

**OLDHAM METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL
AND
ROCHDALE METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL
LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK**

**EDITORIAL CHANGES
FOR THE
URBAN DESIGN GUIDE
SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT(S)**

Adopted on 1st October 2007 by Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council



The Council will arrange for this document to be made available in alternative formats including large print, electronically, and community languages if requested, and if appropriate. Please ring 0161 770 4151, 4163 or 4139 for further information

पिनंती करवाथी, डाऊन्सिल द्वारा आ हस्तावेज विविध रूपमा उपलब्ध करवामा आवहो. डा.त., मोटा छापेलां असहोमां, सीडी के ओडियो टेप पर अने विविध समाजनी भाषाओनो समावेश थाय छे. ह्पुा करी, वघारे माहिली माटे, 0161 770 4151, 4163 अथवा 4139 नंजर पर ह्पुा करी.

कार्डसिल, एह दलिलटिके अनुरोध सापेक्षे एवं यदि उपयुक्त हय-अन्यान्य भावे पाण्यार व्यवस्था करवे, यार असुबुक्त हल वड अकरे, ईलेक्ट्रनिकभावे एवं कमिउनिटिंर विभिन्न भाषाय । दया करे आरु विस्तारित तथ्येर जन्य टेलिफोन करन 0161 770 4151, 4163 अथवा 4139 एह नम्बरगुलोते ।

अगर मांक हुनी और مناسب हुवातु कुन्सल اس دستاويز كوموٹی لكھائی، ٹیپ یا سی ڈی وغیرہ اور کمپیوٹی کی زبانوں میں بھی فراہم کرنے کا انتظام کرے گی۔ مزید معلومات کیلئے 0161 770 4151 یا 0161 770 4163 یا 0161 770 4139 پر فون کریں۔

This document identifies Editorial Changes that have been made to the SPD(s) and supporting documents. Further changes not listed below may have also been made for editorial and presentational reason, to clarify statements where appropriate and update the documents.

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
Inside front cover	Councillor Hibbert’s introduction refers to consultation and needs updating	<p>Amend Councillor Hibbert’s forward to read:</p> <p><i>“These documents form the Urban Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document, which supports the development plan and provides a basis for achieving high standards and good quality design throughout the Borough.</i></p> <p><i>They set out how the Council and our partner agencies can work together to improve the quality of the places that we create. Good design is essential to the future of the Borough. It adds to our quality of life, attracts business investment and reinforces pride in our towns and villages.</i></p> <p><i>The guide is not intended to be prescriptive. We have been very concerned to ensure that the approach that we are taking will enable us to take into account local character. I very much hope that everybody involved in the development process will find it of help in bringing forward new developments,</i></p>	Update

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		<i>whether they be in urban parts of Oldham or in the rural villages of Saddleworth.”</i>	
Contents Page	9. Good sustainable buildings	Change title of Chapter to: Well designed buildings	Editorial
Contents Page	Page numbers and ordering may differ due to changes being made.	Page numbers and ordering to be checked and amended accordingly.	Editorial
ii	The guides will therefore be a material consideration in determining planning applications, and should be read by developers and their design teams.	This Guide will be a material consideration in determining planning applications. Its aim is to provide clear guidance to everyone involved in development (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders and engineers) on the quality of design expected by both Boroughs. The Guide will also be used by local authority officers to help assess the quality of planning applications.	Update
iii	This formal adoptions process requires consultation with local stakeholders, and this document is a Draft for Consultation. It may be amended in response to consultation before being adopted by the two Boroughs.	This formal adoption process involved consultation with local stakeholders, and this document has been amended in response to that consultation.	Update
vii	Diagram/wording does not reflect the ten principles	Amend diagram and wording to include the tenth principle: designing for future maintenance	Editorial

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		Amend central right hand 'bubble' to read 'well designed buildings'.	
3		1a – title – omit "it should:" Doesn't fit in with following text.	Grammatical.
3	Bullet point three reads: "all new development should propose uses that are appropriate to the wider area"	Omit bullet point 3	Editorial
3	Caption to top RH image – could be made clearer that this is positive	Change to read: The layout of Pennine Villages relates sensitively to the local topography	Clarity
4	Caption lacks clarity. The conversion of this mill to offices will result in a positive frontage to the canal.	Change to read: Canals are a major asset to the area: buildings fronting onto them can make the most of their positive character.	Clarity
4	Caption lacks clarity. Further along the canal the blank wall presents a negative edge to the canal.	Change to read: Blank edges to canals miss an opportunity to bring their special character to a development.	Clarity
7	Caption lacks clarity	Change to read: Good examples of routes that are clear, direct and well lit – and so are safe at all times.	Clarity
8		2c – seventh bullet point – change to read: "on foot or	Editorial

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		in cars”.	
8	Caption lacks clarity Consistent boundary treatment clearly defines private and public space	Change to read: Fenced or walled front gardens, overlooked by windows, clearly define public and private space.	Clarity
11	Caption lacks clarity	Change to read: This good quality mixed-use development integrates residential development and a large supermarket.	Clarity
15	Need to ensure it's clear which is the good example	Add a cross ('X') to the middle image and a tick ('√') to the bottom image	Clarity
15	Reference to 'centres' confusing – omit from caption.	Change to read: Secure cycle parking encourages the use of bicycles	Clarity
21	Caption reads “Tress can provide....”	Amend caption to read “Trees can provide...”.	Editorial
18	Inconsistent punctuation	Change to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be a dead end; and • the place does not have a clearly memorable character or identity: it is confusing or bland. 	Grammatical
19	Images on RHS are the wrong way around.	Move bottom image to top, and vice versa.	Presentational.
19	Caption lacks clarity Wide roads are usually more important	Change to read: This road is a major 'gateway' to the town, and its width helps give it importance relative to narrower	Clarity

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	than narrow ones. However, the poor quality buildings fail to reinforce the importance of this street as a 'gateway' to the town.	streets. However, the buildings along it are of such poor quality and low height that they fail to reinforce the street's importance – so failing to contribute to the street's legibility.	
20	Caption lacks clarity A new landmark space in Rochdale raises the image and identity of the town.	Refer to Middleton not Rochdale.	Presentational.
20	First bullet point under 5C	Amend to read: Ensure that the functions of buildings and spaces..	Grammatical.
28		7c – second bullet point – amend to read: “ensure that as much surface water run-off <i>as possible</i> from roofs etc”	Editorial
28		Remove “v” after last bullet point.	Editorial
28		Amend caption under picture to read “...changing patterns of use....”.	Editorial
33	Caption does not relate well to images. Play opportunities for children should be close to home, well integrated and overlooked.	Replace with: Children will find places to play whatever their environment (left). However, well designed places to play should be close to home, carefully integrated and overlooked by adjacent building fronts (below).	Clarity
36	Change terminology	Well designed buildings	Editorial

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		Why are well designed buildings important? Well designed buildings bring together the principles of character, safety and inclusion, diversity, ease of movement, legibility, adaptability and sustainability to create high quality places.	
36	Sentence does not make sense	Delete the following: The detailed design of buildings....approach for the site.	Editorial
37		9a – fourth bullet point – ‘conservatives’? Fifth and sixth bullet points seem to have got confused.	Editorial
37	Awkward wording makes 9a difficult to understand	Change to: Buildings must be carefully designed and detailed so that they make a positive contribution to their surroundings, are robust, durable and age well. They should:	Editorial
37	9a – second last bullet point: punctuation	Delete second bracket and replace with semi-colon before ‘and’ to match punctuation elsewhere in document.	Editorial
37	Captions to diagram	Amend captions to diagram:	Editorial

URBAN DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		Change reference from 'grey water' to 'rainwater' "Ceiling height and roof pitch allow for future extensions".	
38	Update EcoHomes reference	Development should meet the Level 3 in the Code for Sustainable Homes or BREEAM 'Very Good'	Update
43	Caption and binding overlap	Rearrange page	Presentational.
43	Inconsistent punctuation	Change second last bullet point from colon to semi colon, and add 'and' to match rest of document	Editorial
43	New wording	Add sentence to second bullet point to read: "It should explain how the comments for those responsible for maintenance will be taken on board."	Editorial
43	New wording	Add additional bullet at end of 10a to read: "Developers/applicants will be expected to make financial contributions to maintenance as necessary and this may require a legal agreement."	
44	Duplication – two 10b's	Last box to be relabelled 10c.	Editorial
Appendix A, B and C		Check and amend appendices where appropriate.	Editorial
Back Cover		Add logos	Presentational

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
Inside front cover	Councillor Hibbert's intro refers to consultation and needs updating	<p>Amend Councillor Hibbert's forward to read:</p> <p><i>"These documents form the Urban Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document, which supports the development plan and provides a basis for achieving high standards and good quality design throughout the Borough.</i></p> <p><i>They set out how the Council and our partner agencies can work together to improve the quality of the places that we create. Good design is essential to the future of the Borough. It adds to our quality of life, attracts business investment and reinforces pride in our towns and villages.</i></p> <p><i>The guide is not intended to be prescriptive. We have been very concerned to ensure that the approach that we are taking will enable us to take into account local character. I very much hope that everybody involved in the development process will find it of help in bringing forward new developments, whether they be in urban parts of Oldham or in the rural villages of</i></p>	Update

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		<i>Saddleworth.</i> "	
Contents Page	Page numbers and order may differ due to changes being made.	Page numbers and ordering to be checked and amended accordingly.	Editorial
2	<p>First paragraph:</p> <p>Its aim is to assist all those involved in the development process (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders planners and engineers) design and implement high quality residential development.</p>	<p>Consistency across all guides on who they're meant for with the following:</p> <p>Its aim is to provide clear guidance to everyone involved in development (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders and engineers) on the quality of design expected by both Boroughs. The Guide will also be used by local authority officers to help assess the quality of planning applications.</p> <p>A 'Design and Planning Process: A Guide to Good Practice' is also available, which gives advice on good practice for preparing and submitting planning applications.</p>	Editorial
3	<p>Caption to images:</p> <p>This Guide aims to assist all those involved in the development process design and implement high quality residential developments. It does not</p>	<p>Amend to read:</p> <p>This Guide aims to assist all those involved in the development process design and implement high quality residential developments. It does not seek to impose a particular architectural style: all the</p>	Editorial

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	seek to impose a particular architectural style: all the developments pictured above and left are of high quality, yet their appearance is very different.	developments pictured above are of high quality, yet their appearance is very different.	
3	Good sustainable buildings	Amend to read: Well designed buildings Constructing sustainable buildings appropriate to their function and context	Editorial
4	Fourth last bullet point: • Relationship of buildings and streets to typography	Amend to read: • Change to topography	Editorial
6	Bullet point 'Materials and Detailed Design'	Change from Bullet Point to heading	Editorial
8	Bullet point list at top of page – inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case, end with semi-colon.	Editorial
8		Pictures and captions added.	Editorial
9		Key: refer to 'storey' not 'storeys'	Editorial
9		Key: colon after noisy road	Editorial
10		'Traditional networks' – second bullet point – open brackets.	Editorial

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
10		Add the North West Best Practice Design Guide to list of documents.	Update
11	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case, end with semi-colon.	Editorial
12	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case, end with semi-colon.	Editorial
12	Caption lacks clarity Houses in Radburn layouts tend not to front onto public spaces, as in more traditional layouts.	Amend to read: As houses in Radburn layouts tend not to front onto public spaces, as in more traditional layouts, spaces are not well overlooked.	Clarity
13	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case	Editorial
14	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case	Editorial
15	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Add 'and' to second last bullet point	Editorial
15	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Begin each point with lower case, end with semi-colon	Editorial
15	First bullet point in Traditional Perimeter Block Form lacks clarity	Add 'cars' between but and tend	Editorial

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
16		First bullet point repeats last sentence of paragraph above. Remove last sentence of first paragraph, change first bullet point to “they create identity and character by designing streets and spaces as places.. etc”	Editorial
16	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Add ‘and’ to second last bullet point	Editorial
19	Caption lacks clarity The streets in these housing developments have been designed for the car, not for people.	Amend to read: These streets are not pedestrian-friendly as they have been designed for the car, not for people.	Clarity
20	Bullet Points: Inconsistent punctuation with remainder of document	Add ‘and’ to second last bullet point	Editorial
20	Caption lacks clarity This traffic island makes cars change direction, as well as defining an important pedestrian link to an open space.	Amend to read: This traffic island works well, as it not only makes cars change direction but it also provides pedestrians with an attractive crossing point to an open space.	Clarity
20	Caption lacks clarity	Amend to read:	Clarity

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	To be successful, chicanes must be enclosed and defined by buildings on the street.	This is a successful chicane, as the change of direction is tightly enclosed by buildings so limiting drivers' views forwards.	
20	Caption lacks clarity Some older places within Rochdale and Oldham have built-in traffic calming, with pinch points and streets tightly enclosed by buildings.	Amend to read: Some older places in Rochdale and Oldham are good examples of how traffic calming can be 'built in' to a place. The narrow 'pinch points' and forward views tightly enclosed by buildings help to slow cars down.	Clarity
21	Caption lacks clarity The landscape in both of these streets introduces greening, but still maintains views along the street for pedestrians.	Amend to read: The landscape in both of these streets introduces greening, but still maintains good, open views along the street for pedestrians.	Clarity
23	Refers to DB 32: Design Bulletin 32 gives guidance on widths of residential roads:	Remove reference to DB32.	Update
24	Not clear about location – helpful for	Add 'Kent' after Greenhithe	Clarity

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	people to know		
25	Caption lacks clarity Setback distances and boundary treatments give a different character to all of these streets	Amend to read: The varied approach to setback distances and boundary treatments give a different character to all of these streets	Clarity
25	Incorrect page number reference	Change bullet point to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designing in bin stores (see avoiding detail compromising quality on page 39) 	Editorial
26		Remove references to 'on the one hand' and 'on the other hand'	Clarity
26		Reference to Manual for Streets added.	Editorial
27	Image on top right with bin on front – is there a better example? Can it be cropped to look better?	Images and captions amended.	Clarity
28	Second last bullet point does not make	Amend to read:	Editorial

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	grammatical sense	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parked cars are organised in small groups (e.g. five in a row as a maximum) and large areas of parking are avoided. 	
29	Fifth bullet point reads FOG	Explain what FOG means, amend to read “...the entrance to a mews flat above a garage...”.	Editorial
29	Bullet point needs to better relate to text.	‘On-Street Parking’ – fourth bullet point- “as shown <i>below</i> ” rather than ‘opposite’.	Presentational
30	Caption lacks clarity	Change to: Below: a positive shared greenspace designed as an integral part of the development at Greenwich Millennium Village.	Clarity
31	First paragraph reads “there is a positive opportunity”,	Amend to read “the opportunity should be taken to maintain and enhance ..etc”	Clarity
		Eighth bullet point – should read “the proposed development accommodates existing features of <i>biodiversity value</i> where possible.”	Clarity
31	Second set of bullet points does not conform to standard format.	Add ‘and’ at end of second last bullet point.	Editorial.
31	Caption lacks clarity	Change to:	Clarity

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		This layout is a good example of creating connections to the surrounding area and extending existing spaces through the site.	
32	First bullet point, second column	Last word should be 'it'	Editorial
34	Caption/pictures lack clarity	Add ticks to diagrams 1 and 2, and a cross to diagram 3 to ensure clarity.	Clarity
35	Caption/pictures lack clarity	Caption to top photo – <i>In Littleborough these houses..</i>	Clarity
	Caption/pictures lack clarity	Third paragraph – “Beswick, <i>in Manchester</i> ,”.	Clarity
36	Caption/pictures lack clarity	Caption to Poundary example: ‘helps’ not ‘help’	Editorial
37	Caption/pictures lack clarity	Caption to <u>lower</u> photographs – should be ‘above left’ and ‘right’.	Presentational
38	Problem with font on sentence ‘Measures to promote adaptability should include:’	Change font	Editorial
38	Liveability – introductory paragraph: inconsistent punctuation	Ensure quotation marks are consistent	Editorial
38	Caption lacks clarity	Amend to read: Bottom and right: Getting it right – overlooking of the	Clarity

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		street continues around the corner.	
39		Add 'and recycling facilities' to the first bullet point	Editorial
39	Captions lack clarity	Amend to read: Bin stores positively designed in as part of the boundary treatment to townhouses at Coin Street, London. Bin stores carefully integrated into the ground floor of mews flats in New Hall, Harlow Designing the meter box to match windows and doors at Coalbrookdale, Telford helps to reduce its visual impact.	Clarity
39	New paragraphs	Add new paragraphs expanding upon the elements identified.	Editorial Change
40	Fifth bullet point reads "make alternative means of transport easy to use"	Amend to read "make means of transport other than the private car easy to use"	Clarity.
40	Reference to EcoHomes out of date	Change to: All new dwellings should meet Level 3 within the Code	Update

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		for Sustainable Homes (www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1506120)	
40	No punctuation on bullet points	Add punctuation to match remainder of document	Editorial
40	Second set of bullet points	Ensure all verbs end in 'ing'	Editorial
40	Last set of bullet points: no punctuation	Add punctuation to match remainder of document	Editorial
41	First bullet point under "Recycling waste" reads "design in storage for doorstep recycling"	Add hyphen: design-in	Editorial
41	First bullet point under "Use sustainable materials" reads "the cement used in concrete accounts for about 2% of the UK's carbon dioxide emissions (ref. Source). However, concrete has a thermal capacity that is valuable in designing passive solar buildings."	Amend to read: "the cement used in concrete creates carbon dioxide emissions. However, concrete has a thermal capacity that is invaluable in designing passive solar buildings."	Editorial
		Amend "Use of Sustainable Materials" section to read "The key principles <i>are...</i> " In that same section, amend third to last bullet point to read "specifying materials from renewable sources"	Clarity

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		(e.g. <i>sustainably sourced timber</i>).”	
42	Design Concept Diagram	Amend key to refer to ‘storey’ not ‘storeys’ On diagram, amend pointers to better relate text to diagram.	Editorial
43	Inconsistency between body text and caption	Refer to ‘illustrative sketch scheme’ rather than ‘initial design’.	Editorial
47		Remove ‘Drainage’ from below ‘Sustainable Development’ and relocate to read ‘Sustainable Urban Drainage’ In explanation of term add in ‘urban’ so that it reads as: ‘....referred to as Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS).’	Editorial
Appendix A, B and C		Check and amend appendices where appropriate.	Editorial
Back Cover		Add logos	Editorial

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
Inside front cover	Councillor Hibbert's intro refers to consultation and needs updating	<p><i>"These documents form the Urban Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document, which supports the development plan and provides a basis for achieving high standards and good quality design throughout the Borough.</i></p> <p><i>They set out how the Council and our partner agencies can work together to improve the quality of the places that we create. Good design is essential to the future of the Borough. It adds to our quality of life, attracts business investment and reinforces pride in our towns and villages.</i></p> <p><i>The guide is not intended to be prescriptive. We have been very concerned to ensure that the approach that we are taking will enable us to take into account local character. I very much hope that everybody involved in the development process will find it of help in bringing forward new developments, whether they be in urban parts of Oldham or in the rural villages of Saddleworth."</i></p>	Update
Contents Page	Page numbers and order may differ due to changes being made.	Page numbers and ordering to be checked and amended accordingly.	Editorial

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
2	Replace final sentence	Its aim is to provide clear guidance to everyone involved in designing and constructing streets and space (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders and engineers) on the quality of design expected by both Boroughs. The Guide will also be used by local authority officers to help assess the quality of planning applications.	Update
2		Check font consistency on final paragraph.	Editorial
3	In the principles box: Good sustainable buildings Constructing environmentally friendly buildings	Amend to read:: Well designed buildings Constructing sustainable buildings appropriate to their function and context	Editorial
3	First/second para needs to be updated	Amend to read: The Boroughs of Rochdale and Oldham has adopted the series of urban design guides as Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). This formal adoptions process <u>involved</u> consultation with local stakeholders, and this document has been amended in response to consultation.	Update

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		A 'Design and Planning Process: A Guide to Good Practice' is also available, which gives advice on good practice for preparing and submitting planning applications.	
3	Explanation of structure of document would be clearer with better graphics	Amend to highlight the various chapters	Presentational
3	Final paragraph does not make sense	Delete	Editorial
5	Third bullet point	Remove extra space between 'have' and 'flats'	Editorial.
6	Last sentence of first paragraph	Amend to read: e.g. the traditional corner shop provides diversity in an otherwise residential area.	Clarity
7	Caption does not make sense	Amend to read: The scale and character of open spaces should relate to their role in the town. This small, local space outside a primary school is quite different in character to Exchange Square in Manchester, and both are appropriate to their relative importance.	Editorial.
7		New caption added.	Editorial
8	Second last bullet point	Add 'and' after semi colon	Editorial
Pages 10 - 21		Amendments as for Residential Design Guide	Editorial

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
15		Amend to eighth bullet point to read “..and so needs to <u>be</u> considered specifically..”	Editorial
16		Reference to Manual for Streets added	Editorial
17		Fourth bullet point – reference to page 37 incorrect.	Editorial.
		Final paragraph removed.	Editorial
20	Sixth bullet point does not make sense grammatically in relation to the heading above.	Amend to read: “parked cars are organised in small groups (e.g. five in a row as a maximum) and large areas of parking are avoided.”	Editorial
22	Caption: Designing in appropriate, high quality pedestrian routes..	Amend to read: Designing-in appropriate, high quality pedestrian routes.	Clarity
24		First paragraph amend to read– “..but instead <u>to</u> focus..”	Editorial
25		Amend seventh bullet point to read – “existing features of <i>biodiversity value</i> ”	Clarification.
25		Check font in bullet points under biodiversity heading.	Editorial
25	New paragraph	Add new paragraph “The example overleaf shows how to design new greenspaces into development that relate well to the wider context” and add	Clarification/ Editorial

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		appropriate example.	
26	Photos very dark	Lighten photos	Editorial
26		Put bullet point in orange box (as for page 32)	Editorial
28	Last sentence of first paragraph does not make sense	Amend to read: These roads also have the potential to give local neighbourhoods a strong identity, and therefore have a significant role in creating a positive character.	Clarity
28	Bullet points	Lower case to start, replace full stop at end of first bullet point with ; and	Editorial
30		Confusion between 'city' centre and 'town'. First and second paragraphs and second bullet point. References should be to 'town' rather than 'city' centre.	Editorial
30	Sentence does not make sense	Replace with: Often formal in character, their scale, quality and strong sense of place represent the identity of the city, forming local landmarks and reinforcing	Clarity

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		legibility by providing memorable settings for key monuments and buildings.	
30	Bullet points	Lower case to start, replace full stop at end of first bullet point with ; and	Editorial
34	Halfway through first paragraph	Amend fourth sentence in first paragraph to read: “Critically, the appearance of gateways and corridors affects the perception and image of the Borough.”	Clarity
35		Remove no. 5 from bottom right hand side image Add no. 1 to central tree avenue on bottom Right hand side image	Presentational
40		‘Design principles should include:’ – bullet points do not correspond grammatically to this.	Editorial
42	Second last bullet point	Add ‘and’ onto the end	Editorial
43	Second paragraph	Amend to read: Extensive consultation is required to ensure that Home Zones not only meet local needs, but are also valued as a place once complete.	Clarity
44	Section title “Residential Streets”	Amend section title to read ‘Home Zone Design Principles’.	Editorial
44	Problem with font on last bullet point	Check and revise	Editorial

PUBLIC REALM DESIGN GUIDE			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
45/46	Residential Streets	Add an additional example on the creation of Home Zones	Clarification / Editorial
47		Sixth bullet point – ‘keeping people informed <u>of</u> implementation through regular updates;’.	Editorial
47	First two bullet points should be the other way around – explaining what the proposals are before understanding the issues sounds as if the professionals have already made their mind up.	Swap order of first two bullet points.	Editorial
47		Remove additional space between ‘these problems is’ and ‘to acknowledge that they exist..’	Editorial
47		Amend 3 rd bullet point to read “ <u>identifying and balancing priorities.</u> ”	Editorial
51		Word ‘Drainage’ should be below ‘Sustainable Urban’ rather than below ‘Sustainable Development’.	Editorial
Appendix A, B and C		Check and amend appendices where appropriate.	Editorial
Back cover		Add logos	Presentational

DESIGN AND PLANNING PROCESS			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
2	Update foreword	<p>Amend to read:</p> <p><i>“This Design and Planning Process: A Guide to Good Practice aims to assist all those involved in the process of designing and constructing buildings, streets and spaces to create good quality places, and compliments the series of Design Guides which have been produced jointly by Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council, Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council, and the Oldham Rochdale Partners in Action Housing Market Renewal. These guides, which informed by planning policies in the two Borough’s adopted Unitary Development Plans (UDPs), include an overall Urban Design Guide that provides guidance for all forms of development throughout the two Boroughs.</i></p> <p><i>It is possible that other guidance will be produced in the future, and this will also have to be taken into consideration.”</i></p>	Update
2		Reverse pictures so that UDP image is on top.	Presentation
3	Last paragraph refers to SPD status	Remove or amend wording.	Update
4	Inconsistent punctuation in box at bottom of	Lower case at the start of bullet points.	Editorial

DESIGN AND PLANNING PROCESS			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
	page		
7		Amend to read “none of which <u>are</u> of architectural importance”	Editorial
9		Caption to lower photograph should just say ‘existing buildings’.	Editorial
9		Amend section on Micro-climate to read “where will the sunny parts be? Which areas will be overshadowed and when? Is it exposed to the wind?”	Editorial
13		Replace O.K with satisfactory.	Editorial
15	PPG3 still referred to	Replace with: PPS 3 Housing, which aims to promote more sustainable forms of development and make better use of previously developed land;	Update
15		Refer to ‘Green belt’ rather than AONB.	Editorial
15		Reference to RDA’s Public Realm Handbook and Peak District National Park Design Guide should be as a separate bullet point.	Editorial
16	Second last bullet point in RH column refers to EcoHomes	Replace with: targets for sustainability – e.g. Code for Sustainable Homes Level 5; and	Update
23	DB32 has been superseded by Manual for Streets.	Replace reference to DB32 with <i>Manual for Streets</i> .	Update

DESIGN AND PLANNING PROCESS			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
23	Make reference to developers being encouraged to speak to local people and groups in the pre-application stage.	Amend sentence to: “The planning process will involve statutory consultation with neighbours, but <i>developers are encouraged</i> to speak to local people and <i>groups</i> during design and before the planning application is submitted.”	Editorial
28		Mention should be made of the requirement for a topographical site survey of existing levels and the inclusion of proposed site levels (the latter usually on the site layout plan).	Clarification.
30		Amend heading to read “More about Design and Access Statements” Amend final paragraph to read “Annotation of these drawings in the <i>Design and Access</i> Statement.”	Editorial
31		Quote to be placed in quotation marks.	Editorial
32		Inconsistencies – should refer to Design and Access Statements throughout.	Editorial
34		Right hand box – refer to Design and Access Statement rather than ‘Design Statement’.	Editorial
34		Amend first line to read “These checklists <i>provide</i> a summary....”.	Editorial
Back cover		Add logos	Presentational.

SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		Contents page renumbered.	Update
5		Fourth paragraph amended to read: “The guidance has been prepared through joint-working by the four partners identified above. Oldham MBC has adopted the series of documents as one Supplementary Planning Document. Rochdale MBC has adopted the document as a series of SPDs)”	Update
Technical Summary	Add update re Stage C and D to Technical Summary after Stage B.	<p>STAGE C – PREPARING SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL REPORT</p> <p>Following the assessment of Options 1 and 2 the draft SA Report was prepared for consultation.</p> <p>STAGE D – PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND ASSESSING SIGNIFICANT CHANGES</p> <p>Comments were invited on the draft SA during the six week period from 9th March to the 20th April 2007. Comments received on the draft SA can be found in the accompanying Consultation Statement.</p>	Update

		<p>At this stage the indicators were modified to remove those where there were data gaps and monitoring was not achievable and to reflect the indicators identified as part of the preparation of Oldham MBC's Core Strategy. The modification of the indicators led to the identification of a number of additional environmental issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to maintain the quantity, range and accessibility of open space; • To conserve the Borough's historical assets; • Need to encourage the effective and efficient use of land; • Need to encourage high quality design and construction; • Increase tree coverage; • 1 Homezone implemented and significant number of pedestrians and cyclists involved in accidents with motor vehicles; • Need to limit the effect of new development on air quality; and • Need for development to be located in sustainable and accessible locations. <p>Table 3 in the SA Report has been amended to reflect these additional environmental issues, which are considered to fall under existing SA objectives and reflect within the SPD.</p>	
--	--	---	--

		Changes made to the SPD(s) and supporting documents are not significant and serve to provide technical detail, greater clarification, update and correct factual errors. It is not, therefore, considered necessary to undertake further SA of the changes made.	
10	New paragraph	“The indicators may be subject to further change to align with those identified as part of the Oldham MBC’s Core Strategy as preparation progresses, which will be informed by emerging national indicators due for publication in the autumn.”	Update
13	Section 1.4 on Consultation amended	<p>“Members of the public were able to comment on the Sustainability Appraisal during the six-week public consultation period. Responses received in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal and the Council’s responses are contained within the Consultation Statement. In summary these related to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Oldham Borough Characterisation section in section 3 of the Scoping Report” and - An amendment to the issue raising for conservation related indicators in Table 2 and the subsequent Issues and Problems. <p>Responses received to the SPD(s) and other supporting documents are also contained in the Consultation Statement along with the Council’s responses. Changes made to the SPD(s) and</p>	Update

		supporting documents are not significant and serve to provide technical detail, greater clarification, updates or correct factual errors. It is not, therefore, considered necessary to undertake further Sustainability Appraisal of the changes made.”	
Table 1 Pg 14	New stages added to Table 1	<p>“C1 – Preparing SA Report – SA Report prepared</p> <p>D1 – Public participation on SA Report and the draft SPD – Consultation carried out 9th March to 20th April 2007.</p> <p>D2 – Assessing significant changes – Responses considered. No significant changes made.</p> <p>D3 – Making decision and providing information – SPD will be adopted as part of the Boroughs LDF.</p> <p>E1 – Finalising aims and methods for monitoring /;</p> <p>E2 – Responding to adverse effects – Monitoring will be carried out in the Councils AMRs”</p>	Update
Pg 16	New paragraph added.	“Comments were also invited on the draft Sustainability Appraisal during the six week consultation period from the 9 th March to the 20 th April 2007. Comments received on the draft Sustainability Appraisal can be found in the Consultation Statement accompanying the SPD(s).”	Update
Pg 20	New paragraph added.	“Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council is developing a list of sustainability objectives for the Borough’s Core Strategy. The wording of the sustainability objectives do vary from those identified for the Core Strategy, however, the	Update

		subject matter and principles are consistent”.	
Pg 24	Add reference to SEA Directive after paragraph 6.4.1.	<p>“The environmental report shall include information that may reasonably be required taking into account current knowledge and methods of assessment, the contents and level of detail in the plan or programme, (and) its stage in the decision-making process” (Article 5.2).</p> <p>Information to be provided in the Environmental Report includes: “the likely significant effects on the environment, including on issues such as biodiversity, population, human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climatic factors, material assets, cultural heritage including architectural and archaeological heritage, landscape and the interrelationship between the above factors. These effects should include secondary, cumulative, synergistic, short, medium and long term, permanent and temporary, positive and negative effects” (Annex I (f) and footnote).</p> <p>“an outline of the reasons for selecting the alternatives dealt with” (Annex I (h))</p> <p>“the measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and as fully as possible offset any significant adverse effects on the environment of implementing the plan or programme” (Annex I (g))</p>	Update

Pg 25	Add reference to SEA Directive after para 6.4.1.	<p>The authorities [with relevant environmental responsibilities] and the public... shall be given an early and effective opportunity within appropriate time frames to express their opinion on the draft plan or programme and the accompanying environmental report before the adoption of the plan or programme</p> <p>The environmental report...the opinions expressed [in responses to consultation]...and the results of any transboundary consultations...shall be taken into account during the preparation of the plan or programme before its adoption...</p> <p>When a plan or programme is adopted, the [environmental] authorities [and] the public...are informed and the following items [shall be] made available to those so informed: (a) the plan or programme as adopted, (b) a statement summarising how environmental considerations have been integrated into the plan or programme ...[including] the reasons for choosing the plan or programme as adopted, in the light of other reasonable alternatives dealt with, and (c) the measures decided concerning monitoring</p>	Update
Pg 26	New paragraph added	<p>“Indicators were identified through the Scoping Report (see Appendix 1). These indicators have been amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where there were data and we are unable to monitor the indicators they have been 	Update

		<p>deleted;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - “Where the information to be monitored by the indicator was consistent with those identified as part of the preparation of the Oldham MBC Core Strategy; and - Additional indicators where they are considered appropriate to reflect those identified as part of the preparation of the Oldham MBC Core Strategy Development Plan Document.” 	
Pg 26	List indicators amended following changes made in Table 2.	<p>Paragraph 7.2.4 amended as follows (change is in brackets):</p> <p><i>To conserve and improve where appropriate the quality of the historic environment</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage/number of listed buildings at risk (retained) - Number/extent of conservation areas (retained) - Number/percentage of scheduled ancient monuments at risk (retained) - Loss of listed buildings or buildings in conservation areas through new development proposals (new) <p><i>To maintain and enhance biodiversity, flora and fauna</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number/extent of Sites of Biological Importance (SBIs) (deleted) - Change in area and populations of 	Update

		<p>biodiversity importance, including priority habitats and species, and designated sites (international through to local) (new)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tree coverage (new) - Area of locally native woodland planted/brought into management scheme (deleted) - Contribution to regional/Greater Manchester Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) targets (deleted). <p><i>To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Extent of derelict and underused land (retained) - Number of landscape features lost (deleted) - Number of landscape features conserved/created (deleted) - Percentage of highways of acceptable level of cleanliness (deleted) - Reduce the percentage of streetscapes falling below Grade B standard of cleanliness (Grade B is classed as predominantly free from litter except for small areas) (new) - Number and percentage of major planning applications refused on design grounds (new) - Net change in the extent of protected open space (new) 	
--	--	--	--

		<p><i>To improve water quality</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage of rivers of good or fair quality (retained) <p><i>To improve air quality</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of days or air pollution (retained) <p><i>To ensure prudent use of natural resources</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of development reaching BREAM/SEAM standard (deleted) - Number of proposals incorporating renewable energy generation (deleted) - Water consumption (retained) - Percentage of large developments incorporating renewable energy generation (new) - Renewable energy capacity installed by type (new) - Percentage of new homes meeting Lifetime Homes standards in HMR and non-HMR areas (new) - Percentage of new homes in the pathfinder to meet Eco-homes Very Good or Excellent in HMR areas and non-HMR areas (new) - Residential development completed on brownfield sites (deleted) - Percentage of new and converted dwellings on previously developed land. 	
--	--	--	--

		<p><i>To reduce vulnerability to climate change and reduce the potential impacts of flooding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of properties in high/medium flood risk areas (deleted) - Number of planning permission granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on either flood defence grounds or water quality (new) - Number of new development incorporating Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) (retained) <p><i>To increase and improve access to public open spaces and improve liveability of communities</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage of residential properties with access to semi-natural greenspace within 400m by foot or cycle (deleted) - Number of Local Nature Reserves and Country Parks (retained) - Extent of cycleway/footpath provision (retained) - Extent of Local Equipped Areas for Play (LEAP) and Neighbourhood Equipped Areas for Play (NEAP) (deleted) <p><i>To reduce the fear and occurrence of crime and disorder</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Percentage of domestic burglaries per 1000 population (deleted) - Number of domestic burglaries per 1000 	
--	--	---	--

		<p>population (new)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage of vehicle crimes per 1000 population (retained) - Percentage of citizens who live in a safe borough (deleted) - Percentage of residents who think that for their local area, the level of crime has got better or stayed the same (new) <p><i>To reduce the effect of traffic in the community</i> All original indicators retained.</p> <p><i>To improve access to/of local services and facilities within and beyond the immediate area</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Percentage of properties within 500m of key local services (deleted) - Amount of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary school, secondary school, areas of employment and a major retail centre (new) - Number of travel to work plans (deleted) - Number of travel to school plans (deleted) - Number of travel plans secured as a condition of planning permission (new) - Percentage of public buildings accessible to people with physical disabilities (retained) 	
Pg 27	New paragraph added	<p>“These indicators may be subject to further change to align with those identified as part of the Oldham MBC’s Core Strategy as preparation progresses,</p>	Update

		which will be informed by emerging national indicators due for publication in the autumn.”	
Amendments to Table 2 in Scoping Report. Those that remain unchanged not listed below.			
Tb 2	No/% of listed buildings at risk.	Amend to read: “Number/percentage of listed buildings at risk”	Editorial
Tb 2	No/% of Scheduled Ancient Monuments at Risk. Data: Oldham: 1298 SAMR records/0 at risk Rochdale: 1556 SAMR records/awaiting results of Scheduled Ancient Monuments at Risk survey	Amend Indicator to read: “Number/percentage of Scheduled Ancient Monuments at Risk”. Amend data to read: Oldham: 0 at risk Rochdale: Awaiting results of Scheduled Ancient Monuments at Risk survey.	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	Add new indicator as follows: Indicator: “Loss of listed buildings or buildings in conservation areas through new development proposals.” Data: “Oldham: 2005-2006: 1 listed building consent approved for demolition of listed building. 4 conservation area	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.

		<p>consents Rochdale: No data”</p> <p>Sources (relating to Oldham MBC data): “Oldham MBC AMR”</p> <p>Comparators/Targets (relating to Oldham MBC data): “2004-2005: 5 listed building consents relating to outbuildings and associated buildings approved. 1 listed building consent approved (subject to referral to SoS). 2 conservation area consents approved for demolition of building. “</p> <p>Trend (relating to Oldham MBC data): “Fluctuating”.</p>	
Tb 2	No/extent of Sites of Biological Importance (SBI’s)	<p>Indicator deleted.</p> <p>Replaced with:</p> <p>Indicator: “Change in area and populations of biodiversity importance, including priority habitats and species, and designated sites (international through to local).”</p> <p>Data:</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.

		<p>“Oldham: 2005/06: No change to international sites – Special Protection Area (SPA’s) / Special Areas of Conservation (SAC’s) or national sites – Sites of Special Scientific Interest. Sites of Biological Importance (SBI’s) – net gain 6ha. Rochdale: 47 SBI’s covering a total area of 2341ha (2004).”</p> <p>Source: “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC AMR”</p> <p>Comparators/Targets: Oldham: “2004/05: No change to international sites (SPA’s/SAC’s) or national sites (SSSI’s). SBI’s – net gain 112.3ha).” Rochdale: “No data”</p> <p>Trend: Oldham: “Constant at international / national level. SBI’s fluctuate depending on new sites, boundary amendments and deletions.” Rochdale: “No data”.</p> <p>Issue Identified:</p>	
--	--	---	--

		To conserve and enhance the biodiversity and geology of the Boroughs.	
Tb 2	Area of locally native woodland planted / brought into management scheme.	Indicator deleted.	No data. Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	Add new indicator as follows: Indicator: "Tree coverage" Data: "Oldham: 2002/04: 1.9ha of new woodland planted through 14 funded schemes. Rochdale: Woodland being surveyed to support management plan/funding bid." Sources: "Oldham MBC Pennine Edge Forest" Comparators/Targets:	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.

		<p>“Target to increase tree cover from 3% in 2001 to 5% by 2010.”</p> <p>Issue Identified “To increase tree coverage”</p>	
Tb 2	Contribute to regional / GM BAP targets.	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	Extent of Derelict and Underused Land (DUN)	<p>Amend Sources to read: “Oldham MBC Rochdale MBC”</p> <p>Amend Comparators/Targets (relating to Rochdale MBC) to read: “No data”</p>	Editorial
Tb 2	No. of landscape features lost.	Indicator deleted.	<p>No data.</p> <p>Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>
Tb 2	No. of landscape features conserved /	Indicator deleted.	No data.

	created.		Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	% highways of acceptable level of cleanliness.	<p>Indicator deleted.</p> <p>Replaced with:</p> <p>Indicator: "Reduce the percentage of streetscapes falling below Grade B standard of cleanliness (Grade B is classed as predominantly free from litter except for small areas)."</p> <p>Data: "Oldham: No data Rochdale: No data"</p> <p>Comparators / Targets: "No data" (for both Oldham and Rochdale)</p> <p>Trend: "Slight Improvement" (for Oldham)</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	"Improvements identified strategic road and	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not

	rail corridors and gateways.”		included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	“No of street trees planted/lost”	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2		<p>New Indicator Added.</p> <p>Indicator: “Number and percentage of major planning applications refused on design grounds”.</p> <p>Data: “Oldham: No data; Rochdale: No data”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham Rochdale”</p> <p>Issue: “Need to encourage high quality design and</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.

		sustainable construction.”	
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	<p>Add new indicator as follows:</p> <p>Indicator: “Net change in the extent of protected open space”</p> <p>Data: “Oldham: Will be assessed from local needs assessment and audit (2006) Rochdale: No data”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC”</p> <p>Comparators / Targets: “None” (for both Oldham and Rochdale)</p> <p>Trend: “None” (for both Oldham and Rochdale)</p> <p>Issue Identified: “Need to maintain the quantity, range and accessibility of open space.”</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	% of rivers of good or fair quality.	<p>Amend indicator to read:</p> <p>“Percentage of rivers of good or fair quality”</p>	Editorial

Tb 2	No. of days of air pollution.	Amend indicator to read: "Number of days of air pollution" Amend sources to read: "Oldham MBC" Comparators / Targets "None" (relating to Oldham and Rochdale) Trend "None" (relating to Oldham and Rochdale)	Editorial
Tb 2	No. of developments meeting BREAM/SEAM standard	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	No. of proposals incorporating energy efficiency / renewable energy technology.	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	Add indicator as follows: Indicator:	To reflect indicator and wording used in

		<p>“Percentage of large developments incorporating renewable energy generation”</p> <p>Data: “Oldham MBC: 2005/06: 24 schemes requiring 10% of energy requirements to be delivered by on site renewable sources were granted permission. Rochdale MBC: No data.”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC”</p> <p>Comparators / Targets (relating to Oldham MBC only): “Jan-March 2005 one scheme approved requiring 10% of energy requirements to be delivered by on-site renewable sources.”</p> <p>Trend (relating to Oldham MBC only): “None”</p>	<p>the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	<p>Add indicator as follows:</p> <p>Indicator: “Renewable energy capacity installed by type”</p> <p>Data: “Oldham MBC:</p>	<p>To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>

		<p>2004-05: approximately 4.036MW Rochdale MBC: 2004-06: 103,840 Kwh”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC”</p> <p>Comparators / Targets: “2004/05: Stockport – 0.08MW, Manchester – 0.0025, Bolton – 0.026MW, Bury – 8.374MW”</p> <p>Trend: “None”</p>	
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	<p>Add indicator as follows:</p> <p>Indicator: “Percentage of new homes meeting Lifetime Homes standards in HMR areas and non-HMR areas”</p> <p>Data: “Baseline: HMR – 30% Non-HMR – new piece of work to be developed over the next 12 months”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham Partnership, LAA, 2007 Refresh”</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.

		<p>Comparators / Targets: "2006/07: HMR – 30% Non HMR – no data 2007/08: HMR – 30% Non HMR – no data 2008/09: HMR – 30% Non HMR – no data"</p> <p>Trend "No data available"</p>	
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	<p>Add new indicator as follows:</p> <p>Indicator: "Percentage of new homes in the pathfinder to meet Eco-homes Very Good or Excellent in HMR area and non HMR areas"</p> <p>Data: "HMR – 100% Non HMR – new piece of work to be developed over the next 12 months"</p> <p>Sources: "Oldham Partnership, LAA, 2007 Refresh"</p> <p>Comparators / Targets: "2006/07:</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.

		<p>HMR – 100% Non HMR – no data 2007/08: HMR – 100% Non HMR – no data 2008/09: HMR – 100% Non HMR – no data”</p> <p>Trend “No data available”</p>	
Tb 2	Residential development completed on brownfield sites	<p>Indicator reworded as follows: “Percentage of new and converted dwellings on previously developed land”</p> <p>Amend Oldham MBC Data: “2005/06: 82%”</p> <p>Add Oldham MBC figure for 2004/05 (92.6%) to Comparators/Targets.</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	No. of properties in high/medium flood risk areas.	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.
Tb 2	New Indicator Added	Add new indicator as follows:	To reflect indicator and

		<p>Indicator: “Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on either flood defence grounds or water quality”</p> <p>Data: “Oldham MBC: 2005/06: None Rochdale MBC: 2005/06: None”</p> <p>Source “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC Development Control”</p> <p>Comparators / Targets: “None”</p> <p>Trend “Constant”</p>	<p>wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>
Tb 2	% residential properties with access to semi-natural greenspace within 400m by foot or cycle	Indicator deleted.	<p>No data.</p> <p>Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>

Tb 2	Extent of Local Equipped Areas for Play (LEAP) /Neighbourhood Equipped Areas for Play (NEAP) provision.	Indicator deleted.	No data. Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	% of domestic burglaries per 1000 population	Amend indicator as follows: Indicator: "Number of domestic burglaries per 1,000 households" Data: "Oldham MBC: 22 crimes per 1,000 households in Oldham Borough. Rochdale MBC: 20.8%" Sources: Audit Commission (relating to Oldham MBC only) Comparators/Targets: 2003	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	% of citizens who feel they live in a safe borough.	Indicator deleted.	To reflect indicator and

		<p>Replaced with:</p> <p>Indicator: “Percentage of residents who think that for their local area, the level of crime has got better or stayed the same.”</p> <p>Data: “Oldham MBC: 2003/04: 35% Rochdale MBC: 2005/07: 36.7%”</p> <p>Sources: Oldham: “Audit Commission” Rochdale: “Citizens Panel”</p> <p>Comparators/Targets: 2003/04: 43% recorded nationally.</p>	<p>wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>
Tb 2	% percentage of properties within 500m of key local services.	<p>Indicator deleted.</p> <p>Replaced with:</p> <p>Indicator: “Amount of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary school, secondary school, areas of employment and a major retail centre.”</p>	<p>To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.</p>

		<p>Data: “Oldham: 2005/06: All development (16 in total) except for three not within 30 minutes of a hospital. Rochdale: 2005/06: 100% within 30 minutes of a GP, primary schools, areas of employment and major retail centre. 9.8% within 30 minutes of a hospital and 83.9% within 30 minutes of a secondary school.”</p> <p>Sources: “Oldham MBC AMR Rochdale MBC”</p> <p>Comparators/Targets: Oldham: “2004/05: All development (14 in total) except for four not within 30 minutes of a hospital” Rochdale: “None”</p> <p>Trend: Oldham: “Constant” Rochdale “None”</p>	
Tb 2	No of travel to work plans.	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham’s Core Strategy.

Tb 2	No of travel to school plans.	Indicator deleted.	Indicator not included within those identified during preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2		<p>New indicator identified</p> <p>Indicator: "Number of travel plans secured as a condition of planning permission"</p> <p>Data: "Oldham: 2005/06: 8 Rochdale: 4"</p> <p>Comparators/Targets: Oldham: "None" Rochdale "None"</p> <p>Trend: Oldham: "None" Rochdale "None"</p>	To reflect indicator and wording used in the preparation of Oldham's Core Strategy.
Tb 2	% of public buildings accessible to people with physical disabilities.	<p>Amend indicator to read:</p> <p>"Percentage of public buildings accessible to people with physical disabilities."</p>	Editorial
Pg 79	Key Issues and Problems section has been	The Issues and Problems have been amended as	Update

	amended to reflect revised indicators.	<p>follows: Social – all retained/no changes Environment – following new issues identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to maintain the quantity, range and accessibility of open space; - To conserve the Borough’s historical assets (change made due to representation so identified in Consultation Statement) - Need to encourage the effective and efficient use of land - Need to encourage high quality design and sustainable construction. - Increase tree coverage - 1 homezone implemented and significant number of pedestrians and cyclists involved in accidents with motor vehicles. - Need to limit the effect of new development on air quality - Need for development to be located in sustainable and accessible locations. 	
Pg 89	Table 3 has been amended to reflect the new issues identified.	<p>“There is also a need to maintain the quantity, range and accessibility of open space” – added under “Healthy Communities” and contributing to existing SA objective of “To increase and improve access to public open spaces and improve the liveability of communities.”</p> <p>“There is also a need for development to be located in sustainable and accessible locations, and to ensure that buildings are fully accessible” –</p>	

		<p>added under “Sustainable Transport and Access, Social Equity, Healthy communities and contributing to existing SA objective “To improve access to/of local services and facilities within and beyond the immediate neighbourhood.</p> <p>“There is also a need to increase tree coverage” – added under “Biodiversity and Landscape” and contributing to existing SA Objective “To maintain and enhance biodiversity, flora and fauna”.</p> <p>“There is also the need to encourage effective and efficient use of land” – added under “Sustainable Production and Consumption” and contributing to SA objective “To ensure prudent use of natural resources”.</p> <p>“through encouraging high quality design and sustainable construction” – added to “Biodiversity and Landscape, Cultural Distinctiveness” and contributing to existing SA objective “To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes.</p>	
--	--	--	--

HABITAT REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
4		Paragraph iii amended to read: “The guidance has been prepared through joint-working by the four partners identified above. Oldham MBC has adopted the series of documents as one Supplementary Planning Document. Rochdale MBC has adopted the document as a series of SPDs)”	Update
5	New paragraph added.	Paragraph x. added: “The Greater Manchester Ecology Unit has confirmed that the proposed changes to the SPD, following consultation on the draft, do not result in the need for a further screening.”	Update.

EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
		Paragraph on first page amended to read: “The guidance has been prepared through joint-working by the four partners identified above. Oldham MBC has adopted the series of documents as one Supplementary Planning Document. Rochdale MBC has adopted the document as a series of SPDs)”	Update
		Final paragraph amended to read “An Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) of the draft Urban Design Guide SPD(s) was undertaken by Oldham Metropolitan Borough Council and Rochdale Metropolitan Borough Council. It was subject to consultation from 9 th March 2007 to 20 th April 2007. One comment was received on the EqIA. A schedule of comments received to the draft SPD and supporting documents together with the Councils responses, can be found in the Consultation Statement accompanying the adopted Urban Design Guide SPD(s). The findings of this draft EqIA are still appropriate and there it is not considered necessary to undertake a new EqIA for the Urban Design Guide SPD.	Update.

CONSULTATION STATEMENT			
PAGE	CURRENT	CHANGE	REASON
4		Paragraph 2.4 amended to read: “The guidance has been prepared through joint-working by the four partners identified above. Oldham MBC has adopted the series of documents as one Supplementary Planning Document. Rochdale MBC has adopted the document as a series of SPDs)”	Update
4		The Regulations section has been amended to reflect up to date position re SCIs.	Update
9		Final sentence of para 7.2 amended to read: “The final Sustainability Appraisal has been published alongside the adopted Urban Design Guide SPD(s)”	Update
10		Final sentence of para 8.1 amended to read: “The final Equalities Impact Assessment has been published alongside the adopted Urban Design Guide SPD(s)”	Update
10		Final sentence of para 9.1 amended to read: “The final Habitat Regulations Assessment has been published alongside the adopted Urban Design Guide SPD(s)”	Update
10/11		Section on draft consultation amended to reflect latest stage.	Update
		Schedule of comments received and responses added as an appendix.	Update
The changes listed below have not been made to Schedule of Comments however the amended wording will be reflected in the adopted SPD where necessary			

Consultation Statement		RMBC reference numbers in Consultation Statement should correlate those used within the RMBC Cabinet Report	Correction
Consultation Statement / Planning Policy Sources section in SPD.		Suggested change in response to 045/UDG/002/SPD and 3/DG/002 should read "Rochdale Council will have regard to the draft Planning Policy Statement: Planning and Climate Change Supplement to PPS1. Rochdale Council will produce a Supplementary Planning Document: Energy and New Development in 2008 and after its adoption will expect developments to incorporate measures in accordance with its requirements".	Correction
Consultation Statement		No change response required to 7/DG/004 and 116/UDG/004/SPD. It is considered that there is sufficient reference to the need to take into account regional planning guidance.	Correction – RMBC Schedule that went to Cabinet incorrect.
Consultation Statement		Response to 10/DG/009 and 665/UDG/007/HRA should be that used within the OMBC schedule that went to Cabinet.	Consistency.
Consultation Statement		Recommended change in response to 12/DG/004 and 644/UDG/004/SPD should be that used within the OMBC schedule that went to Cabinet, which refers to the "Urban Design Guide."	Correction – RMBC Schedule that went to Cabinet incorrect
Consultation Statement / Design and Planning Process	Incorrect wording used.	Recommended change in response to 21/DG/001 and 703/UDG/001/SPD should read "developers are encouraged to speak to local people and groups."	Correction – OMBC Schedule that went to Cabinet incorrect.

Document			
Consultation Statement/ Urban Design Guide and Residential Design Guide	Schedule of comments currently reads: Amend documents to provide greater clarification regarding audience, purpose and scope through replacing the final sentence on, pgiii of the Urban Design Guide, pg2 of the Public Realm Design Guide and pg2 of the Residential Design Guide with the following: “Its aim is to provide clear guidance to everyone in designing and constructing streets and space (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders and engineers) on the quality of design expected by both Boroughs. The Guide will also be used by local authority officers to help assess the quality of planning applications.”	Recommended change in response to 00/UDG/002/SPD and 22/DG/002 should read as follows for the Urban Design Guide and Residential Design Guide: “Its aim is to provide clear guidance to everyone involved in <u>development</u> (including architects, designers, public and private sector developers, house builders and engineers) on the quality of design expected by both Boroughs. The Guide will also be used by local authority officers to help assess the quality of planning applications.”	Consistency.
Consultation Statement / Design and Planning Process Document		No change response required to 22/DG10 and 080/UDG/010/SPD. It is not felt appropriate to insert the CAGE crib sheet. This a process document and could be additional information provided with planning applications.	Correction – RMBC Schedule that went to Cabinet incorrect.
Consultation		Response to 22/DG/013 and 080/UDG/013/SPD	Consistency.

Statement		should be that used within the OMBC schedule that went to Cabinet.	
-----------	--	--	--