681/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1681/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1681/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1681/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Stubbs

63 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1673/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1673/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1673/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1673/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Maureen Stvzaker

4 Turfton Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6EW

1349/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1349/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

S Summerscales

Lincoln Street, Werneth, Oldham OL9 7RN

1383/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1383/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1383/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1383/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs C Sunderland

Knowl House, Bleak Hey Nook, Delph OL3 5LY

1674/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1674/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1674/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1674/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr C Sunderland

Knowl House, Bleak Hey Nook, Delph OL3 5LY

1675/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1675/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1675/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1675/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Olivia Sunderland

Knowl House, Bleak Hey Nook, Delph OL3 5LY

1676/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1676/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1676/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1676/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Sutcliffe

Springhead Cottage, Heights Lane, Delph OL3 5TU

1678/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1678/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1678/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1678/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Ian Sutcliffe

12 Summerhill View, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5TB

1677/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1677/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1677/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1677/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Roy Sutcliffe

Springhead Cottage, Heights Lane, Delph OL3 5TU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1679/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1679/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1679/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1679/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
C Sweeney	
51 Linkside Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 6YS	
1351/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1351/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Caroline Sykes	
10a Kinders Lane, Greenfield, Oldham OL3	
1753/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Leslie Sykes	
13 Dale Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HY	
1682/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1682/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1682/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1682/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Marjorie Sykes	
16 Oozewood Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5XN	
1352/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1352/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Peter Sykes	
16 Oozewood Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5XW	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1360/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1360/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Tameside MBC

Council Offices, Wellington Road, Ashton under Lyne OL6 6DL

1885/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to inclusion of areas on the border with Tameside near Hartshead Pike and Quick Edge, Mossley on the areas of search for wind development map due to impacts on visual, landscape and listed buildings.

Tanner Brothers Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

Waterside Mill, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7NH

0267/2/005/O Objection	H1 The revised housing figure of 270 dwellings per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be allocated.
0267/2/006/O Objection	H1 Housing clearance strategy is unclear. Greater clarification required to establish what is involved and how many dwewllings provided. Additional housing land should be allocated to make up for loss of dwellings through greening of older areas.
0267/2/007/O Objection	H1 6.13 Development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites gives inaccurate reflection of windfall development. Unlikely that development of replacement dwellings on cleared sites will result in increase in dwellings. Allowance for cleared sites needed.
0267/2/008/O Objection	H1.1 6.27 Strategy for reoccupation of vacant dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against housing requirement, as double counting would result. Additional housing land should be allocated.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0267/2/009/O Objection	H1.1 Uncertain that additional sites listed will come forward within the life of the UDP. Doubts due to environmental constraints and marketability; previously developed sites should come forward before greenfield allocations. Shortfall in provision.
0267/2/010/O Objection	H1.5 Notion of achieving a mix of types and sizes supported. Threshold too low, however, and policy should not apply to all sites. Greater emphasis should be placed on provision for local needs and threshold raised to sites over 1ha and 25 dwellings.
0267/2/011/O Objection	H2.1 Proposed changes do not account for local variations in affordable housing needs. Policy should be reworded to take account of variations in local housing markets needs and trends.
0267/2/012/O Objection	H1.2 Doubt as to whether the additional sites included in Revised Deposit Draft version of Policy H1.2 will come forward.
0267/2/013/O Objection	H1.1 6.27 Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.

Bernard Taylor

14 Grains Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DS

1683/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1683/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1683/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1683/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Charlotte Taylor

49 Gladstone Terrace Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7HF

1684/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1684/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1684/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1684/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

David Taylor

10 Holcombe View Close, Moorside, Oldham

1384/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1384/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1384/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1384/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Enid A Taylor

8 Hillspring Road, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4SJ

1385/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1385/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1385/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1385/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Helen Taylor

Higher Oxhey Farm, Oxhey Lane, Denshaw OL

1685/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1685/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1685/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1685/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Jean Taylor	
Thornbury House, Thorp, Royton OL2 5TH	
1402/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1402/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
M Taylor	
Thornbury House, Thorp, Royton OL2 5TH	
1401/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1401/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Malcolm Taylor	
10 Steadway, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7DY	
1752/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.
Mr T G Taylor	
46 Alandale Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TU	
1404/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1404/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mrs J Taylor	
76 Birch Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2QM	
1400/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1400/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
W Taylor	
493 Rochdale Road, Middleton, Manchester M24 2GH	
1406/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1406/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Elizabeth Teague

The Monastery, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1687/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1687/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1687/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1687/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Holly Teague

The Monastery, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1688/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1688/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1688/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1688/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Alan Teague

The Monastery, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1686/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1686/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1686/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1686/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Sally Teague

The Monastery, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1689/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1689/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1689/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1689/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Tesco Stores Ltd Agent : Development Planning P/ship

P O Box 400, Cirrus Building, Shire Park, Welwyn Garden City AL7 1AB

1827/2/001/S Support	S1 Huddersfield Road District Centre Tesco are supportive of the extension to the boundary of Huddersfield Road District Centre for comprehensive redevelopment incorporating new build and refurbishment for a mix of uses to stimulate regeneration.
1827/2/002/O Objection	S1 The definition of the area beyond the Central Shopping Core as "edge of centre" is in conflict with the PPG6 definition of edge of centre. There is also ambiguity in applying the sequential approach. Clarification is required on both these points.
1827/2/003/O Objection	S1.2 The 300 sq.m. size threshold for applying the applying the policy tests is inappropriately low and far too prescriptive. It should be amended. Clarification is sought on the suggested use of planning conditions.
1827/2/004/O Objection	S1.3 The role of shops in encouraging footfall should be expanded upon. The Plan should acknowledge the `anchor` role that larger retail units can play in vitalising centres.
1827/2/005/O Objection	S1.6 The requirement for development to be consistent with the function of a District Centre is not in accordance with PPG6 and should be omitted from the policy.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1827/2/006/O Objection	S1.7 Reference to retail statements is unnecessarily re-iterated in three paragraphs. The content overall is repetitive and complex and should be re-visited and re-worded. (7.40/7.42/7.43)
1827/2/007/O Objection	T2.1 The requirement for all major new developments generating a significant number of trips to have at least good access to public transport is overtly stringent and is not specified in PPG13. It should be changed to accord with national policy.
1827/2/008/S Support	B1.2 The role other employment generating uses which complement adjoining development or are compatible with B1 or B2 uses can have is supported. The Council should be mindful of the role retail investment can have on job creation and local economy.
1827/2/009/O Objection	B1.3 M4 Huddersfield Road / Dunkerley Street, Oldham No justification or explanation of the rationale behind the allocation and why the change from District Centre status has been provided. In light of this, Tesco would question whether the definitive boundary can be established.
1827/2/010/O Objection	R1.1 Omit from text of Policy R1.1 under a., the requirement for the replacement facility where required, to be provided prior to the use of the existing site / facility being terminated, since PPG 17 does not require this.
1827/2/011/O Objection	D1.1 3.14 to 3.16 The requirement for design statements to be submitted in support of all planning applications (including outline) is opposed, because design statements will not always be necessary, and design requirements would better be set out in SPG.
1827/2/012/O Objection	D1.2 Support the opportunistic approach that this policy adopts in promoting innovative and energy efficient design, but the Council should consider translating these requirements into Supplementary Planning Guidance.

The Environment Agency

Appleton House, 430 Birchwood Boulevard, Birchwood Warrington WA3 7WD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0665/2/008/O Objection	OE1.10 Land off Chetwyn Avenue, Royton Objects to removal of part of LGG1 as the River Irk flows through the area removed, therefore the protection given to the river and its banks would be decreased.
0665/2/009/O Objection	OE1.8 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd No objection to allocation but policy should include clause to protect and enhance Chew Brook and mill lodges.
0665/2/010/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/001/O	NR2.2 Conditionally withdraws.
0665/2/011/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/002/O	NR2.3 Conditionally withdraws.
0665/2/012/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/004/O	NR2.1 Conditionally withdraws.
0665/2/013/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/005/O	NR1 Conditionally withdraws - objection met by Policy NR2.1.
0665/2/014/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/006/O	NR0 Conditionally withdraws. (NR2 13.42)
0665/2/015/S Support FD Ref: 0665/1/007/O	NR0 Partial withdraws. Agency welcomes the inclusion of Appendix B. However, it is still considered that more summarised information is needed in the Plan for development allocations.
0665/2/016/O Objection FD Ref: 0665/1/003/O	NR1.6 13.35 Policy does not adequately address issue of landfill gas migration. It covers land remediation, but not specifically landfill gas migration. Delete reference to Enviornment Agency in para 13.35 as other bodies may also hold information on risk.

Name **Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section**

The House Builders Federation

Westerdale Suite, Tower Court Business Centre, Oakdale Road, Clifton Moor, York YO30

0108/2/011/O
Objection
FD Ref: 0108/1/001/O

H1

Objects to: the use of SPG's to revise statutory policy, the housing "target" of 270 dellings p.a., and assumptions regarding clearance levels and the strategy to replace cleared dwellings, including the non-replacement of vacant dwellings.

0108/2/012/S
Support
FD Ref: 0108/1/005/O

H1.3

Proposed changes welcomed as the policy is permissive to development rather than restrictive.

0108/2/013/S
Support
FD Ref: 0108/1/004/O

H0

Supports addition of Appendix B, although maintains remainder of original objection relating to a lack of choice in the Phase 2 allocations.

0108/2/014/S
Support
FD Ref: 0108/1/003/O

D1.6

Conditionally withdrawn.

0108/2/015/S
Support
FD Ref: 0108/1/002/O

Original D1.2 Now Deleted

Conditionally withdrawn.

0108/2/016/S
Support
FD Ref: 0108/1/010/O

GS0 2.4

Conditionally withdrawn. (Objection originally related to GS1)

The National Trust

NW Region, Stamford Estates Office, 18 Market Street, Altrincham WA14 1PH

1616/2/001/O
Objection

OE2 11.70

Although generally supportive of the additional text at the end of par. 11.70, it is felt that the second sentence should appear in the Policy itself rather than in the supporting text.

1616/2/002/O
Objection

OE2.1 11.75

Supportive of additional text at end of para. 11.75 (re. assessing impact of development on visual qualities and values of the National Park) but wishes for it to be in the Policy rather than supporting text.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1616/2/003/S Support	OE2.3 Supports additional wording at end of sub-para.c. Nature conservation value of a variety of elements of the wider landscape is often under appreciated. Are important components of the ecology of an area.
1616/2/004/S Support	OE2.3 Map change 11/20 Supports inclusion on Proposals Map of the boundaries of the National and International Nature Conservation Areas within the Borough. Important designations that should be made readily apparent to users of the UDP.
1616/2/005/O Objection	GS0 2.3 f. The statement does not reflect Government policy on Green Belt. It should be amended to remove the reference to renewable energy generation or clarify that very special circumstances will need to be demonstrated to justify inappropriate development.
1616/2/006/O Objection	NR3.1 13.77 Need an appropriate balance between renewable energy sources and environmental protection. Para 13.77 does not adequately accord with national policy. Suggested amended wording for Para 13.77.
1616/2/007/O Objection	NR3.2 13.81-13.91 Need an appropriate balance between renewable energy sources and environmental protection. Areas of search map is without basis, and fails to take account of landscape character and Peak National Park. Delete map and prepare SPG.
1616/2/008/S Support	D1.2 Policies such as D1.2 have an important role to play in encouraging and promoting greater energy efficiency through the planning system. Hope that further guidance in the form of an SPG will be prepared.

J D Theakstone

Knowl Farm, Standedge, Delph OL3 5LU

1690/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1690/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1690/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1690/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

W H Theakstone

Knowl Farm, Standedge, Delph OL3 5LU

1691/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1691/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1691/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1691/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Thomas

35 Willington Road, Duddon, Tarporley CW6 0HD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1692/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1692/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1692/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1692/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr David Thomas

Moorlands Caravan Park, Ripponden Road, Denshaw OL3 5UN

1693/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1693/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1693/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1693/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr E Thomas

70 Grasmere Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6SJ

1408/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1408/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Gareth Thomas

Hawthorn View, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL

1694/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1694/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1694/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1694/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Mrs D Thomas	
33 Harewood Drive, Royton, Oldham OL2 5TZ	
1407/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1407/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
J Thompson	
1 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SF	
1696/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1696/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1696/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1696/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr David Thompson	
The Millinery, Lee Street, Uppermill OL3 6AE	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1695/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1695/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1695/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1695/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs Joan E Thompson

23 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0076/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0076/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraw

Ann Thorne

Maudson Cottage, Mason Row, Thurston Clough Road, Scouthead, Oldham OL4 3RX

1891/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to wind developments in Saddleworth.

Thornham Area Neighbourhood Council

64 Tandle Hill Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UX

0091/2/005/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to wind development policy, particularly the area of search map including Tandle Hill area. Area used for recreation, turbines in area would spoil views.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mr&Mrs SK Thornton

451 Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6PB

0501/2/002/S	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw
Objection FD Ref: 0501/1/001/O	Reservations about Local Green Gap policy - may allow some development. Would prefer designation to be protected open land.

Alan Thorpe

5 Netherhouse Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

1426/2/001/O	OE2.1
Objection Joint Case	Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1426/2/002/O	NR3.2 13.87
Objection Joint Case	Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs G Thorpe

5 Netherhouse Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

1428/2/001/O	OE2.1
Objection Joint Case	Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1428/2/002/O	NR3.2 13.87
Objection Joint Case	Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr G.H. Tibbot

7 Delph Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5HX

1231/2/001/O	NR3.2
Objection	Objects to area of search for wind developments in Saddleworth. Environmental return would be minimal. Potential danger of ice on blades being projected and injuring members of public.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

S Timmins

362 Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6PB

1386/2/001/O
Objection

NR3.1

More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1386/2/002/O
Objection

NR3.2

Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1386/2/003/O
Objection

OE2.3

Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1386/2/004/O
Objection

C1.1

The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

W A Tomlinson

1 Hill Top Farm, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SB

0691/2/005/S
Support

T1.4 4.26 Rochdale Canal

Support the changes, especially insofar as they relate to the Rochdale Canal at Mills Hill and Chadderton Hall Park.

P Townrow

19 Lee Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AB

1751/2/001/O
Objection

B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf

Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

D Travis

Heights Lane, Saddleworth, Oldham OL3

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1697/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1697/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1697/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1697/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Phillip Travis

Higher Barn Farm, Dark Lane, Delph OL3 5TY

1698/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1698/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1698/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1698/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs G Travis

8 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SF

0658/2/003/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0658/2/004/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0658/2/005/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0658/2/006/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Susan Travis

Tame Head, Buckley Drive, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5RT

0661/2/003/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0661/2/004/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0661/2/005/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0661/2/006/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Troll Pension Fund Agent : Chorlton Planning

c/o 2 New Street, Uppermill, Oldham

1415/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR2.2 Spring Mill, Uppermill Proposals map should show precise flood risk area, not diagrammatic indicative flood area, to ensure future owners are fully informed of risk. (map change ref 13/16)

Mrs P Trueman

1 Wham Cottage, Slackgate Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

1700/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1700/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1700/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1700/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

R B Trueman

1 Wham Cottage, Slackcote Lane, Denshaw OL3 5TZ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1701/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1701/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1701/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1701/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Turner

71 Stockport Road, Lydgate, Oldham OL4 4JJ

1702/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1702/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1702/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1702/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ruth Turner

1 Summerhill View, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5TB

1703/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1703/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1703/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1703/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs DG Tyrrell

21 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0086/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0086/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 Summershades Lane Partial Withdraw.

U-Aerials & Communications Ltd Agent : Medlock Group

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0711/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	B2.2 5.27 Changes are unreasonable and contrary to national guidance. Reference to smaller dwellings is unduly restrictive. Fails to account for regeneration benefits of using former employment land. Re-instate original clauses.

Barry Ulyatt

17 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SH

0655/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0655/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0655/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0655/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Margaret Ulyatt

17 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SH

0654/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0654/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0654/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0654/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

United Utilities Green Energy Ltd Agent : Atkins Planning Consultants

c/o Agent, ,

1887/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Supports the areas of search for wind developments and the principle of policy, but reference should be made to landscape character, Draft PPS22 and national sustainability objectives, as well as to the benefits of renewable energy projects.

United Utilities PLC

Ground Floor, Thirlmere House, Lingley Mere, Lingley Green Avenue, Warrington WA5 3

1413/2/001/S Support	OE1.8 Robert Fletcher (Greenfield) Ltd Water mains will need extending to serve any development on this site.
1413/2/002/S Support	NR2.1 Necessary to protect public health in relation to drinking water supplies
1413/2/003/O Objection	H1.3 The requirement that new developments are accessible to both public transport and a range of basic services could preclude the development of previously developed land which lies outside the threshold distances set out in the policy..

Yvonne Unsworth

51 Cheshire Street, Mossley, Oldham OL5 9NN

1704/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1704/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1704/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1704/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Catherine Varnom

Gatehead House (Flat 2), Gatehead Road, Delph OL3 5QE

1705/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1705/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1705/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1705/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Village Greens

87 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AP

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1785/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Retail policies offer limited protection to local shops. No retail as part of the mix of uses or limit the maximum size of any units.

Village Store

24 Wood Square, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AR

1779/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Opposed to a supermarket. Any store built should be restricted to 2,500 sq.ft.

B Viner

13 Cleveland Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 5EX

1440/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1440/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

D Viner

13 Cleveland Grove, Royton, Oldham OL2 5EX

1439/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1439/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

W H Shaw Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

Huddersfield Road, Diggle, OLDHAM OL3 5NU

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1944/2/001/O Objection	H0 6.5 (g) Housing allocations should be made in Diggle to provide for local housing needs in the village.
1944/2/002/O Objection	H1 6.09-6.14 Inappropriate to include development of replacement dwelllings on cleared sites within windfall development allowance. A separate allowance for replacement dwellings on cleared sites should be provided.
1944/2/003/O Objection	H1.1 6.27 Strategy for reoccupation of dwellings is too vague. Reoccupation of vacant properties should not be counted against housing requirement as this leads to double counting. Additional housing land is required.
1944/2/004/O Objection	H1 The revised housing figure of 270 per annum is too low. Additional housing land should be supplied.
1944/2/005/O Objection	H1 Housing clearance strategy is unclear. If clearance programme involves greening older urban areas or loss of public open space then clearance will result in a loss of dwellings and additional housing land will be needed.
1944/2/006/O Objection	H1.5 6.47-6.50 Mix of housing types threshold is too low and should not apply to all sites.
1944/2/007/O Objection	H2.1 Proposed changes do not take account of local variations in affordable housing needs. Requirements for affordable dwellings varies signifcantly in different parts of the Borough. Amend policy to take account of local housing market trends and needs.
1944/2/008/O Objection	B0 5.4 Proposed changes are inappropriate to protect employment uses on sites in the urban fringe and countryside where business uses are unachievable. Tourism is is only viable in certain locations. Amend in light of recent changes to PPG3.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1944/2/009/O Objection	B0 5.3 Review of employment sites referred to in para. 5.3 does not consider instances where existing employment development uses or future development is no longer viable. Employment sites should be reviewed in light of changes to PPG3.
1944/2/010/O Objection	GS0 2.3 c. Greater emphasis should be placed on local housing needs in settlements isolated from the main urban area, particularly in Diggle where no allocations have been made.
1944/2/011/O Objection	GS0 2.3 d. Emphasis on strategic employment sites is supported. However, this is not so for those employment sites that have not come forward over life of extant UDP or that are not of strategic value. Employment strategy needs to take account of revised PPG3.
1944/2/012/O Objection	B1.1 5.9 Proposed changes do not take account of employment sites located in conservation areas or listed buildings where employment uses would have adverse effects on character and setting of the listed building which is contrary to PPG15.
1944/2/013/O Objection	B0 5.4 Changes are inappropriate to protect employment uses on sites in the urban fringe and countryside where business uses are unachievable. The paragraph should be reworded to account for the guidance in PPG3.
1944/2/014/O Objection Joint Case	H1.1 6.27 Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.

E Wadsworth

13 Briar Grove, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0EH

1442/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1442/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Angela Walker

4 Westminster Avenue, Oozewood, Royton, Oldham OL2 5XX

1443/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1443/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Ann Walker

15 Treetops Close, Dobcross, Oldham OL3 5AS

1843/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to policy on wind developments which is seen to send out positive message to developers to site wind farms in Saddleworth area.

Claire Walker

11 Buckley Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 63P

1387/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1387/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1387/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1387/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

E R Walker

41 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1706/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1706/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1706/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1706/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Ted Walker

13 Buckley Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6BP

1388/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1388/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1388/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1388/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dominic Wall

9 Steadway, Greenfield, OLDHAM OL3 7DY

1888/2/001/S Support	NR3.2 Supports the proposal to allow development of wind farms on the areas identified on the Proposals Map.

J S Walsh

2 Ammons Way, Delph, Oldham OL3 5US

1708/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1708/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1708/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1708/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J Walsh

1 Martin Cottages, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SP

1707/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1707/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1707/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1707/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Lowe Walter

1B Racefield Hamlet, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton OL1 2TB

1135/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1135/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

B R Walton

10 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3

1709/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1709/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1709/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1709/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Pauline Walton

10 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3

1710/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1710/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1710/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1710/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

B & T Warburton & Johnson

43 Surrey Ave, High Crompton, Oldham OL2 7DN

0471/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0471/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Matthew Warburton

297 Huddersfield Road, Diggle, Saddleworth OL3 5PJ

1389/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1389/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1389/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1389/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alistair Ward

3 Victor Road, Windsor, Berkshire SL4 3JS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1711/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1711/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1711/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1711/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Margaret Ward

35 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham, OL4 4EE

0054/2/002/S Objection FD Ref: 0054/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Supports Local Green Gap designation but objects to the 'weaker' revised definition of LGG. Would like to see the original definition in the First Deposit retained to protect the land from development.

Nicholas Ward

52 Oldham Road, Denshaw, Saddleworth OL3 5SP

1712/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1712/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1712/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1712/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs D S Wareing

27 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE

0596/2/002/S Objection FD Ref: 0596/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Supports Local Green Gap designation but wishes to see the original definition in the First Deposit retained.

Alan Waterhouse

106 Heyside, Royton, Oldham OL2 6LS

1862/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would likeLocal Green Gap designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft to safeguard the amenity of the area.

Watermill Estates Limited Agent : GL Hearn Planning

The Old Library, Vale Road, Stourport-on-Severn DY13 8YJ

0795/2/004/O Objection	S1 The policy only permits new development where there are suitable and viable development sites. However, there is no national policy requirement for viability to be positively demonstrated. Remove reference to viability.

C.H. Watson

The Moorlands, 21 Edward Rd, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EZ

0395/2/002/S Objection FD Ref: 0395/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Accepts change in principle but still concerned that any future development would involve access/egress from Edward Road which is his prime concern.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Kathleen Watson

2 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, OLDHAM OL4 4ED

1890/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Objects to Saddleworth being identified as an area suitable for wind developments. Wind farms are intrusive visually and a noise pollutant. Remove the designation from Saddleworth.

Nigel Watson

Lane Top, 2 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

1235/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Saddleworth should not be designated as a wind farm development area. Visually intrusive and noisy. Unsuited to Saddleworth, a popular tourist spot. Refer to map 13/18

W Watson

25 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1444/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1444/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

W Watson

Watersgate, Hudderfield Road, Delph OL3 5LX

1713/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1713/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1713/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1713/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

J.L Watt

158 Rochdale Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7JR

1390/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1390/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1390/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1390/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Moraig Watt

4Turfton Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6EW

1445/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1445/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Alistair Watts

6 Grains Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5DS

1715/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1715/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1715/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1715/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Eric W Watts

228 Oldham Road, Lydgate, Nr Oldham OL4 4DN

1391/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1391/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1391/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1391/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Janet Watts

228 Oldham Road, Lydgate, OLDHAM OL4 4DN

1942/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1942/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1942/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1942/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mrs S E Watts

43 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1447/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1447/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Joyce Webb

Sandbed Farm House, Hull MIll Lane, Delph OL

1717/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1717/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1717/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1717/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Norman Webb

Sandbed Farm House, Hull Mill Lane, Delph OL

1716/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1716/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1716/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1716/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Angela Webster

20 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5QB

1718/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1718/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1718/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1718/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Henry Webster

20 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5QB

1719/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1719/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1719/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1719/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Peter Webster

20 Gatehead Croft, Delph, Oldham OL3 5QB

0639/2/003/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0639/2/004/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0639/2/005/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0639/2/006/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

K Weigh

Junction House, 1 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw OL3 5SD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1720/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1720/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1720/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1720/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs Weigh

26 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW

1721/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1721/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1721/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1721/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

F.K & H.R Wellens

Brook-Lyn, Hull Mill Lane, Delph OL3 5UR

1722/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1722/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1722/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1722/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Amy Wells

45 Cooper Street, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4QS

1723/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1723/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1723/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1723/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Westbury Homes

Westbury House, Crofts Bank Road, Urmston, Manchester M41 0JS

0107/2/007/W Support FD Ref: 0107/1/001/O	H1 6.21 Unconditionally Withdrawn.
0107/2/008/W Objection FD Ref: 0107/1/004/O	H1.1 6.30 Unconditionally Withdrawn.
0107/2/014/S Support FD Ref: 0107/1/002/O	CF1.5 Policy does not define what is meant by specilised schemes. Reference to economics of development does not go far enough - needs to relate to the viability of development, especially on brownfield sites. Inconsistency btw policy and justification.

Westbury Homes Agent : Broadway Malyan

Westbury House, Crofts Bank Road, Urmston, Manchester M41 0JS

0107/2/009/O Objection	H1 6.22 Supports the Council's commitment to an annual monitoring report, but objects to the use of Supplementary Planning Guidance to manage the release of phased sites.
0107/2/010/O Objection	H1 6.09-6.14 Method for calculating clearance will create an under-recording of the reduction of the Borough's housing stock. Delete para s. 6.10, 613 & 6.14 and add an assurance that to accurately record all housing clearances.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0107/2/011/O Objection	H1 6.23 Allocated sites should be developed out in advance of any windfall sites. Windfall sites should not be allowed to queue jump as implied by para. 6.23.
0107/2/012/O Objection	H1.1 6.25 & 6.27 Reoccupation of vacant stock should not count towards the Borough's annual housing requirement. Delete para. 6.27 and reinstate the reference to the Council's Empty Homes Strategy.
0107/2/013/O Objection	H1.2 H1.2.14 Sandy Mill, Royton Request for an minor extension to the allocation boundary.

Mrs L C Whitaker

Millcroft Farm, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UX

1725/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1725/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1725/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1725/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr & Mrs F Whitehead

40 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0279/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0279/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Vera Whitehead

21 Haggate Crescent, Royton, Oldham OL2 5NF

1474/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1474/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J White

44 Wellington Road, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7AQ

1750/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mr&Mrs R.H White

1 Netherhouse Road, High Crompton, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EX

0211/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0211/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mr & Mrs Whiteoak

20 Hebron Street, Heyside Royton, Oldham OL2 6LU

1855/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Support de - allocation from Business and Industry, but would like Green Belt designation, not Land Reserved for Future Development as in revised deposit draft.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

A & J Whitmore

35 Stoneswood Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5DY

1724/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1724/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1724/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1724/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Harrison Whittaker

30 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UN

1726/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1726/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1726/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1726/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Patricia Whittaker

30 Palinwood Road, Delph, Saddleworth OL3 5UW

1727/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1727/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1727/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1727/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr R. Whittles

50 Edward Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EY

0281/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0281/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Land should be designated as green belt.

J Whitworth

330 Middleton Road, Royton, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1475/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1475/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

P Whitworth

330 Middleton Road, Royton, Oldham

1477/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1477/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mark Whyatt

55 Chetwyn Avenue, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UJ

1480/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1480/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Christine Widdall

2 Rushgrove, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6LD

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1392/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1392/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1392/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1392/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

John A Widdall

2 Rush Grove, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6LD

1728/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1728/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1728/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1728/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Chorlton Planning

Viking House, 449 Middleton Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9LB

0045/2/031/S Support FD Ref: 0045/1/031/O	H1 Supports reference to upper market housing (see objective (g) of the Housing Introduction).

Wiggett Construction Ltd Agent : Michael Courcier and Partners

Viking House, 449 Middleton Road, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 9LB

0045/2/032/O Objection	H1.1 6.27 Reoccupation of vacant dwellings should not be counted against the housing requirement as this would result in double counting against the Regional Planning Guidance requirement which already makes this provision.
0045/2/033/O Objection	R2.1 Until the Council has completed a local assessment of needs in accordance with PPG17 this policy is premature and it should be deleted.
0045/2/034/O Objection	R1.1 PPG17 requires UDP policies to be based upon robust local assessments of need for open space, sport and recreational facilities. Oldham have not undertaken an assessment. Open space survey should be completed to accord with PPG17.
0045/2/035/O Objection	R1.1 There is no reason to exclude Council owned sites from the interim protection accorded to open spaces until the local needs assessment is complete. Amend policy to apply also to Council-owned sites.
0045/2/036/O Objection	D1.2 Policy/explanation does not make clear that there are other considerations that may override energy efficiency in considering development layouts. Amend policy/explanation to make this clear.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0045/2/037/O Objection	B2.2 Draft revision to PPG3 indicates that the policy as a whole is inappropriate, particularly the revised criterion b) that does not clarify instances where the continuation of employment use is not acceptable. Amend criterion b).
0045/2/038/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Welcomes the inclusion of retail within the list of acceptable uses on the site. However, the 40% limit on residential development is arbitrary and without justification. A higher percentage of residential development should be permitted on the site.
0045/2/039/O Objection	H1 6.09-6.14 Clearance strategy does not accord with RPG. HMR will mean higher clearance levels than shown. Need for replacement off-site due to density/open space needs. Para 6.13 will result in double counting. Amend to accord with RPG/avoid double counting.
0045/2/040/O Objection	Appendix B M1 Frenches Wharf Land uses listed in amended Appendix B do not increase the certainty of development on this site. Reference to tourism should be removed to make clear that such development is not a prerequisite to provide greater certainty over non-tourism uses.
0045/2/041/O Objection	H1.2 H1.2.12 Land at Shaw Hall Bank Rd, Greenfield Now Deleted The site at Shaw Hall Bank Road, Greenfield should be reinstated as a housing allocation. It is in a highly sustainable location and meets the requirements of PPG3 and RPG for allocation.
0045/2/042/O Objection	H1.2 H1.2.6 Lilac View Close The capacity of Lilac View Close should not be reduced from 24 to 18. It should be maintained at 24 dwellings.
0045/2/043/O Objection	H1.2 6.37-6.38 Paragraphs 6.37 and 6.38 do not clarify the phasing strategy. They should be deleted and a date set for the release of Phase 2 sites.
0045/2/044/O Objection	H1.5 6.47-6.50 Principle of achieving a mix of housing types and sizes is supported. However, the threshold is too low and should not apply to all sites. Increase the threshold and it should not apply to all sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0045/2/045/O Objection	H1.4 6.42-6.46 Policy is overly mechanistic and does not allow for reasonable flexibility to take into account the wide variety of housing types required by Oldham. Second and third paragraphs should be deleted or amended to provide flexibility in housing density.
0045/2/046/O Objection	H2.1 6.65-6.74 Policy does not sufficiently justify the general presumption that 25% of the site capacity should be developed as affordable housing. If adequate evidence cannot be presented the policy requirement should be deleted.
0045/2/047/O Objection	H1 6.22-6.23 Proposed changes are not in accordance with Best Practice Guidance: Planning to Deliver. Second phase releases should have a release date attached. Phasing arrangements are too vague and cause uncertainty.

Mr & Mrs Wigley

Higher Grange Farm, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1729/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1729/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1729/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1729/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kathryn Wilcox

339 Huddersfield Road, Diggle, Oldham OL3 5PJ

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1393/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1393/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1393/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1393/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

A Wild

29 Skipton Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0PQ

1482/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1482/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Alice Wild

59 Dairy Street, Chadderton, Oldham

1483/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1483/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Alison Wild

Head O'th Heys Farm, Cinderhill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS

1485/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1485/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Dean Wild

Head O'th Heys Farm, Cinderhill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS

1486/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1486/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

G Wild

104 Broadway, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AA

1487/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1487/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

J Wild

29 Skipton Avenue, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0PQ

1489/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1489/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Miss Jean Wild

No.1 F Racefield Hamlet, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2TB

1490/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1490/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr Eric Wild

19 Huddersfield Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5EG

0677/2/003/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0677/2/004/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0677/2/005/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0677/2/006/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
P Wild	
104 Broadway, Chadderton, Oldham OL9 0AA	
1492/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1492/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Pat Wild	
Head O'th Heys Farm, Cinderhill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS	
1493/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1493/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Rodney Wild	
Head O'th Heys Farm, Cinderhill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS	
1495/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1495/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Ross Wild	
5 Standrick Hill Rise, Stalybridge, SK15 3RT	
1497/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1497/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Victoria P Wild	
5 Standrick Hill Rise, Stalybridge, Cheshire SK15 3RT	
1498/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1498/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Sandra Wilkinson	
94 George Street, Shaw, Oldham OL2 8HG	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1394/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1394/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1394/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1394/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Oliver Williams

41 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5SB

1902/2/001/O Objection	NR3.2 Object to wind farms. Joint petition submitted with Eleanor Hague and signed by forty-six people.

Wilson Connolly Homes Agent : The Planning Consultancy

Bradley Lane, Standish, Wigan WN6 0XN

1823/2/001/O Objection	H1.1 H1.1.1 Hunt Lane, Chadderton Now deleted Objection to the deletion of this residential allocation. Site is suitable and available for residential development. Brownfield site, severly degraded in visual terms. Contaminated. Within walking distance of wide range of facilities.

Edith Wilson

Marled Earth Farm, Tame Lane, Delph, Oldham OL2 5TT

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1395/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1395/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1395/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1395/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Gemma Wilson

26 Rivington Road, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4RJ

1730/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1730/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1730/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1730/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Kate Wilson

4 Stockport Road, Lydgate, Oldham OL

1731/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1731/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1731/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1731/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Katy Wilson

26 Rivington Road, Springhead, Oldham OL4 4RJ

1732/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1732/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1732/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1732/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M F Wilson

21 Burnedge Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EX

1500/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1500/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr R T Wilson

Cinder Hill Farm, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS

1505/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1505/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mrs J B Wilson

Cinder Hill Farm, Cinder Hill Lane, Chadderton, Oldham OL1 2SS

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1502/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1502/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Robert A Wilson

Marled Earth Farm, Tame Lane, Delph OL

1733/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1733/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1733/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1733/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Wineways

80 High Street, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6AW

1784/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Wants retail limited to small units.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
Cllr Ann Wingate	
62 Manor Road, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7JJ	
1233/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 Objects to Shaw being indicated in area of search for wind developments. Would have detrimental effect on Shaw skyline and could interfere with transmitting and receiving systems for aircraft passing overhead.
1233/2/002/S Support	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Welcomes classification of Cowlishaw as Local Green Gap. Also welcomes amended definition of LGG's which gives them greater protection against inappropriate development.
G Winterbottom	
5 Sharon Avenue, The Park, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4HP	
0827/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0827/1/001/O	OE2.2 Lydgate Tunnel, Disused railway line, Grasscroft Conditionally withdraws
R & K Winterbottom	
25 Palinwood Road, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UW	
1734/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1734/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1734/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1734/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

Mrs P A Winterburn

5 The Barracks, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL

1735/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

1735/2/002/O NR3.2
Objection
Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

1735/2/003/O OE2.3
Objection
Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

1735/2/004/O C1.1
Objection
The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

W Wolstenholme

16 Oak View Road, Greenfield, Saddleworth OL3 7EP

1749/2/001/O B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf
Objection
Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Doris Wood

Grange School, Oldham Road, Oldham

1396/2/001/O NR3.1
Objection
More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1396/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1396/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1396/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Dennis Wood

Horest Cottage, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL3 5SU

1736/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1736/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1736/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1736/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr Jack Wood

Lower Mantley Farm, Oldham Road, Denshaw OL3 5RP

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1738/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1738/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1738/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1738/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Peter Wood

26 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4ED

0597/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0597/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Maintains original objection. Does not wish there to be any development at all on the land.

Phaedra Woods

Dod and Partridge, 187 Oldham Road, Springhead OL4 4QJ

1397/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1397/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1397/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1397/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M C Woolley

Ladybank, 10 Wool Road, Dobcross OL

1739/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1739/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1739/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1739/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Andrew Wooton

The Nest, Horest Lane, Denshaw OL

1740/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1740/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1740/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1740/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Alastair Wright

Aysgarth, Gatehead Road, Delph OL3

1741/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1741/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1741/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1741/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Bernard Wright

4 Lichfield Close, Denshaw, Oldham

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
0668/2/003/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
0668/2/004/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
0668/2/005/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
0668/2/006/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Dawn Wright

424 Shaw Road, Royton, Oldham OL2 6PG

1506/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1506/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Mr R I Wright

Clough Farm, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1743/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1743/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1743/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1743/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Mr&Mrs P. Wright

17 Denbigh Drive, Shaw, Oldham OL2 7EQ

0283/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0283/1/001/O	OE1.10 LR1 Cowlishaw Conditionally withdraw

Mrs J Wright

11 Sykes Close, Greenfield, Oldham OL3 7PT

1748/2/001/O Objection	B1.3 M1 Frenches Wharf Object to inclusion of retail as part of the mix of uses. There is strong opposition due to impacts on local shops and transport. Change policy to only permit units that do not exceed 400 sqm and that service the tourism-related canal marina.

Mrs Linda Wright

Clough Farm, Millcroft Lane, Delph OL3 5UX

1742/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1742/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1742/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1742/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Myra Yewdale

39 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

1508/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1508/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Yorkshire Mills Ltd. Agent : Chorlton Planning

The Greaves Building, Saddleworth Business Park, Huddersfield Road, Diggle OL3 5PJ

1947/2/001/S Support Joint Case	T1.1 Support the repositioning of the proposed Diggle Railway Station closer to the potential tourism development area centred on Tunnel End and Warth Mill/Saddleworth Business Centre. It will provide visitors and employees with public transport access.

E Young

19 Tandlewood Park, Royton, Oldham OL2 5UZ

Schedule of Objections and Representations to Oldham Replacement Unitary Development Plan Revised Deposit, October 2003 By Name

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
1510/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1510/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section

LATE Representations

Mrs E Ainscough

21 Outram Mews, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6BE

1919/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1919/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1919/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1919/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Clive A A Ball

46 Woods Lane, Dobcross, OLDHAM OL3 5AN

1910/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1910/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1910/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1910/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr A Bond	
22 Riverside, Chadderton, OLDHAM OL1 2TX	
1933/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1933/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
David Brock	
3 Wibsey Cottages, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5SJ	
1916/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1916/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1916/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1916/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
Judith Dawson	
118 Shaw Road, Thornham, Rochdale OL16 4SQ	
1929/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1929/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Steve Dawson	
118 Shaw Road, Thornham, Rochdale OL16 4SQ	
1930/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1930/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
L and M Dean	
12 Gatehead Croft, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5QB	
1912/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1912/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1912/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1912/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
MrSM&Mrs C Durr	
5 Hey Hill close, Royton, Oldham OL2 6QT	
0336/2/002/S Support FD Ref: 0336/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 Conditionally Withdraws.
Mr N Haughton	
42 Tandle Hill Road, Royton, OLDHAM OL2 5UX	
1932/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.
1932/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Mrs M Haughton	
42 Tandle Hill Road, Royton, OLDHAM OL2 5UX	
1931/2/001/O Objection Joint Case	OE2.1 Revised policy does not protect open environment/ open land sufficiently. Statement that no developments will be permitted unless the benefits outweigh the damage they create is unacceptable. Wording should be changed to avoid any damage.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1931/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	NR3.2 13.87 Proposals map shows wind farms could be sited in Tandle Hill park and on ridge from Grains Bar to Crompton Moor. Reference to weighing benefits against harm should be changed, and the map redrawn to remove these areas.
Muriel Henry	
10 Ripponden Road, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5SH	
1915/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1915/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1915/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1915/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr D Hobson	
Calico House, Printers Mill, Denshaw Vale, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5SX	
1920/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1920/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1920/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1920/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
M Hyncica	
Higherbroad Meadow Cottage, Oxhey Lane, Denshaw, Oldham OL3 5UB	
1923/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1923/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1923/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1923/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Bernard Keeley	
50 Burnedge Fold Road, Grasscroft, Oldham OL4 4EE	
0066/2/002/O Objection FD Ref: 0066/1/001/O Joint Case	OE1.10 LR9 Summershades Lane, Grasscroft Wants the land to be protected against future development. Wants land to be Green Belt.
Richard Lynch	
19 Huddersfield Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5EG	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1925/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1925/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1925/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1925/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
John Markham	
2 Dumfries Drive, Denshaw, OLDHAM	
1918/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1918/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1918/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1918/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Joseph McGladdery	
15 Palin Wood Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5VW	
1908/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1908/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1908/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1908/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mr & Mrs McHugh	
16 Hebron Street, Royton, OLDHAM OL2 6LU	
1934/2/001/O Objection	OE1.9 Hebron Street Map Change Ref No. 5/12. Any future development could adversely affect existing amenities. Merge the land into the existing adjacent Local Green Gap (referred to as `Green Gap Belt` on objection form).
Mrs M R Robson	
78 Denshaw Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5EU	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1922/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1922/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1922/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1922/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

F H Schofield

3 Holland Close, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5DP

1913/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1913/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1913/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1913/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Mrs A M Spalding	
6 Pickhill Mews, Pickhill Lane, Uppermill, Oldham OL3 6LY	
1914/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1914/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1914/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1914/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Barbara Squirrell	
119 Delph Lane, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5UT	
1921/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1921/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1921/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1921/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Strategic Railway Authority	
55 Victoria Street, LONDON, SW1H 0EU	
1939/2/001/S Support Joint Case	T1.1 c. Diggle station The continuing reference to this station proposal is supported in the long term.
1939/2/002/O Objection Joint Case	T2.3 Refers to First Deposit Draft Policy T2.3 and paragraph 4.47: Objects to deletion of this policy. Wants the original policy re-instated.
1939/2/003/O Objection Joint Case	B1.7 The addtional text refering to potential connection to rail network is welcomed. However, policy should be more supportive of the movement of goods by rail rather than by road. Additional wording suggested.
1939/2/004/O Objection Joint Case	T3.3 Support revised text in paragraph 4.55. However, the policy does not reflect the fact that parking levels at rail stations should be determined by operators, Network Rail and SRA. Additional criterion suggested.
1939/2/005/O Objection Joint Case	T1.1 4.11 New station at Diggle is dependent upon the restoration of the 4 tracks through the Standedge tunnel. No further work is being taken on this proposal by GMPTE until this is completed. Refer to SRA involvement in the station's proposals.
D & M Taylor	
Hull Mill Cottage, Hull Mill Lane, Delph, Oldham OL3 5UR	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1909/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1909/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1909/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1909/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

G Thompson

1 Leefields Close, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6LA

1907/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1907/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1907/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1907/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Neil Tomlinson	
55 Denshaw Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5EY	
1906/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1906/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1906/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1906/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
J and J Vickers	
24 Gatehead Croft, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5QB	
1911/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1911/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1911/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1911/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
J and H Waite	
13 Huddersfield Road, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5SD	
1924/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1924/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1924/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1924/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
C E Walker	
13 Buckley Street, Uppermill, OLDHAM OL3 6BP	
1928/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1928/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1928/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1928/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Iain Weston	
3 Delph Road, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5RY	
1927/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1927/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1927/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1927/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.
Juliet Williams	
18 Huddersfield Road, Delph, OLDHAM OL3 5EG	

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1926/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1926/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1926/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.
1926/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.

M Wimpenny

3 Delph Road, Denshaw, OLDHAM OL3 5RY

1917/2/001/O Objection	NR3.1 More specific criteria needed to protect green belt, nature sites, culture/history/archaeology, listed buildings/conservation areas and views in/out of the Peak NP. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt.
1917/2/002/O Objection	NR3.2 Delete search map. Retain criteria a-f, plus regionally designated nature sites. Benefits of turbines do not outweigh their inappropriateness in green belt. Applicants should show expected energy generation, and show that sufficient wind exists.
1917/2/003/O Objection	OE2.3 Wind turbines and other major developments should not be sited within, or on the margins of, internationally, nationally, or regionally designated sites.

Name	Policy,Paragraph, Site, Section
LATE Representations	
1917/2/004/O Objection	C1.1 The words "views in or out" of a conservation area should be retained as the setting of a conservation area could be seriously impacted by the presence of wind turbines adjacent to, or in view of it.